Ivan Garofalo

⁴⁷ leggendo tušiqqu per yšyaq.

48 kamā per kaimā.

Integrando $\leq m\bar{a} >$.

⁵⁰ Cfr. X.881,8 (Med. meth. 13,5) in conseguenza di piaghe nella testa.

⁵¹ Cfr.89,17.

 52 ύγρότητα δ' οὖτε πολλήν οὖτε παντάπασιν ἐλαχίστην.

⁵³ 144,15.

Interpretata come zinco a Glauc. 52 15

55 Sc. parte.

⁵⁶ Il pensiero di Galeno è riprodotto fedelmente più sotto 88,17r.

57 Ἡ φλεγμονὴ πολλάκις μὲν ἐν κυρίῳ μορίῳ γινομένη, ποιεῖ τὴν λειποθυμίαν. πολλάκις καν ἐν ἀκύρῳ γένηται τόπῳ, διὰ τὸ οἰκεῖον μέγεθος ἀμετρίαν ὀδύνης ἐπάγουσα.

⁵⁸ Diversamente Galeno in *De methodo medendi* X 850 ss.

59 ὥσπερ διὰ σωλῆνός τινος ἀψύχου ἐκκρίνεσθαι, ὅθεν καὶ οἱ ἰδιῶται σώληνα τὸ πάθος ὀνομάζουσιν.

⁶⁰ Emendando mt 'b in mat 'ab, σωλήν.

614 om. L

.W اخرجت 62

om. L. وغسلاته 63

Correspondence should be addressed to: Ivan Garofalo, c/o Morris. 10, Rue Marie et Louise, 75010 - Paris (France). MEDICINA NEI SECOLI ARTE E SCIENZA 6 (1994) 349 - 364 Journal of History of Medicine

Articoli/Articles

THE IBN BUḤTĪŠŪʻ BESTIARY TRADITION. THE TEXT AND ITS SOURCES

ANNA CONTADINI
Department of the History of Art
Trinity College, University of Dublin, Irl.

SUMMARY

The Ibn Buḥtīšū' Book on the Usefulness of Animals is extant in several copies, five of them illustrated. After giving an account of the text, the Author of the article investigates its sources, finding that they are two-folded: partly coming from a Greek tradition ultimately related to Aristotle's Zoology, and partly coming from Ibn Buḥtīšū' medical writings, which seem to stem from the lengthy tradition of the famous School of Gondēšāpūr. A profile of the main representatives of the Ibn Buḥtīšū' family, for the first time translated here from the Arabic sources, is also given.

Introduction

Within the Arabic scientific literature of the Middle Ages a particularly successful text was a bestiary known as that of Ibn Buḥtīšū', of which several copies of different dates remain, five of them illustrated¹.

The texts always open with man and woman, proceeding then to domestic quadrupeds and beasts of prey; birds and birds of prey;

Parole chiave/Key words: Ibn Buhtīšū' Bestiary - Middle Ages

fish; reptiles and, finally, insects. The average number of animals treated is 90, and, of the various categories, that of the quadrupeds has the longest number of entries (on average 35), followed by that of the birds (on average 28). Also, the text is longer for the quadrupeds, and for some of the most common birds and fish, while it is quite short for insects. The animals treated have a wide range of habitats, spreading from India to North-East Africa. There are, in addition, mythical animals, such as the unicorn, the simurgh, and the phoenix.

In these manuscripts the discussion of each animal is divided into two parts: the first is a general introduction in which the principal characteristics of Man, Woman and all the other animals are treated, their habits, and their reactions to different situations. The second deals with the different parts of the animal and how they may be used to cure various human illnesses.

The Sources of the Ibn Buḥtīšūʻ Text.

According to the *Kitāb na't al-ḥayawān* (Book on the characteristics of animals), the earliest extant work on this tradition (datable to ca. ad1220), the two sections in each entry derive from different sources: the first comes from Aristotle's *Zoology*, and only the second is by Ibn Buḥtīšū' himself. The anonymous compiler of the *Na't* states quite specifically (fols. 95r-v) that his text draws upon Aristotle but, finding that his account failed to include material on the usefulness of animals, drew for this upon the *Manāfi' al-ḥayawān* by Ibn Buḥtīšū'.

Aristotle.

Aristotle's zoological writings include five books which are commonly known under their Latin titles: Historia Animalium, De Partibus Animalium, De Generatione Animalium, De Motu Animalium, and De Incessu Animalium, all of which form what is

usually known as Aristotle's *Zoology*³. Some information concerning animals is also found in a brief collection of treatises known as *Parva Naturalia*⁴. In the Arabic translation, the first three books of the *Zoology* are combined into a single, large corpus known as *Kitāb al-ḥayawān*, which was translated from Greek into Arabic, probably through a Syriac intermediary, by Yaḥyà ibn al-Bitrīq, in the 8th-9th century AD⁵. His version has not been definitely identified, but it is now generally accepted to be preserved in a manuscript in the British Library⁶, and in a brief fragment in Leyden⁷. The Arabic version, in turn, was later translated into Latin. The Latin version from the Arabic was the work of Michael Scot, probably to be dated circa 1210⁸. No Arabic translation of the remaining two books of the *Zoology* is known.

The Historia Animalium was the most popular of all of Aristotle's zoological works among the Arabs, and references to this particular book are much more frequent in Arabic literature than to the other four. However, a comparison of the Ibn Buhtīšū' text with Aristotle's Zoology, particularly with that part referred to as Historia Animalium, reveals that there is no direct correspondence between these and the Arabic translation of Aristotle's Zoology, let alone with the original Greek text¹⁰. It is therefore obvious that, despite the mention of the name of Aristotle and, in the Na't, a miniature which represents him (on fol. 96r) transmission was not directly from Aristotle but through a pseudo-Aristotelian intermediary. One such text is the 10th century Kitāb na't al-hayawān (Book on the characteristics of animals) which seems to have a link with Timotheus of Gazza's book on animals¹². Examination of the various transmissions of the Historia Animalium to the Arabic indicates, in the case of the Na't, that the source is likely to have been a translation into Arabic of the pseudo-Aristotelian text on animals by Timotheus of Gazza¹³, Peri Zoon, usually referred to by its Arabic title, Kitāb al-hayawān alqadīm, written at Ġazza in the 6th century AD, during the reign of Emperor Anastasius.

The Aristotelian section of the Ibn Buḥtīšū' text not only explains the various characteristics of an animal, but also reflects a complex moralizing attitude towards various animals and what might be called their psychology (real or alleged)¹⁴, which the text itself terms $ahl\bar{a}q$. The combativeness of the ram, the concupiscence of the pig, the jealousy of the bull, the rancour of the camel, the madness of the goat, the astuteness of the fox - these are commonplace examples which can be identified also in the European traditions¹⁵.

Other characteristics, such as intelligence, sagacity, good memory, courage or incompatibility with other animals are variously stressed according to species. Many further examples might be given of the treatment of animals according to such familiar psychological types; here are but a few: the bear is a cowardly animal, but also has other diverse and amazing characteristics: it eats the same things as carnivorous wild animals. as domesticated ruminant herbivores, and as human beings; the magpie is a thief which steals as much as it can and hides it from the sight of other animals; the cock is characterized by courage and jealousy and by how well and often he covers: not being satisfied with a single female, he gathers around him several hens whom he treats with equity, not preferring one to another; he is endowed with a voice and is of good appearance; he is proud, fraudulent and boastful and does not love his young; he has knowledge of the hours of sunrise and sunset and sings at these times.

On the other hand, there are animals of which amazing characteristics are told, such as the *arghun* or pelican which has a big beak with numerous holes producing different sounds and beautiful melodies which have the power to charm those who happen to hear them.

Ibn Buhtīšū'.

The direct source for the material included in the second section of the Ibn Buḥtīšū' bestiaries is, according to the Na't, 'Ubayd Allāh ibn Ğibrīl ibn Buḥtīšū'. Members of his family had run the School of Medicine at Gondēšāpūr¹6, the transformation of which into an important medical centre was undoubtedly the work of Nestorians¹7. The city itself had been founded by Šāpūr I in the third century AD, and the Nestorians transferred their scientific centre, including a medical school, from Nisibis in Mesopotamia to Gondēšāpūr in the first half of the 6th century, after their expulsion from the Byzantine Empire¹8. Encouraged by the emperor Khusraw Anūshirwān (about 531), the school of Gondēšāpūr quickly became a major centre, attracting Greek physicians as well as physicians and scholars from Persia and India, and creating and maintaining a tradition of cosmopolitan learning, especially in medicine.

As a result, philosophical and scientific works in Greek and Sanskrit were translated into Syriac and Pahlavi. When the 'Abbāsid caliph al-Ma'mūn established the House of Wisdom (*Bayt al-ḥikmah*) in Baġdād in ah215/ad830 as the central institute for Arabic translations of scientific texts¹⁹, (from Greek, Pahlavi, Sanskrit, and Syriac), it was on scientific literature that scholars would call for medical works.

Although other Nestorian medical centres existed, most were influenced by Gondēšāpūr, particularly in the organization and administration of hospitals: the first important Islamic hospital (bīmāristān), founded by Hārūn al-Rašīd in Baġdād, was designed and staffed by Gondēšāpūr physicians²⁰. The influence of Gondēšāpūr resulted in a shift from a theoretical orientation, typical of the Greek and Galenic tradition, to an empirical tradition in Islamic medicine. The approach of this school is clearly

articulated in the important treatise by our Ibn Buḥtīšū' which argues against medicine's tutelage to philosophy (*Risālah fi'l-tibb wa'l-aḥdāt al-nafsāniyyah*, "Treatise on medicine and psychological phenomena")²¹. It is to be considered the first work in which an independent status is claimed for medicine, on the grounds that philosophical theory is incapable of dealing with medical questions²².

The ultimate sources for the material on the usefulness of animals in the Ibn Buḥtīšū' bestiaries are unknown. 'Ubayd Allāh ibn Ğibrīl ibn Buḥtīšū' lived in the 11th century and was the last member of this celebrated family of physicians, and it is highly likely that the material preserved in the bestiaries represents the culmination of a lengthy experimental (and textual) tradition going back at least to the beginning of the Gondēšāpūr School. Unfortunately there are no earlier texts through which the evolution of this specific tradition could be traced, despite numerous references to medical works, apparently no longer extant, by Ibn Buḥtīšū''s forbears.

What is, however, clear is that whereas the bestiaries (and hence, presumably, also earlier works from the Gondēšāpūr School) cannot be related except in the most indirect way to the Arab tradition of zoological enquiry as represented, for example, in the *Kitāb al-ḥayawān* of al-Ğāḥiz (9th century AD)²³, it is related to Syriac tradition as represented by the zoological work of 'Īsà ibn 'Alī (9th century AD)²⁴, which is one of the sources mentioned in the bestiaries themselves.

The material on each animal in this second section of the bestiaries derived from Ibn Buḥtīšū''s medical treatises could be described as homeopathic medicine, consisting as it does of a series of recipes explaining how to use different parts of man, woman, and all the other animals in order to cure illnesses and diseases.

Among the benefits mentioned, the majority are strictly medical. The camel's lung, for example, when mixed with mountain celery and dried in the sun, then cut and mixed with

broad-bean flour, relieves asthma. But there are other benefits which are industrial, commercial, or even magical. A magical property is ascribed, for example, to the bladder of the cow: if a woman has sexual intercourse with her husband holding in her hand a mixture of cow's bladder and laurel leaves she becomes pregnant. Similarly, if a woman no longer a virgin eats the jugular vein of a sheep she becomes a virgin again. (The compiler enthusiasticly adds: *This is proved!*).

Other animals have uses of a cosmetic sort, or simply make one look healthy. Others, quite a number in fact, can prevent the hair from falling out or, on the contrary, are to be used in depilatory preparations. The hedgehog, for example, is taken and placed inside an earthenware cooking pot. Oil is poured over it until it is covered and it is then cooked. Then, in July, the pot is placed in the sun for forty days and what remains inside is strained through a linen cloth. If this is spread daily on the body and lightly rubbed in, then washed off in a lukewarm bath (for seven days), it removes the hair.

The Ibn Buhtīšū' Family of Physicians

The main source of information about the Buḥtīšū' family and our Ibn Buḥtīšū' is Ibn Abī Uṣaybi'ah's (ca. ah 600/ad1203 - ah668/ad1269) 'Uyūn al-anbā' fī ṭabaqāt al-aṭibbā' ("Sources of Instructions about the Generations of Physicians") 25. as this material has never been translated in its entirity it may be useful to summarize here his accounts of the main members of the family.

The first is **Ğirğīs ibn Ğibrīl**, d. about ah154/ad771, physician to the caliph al-Manṣūr (ah136/ad754 - ah158/ad775). Ğirğīs gained the favour of al-Manṣūr who, suffering from stomach illness, had given orders for the best physician in his kingdom to be found. He was advised to call Ğirğīs, the chief physician of the great hospital at Gondēšāpūr, who arrived in Baġdād, cured him and, at the Caliph's request, remained at Baġdād and also translated for the

Caliph several works from Greek into Arabic²⁶. The picture of Ğirğīs we get from Ibn Abi Usaybi'ah is that of an outstanding figure, not only as a physician and scholar, but also as a man of great wisdom and dignity. It is, moreover, interesting as showing great respect and tolerance for Christians. The Caliph, aware of Ğirğīs' suffering because of his distance from his home and relatives, tried to make his life in Bagdad as pleasant as possible: he would always reward him not only with great respect but also with magnificent gifts. He also asked him to call his sons to Baġdād, but Ğirğīs refused, considering that without his sons the hospital of Gondēšāpūr could fall into disgrace. The Caliph also understood Ğirğīs' worries about his old and sick wife left behind at Gondēšāpūr, and sent him three beautiful slaves, whom Ğirğīs refused indignantly, telling the Caliph that his religion did not permit polygamy. Finally, when Ğirğīs was very ill and about to die, the Caliph asked him to become a Muslim and by that guarantee himself paradise. But Ğirğīs not only refused to abandon the religion of his fathers, but asked the Caliph for permission to go back to Gondēšāpūr and see for the last time his wife and sons, a favour that the Caliph accorded him.

The second member of the family is **Buḥtīšū' ibn Ğirğīs**, d. ca. ah185/ad801²⁷. Buḥtīšū' equalled his father in competence and knowledge of the art of medicine. He was at the court of Hārūn al-Rašīd (r. ah170/ad786 - ah193/ad809), and when he arrived from Gondēšāpūr, he greeted the Caliph in Arabic and Persian. Hārūn al-Rašīd wanted to test him, so a urine sample from a beast of burden was presented to the physician, who immediately recognized it as not being human. But the Caliph insisted that it was the urine of his favorite, to which Buḥtīšū' retorted that if the Caliph was telling the truth, his favorite had became a quadruped.

His son Ğibrīl ibn Buḥtīšū' ibn Ğirğīs also excelled in medicine, and the caliph Hārūn al-Rašīd held him in such respect that he would say: Anybody in need of something from me, talk first to Ğibrīl, since I will do whatever he will ask me to do. Hārūn al-

Rašīd was a corpulent man and ate and drank too much. Once he got very ill at Raggah. Ğibrīl was called immediately, and when he arrived the state of the patient was serious. He immediately ordered cupping glasses to be applied and called a surgeon to make incisions and let blood. The caliph returned to himself and was soon able to talk. Ğibrīl and the others consoled him and gave him a pot of francolins (durrāğ) to eat, some good wine to drink, and good odours to smell. But towards the end of his life, Hārūn al-Rašīd fell ill and was unable to recover. He asked Ğibrīl why he was not able to cure him, to which Ğibrīl answered that he had always advised the Caliph not to eat too much and to be moderate in his sexual life, things that the Caliph had never wanted to hear. So now, at this stage, only Allah could save the caliph. The caliph ordered him to be put in prison, but when Hārūn died and al-Amīn (r. ah193/ad809 - ah198/ad813) ascended the throne Ğibrīl was restored to favour. However, when al-Ma'mun [r. ah198/ad813 ah218/ad833]²⁸ seized power Ğibrīl again suffered imprisonment²⁹. He was set free in ah202/ad817 to attend the wazīr Ḥasan ibn Sahl³⁰. In ah210/ad825 al-Ma'mūn became very ill and consulted the greatest physicians, among them Yuhanna ibn Masawayh, who was to become the director of the Bayt al-hikmah³¹. But they were not able to cure him, and he was advised to call Ğibrīl, who changed the treatment completely. The illness diminished and in three days the Caliph was cured. Al-Ma'mun rewarded him with a million dirhams and a thousand measures of grain and he was honoured even more than his father. Ğibrīl died in ah213/ad828 with an immense fortune built up during his service at the court.

The fourth member is **Buḥtīšū'** ibn **Ğibrīl** ibn **Buḥtīšū'**, for whom Ḥunayn ibn Isḥāq translated many books by Galen into Syriac and Arabic³². Buḥtīšū', a man of outstanding character, acquired a reputation, and a fortune, that no other doctor of the day could match. But envious rivals poisoned the Caliph's mind against him, with the result that he was stripped of all his property and

exiled to Gondēšāpūr in ah230/ad844. al-Mutawakkil (r. ah232/ad847 - ah247/ad861) restored him to favour and fortune, but he became so rich - even dressing like the Caliph himself - that he incurred the Caliph's displeasure and fell into disgrace again³³, only to be summoned back to treat al-Mutawakkil when he fell seriously ill. The pattern was to continue, a period of disgrace under al-Mustanṣir (r. ah247/ad861 - ah248/ad862) being followed by renewed activity as a court physician under al-Musta'īn (r. ah248/ad862 - ah252/ad866). He died in the year ah256/ad869.

Two further members of the family are described in much less detail: Yūḥannā ibn Buḥtīšūʻ, who translated books from Greek into Syriac and was physician to Muwaffaq Bi'llāh Ṭalḥa ibn Ğaʿfar al-Mutawakkil; and Buḥtīšūʻ ibn Yūḥannā, physician under al-Muqtadir Bi'llāh and then under al-Rāḍī Bi'llāh, who died in the year ah329/ad941 in Baġdād.

They are followed by a figure of greater significance, Gibril ibn 'Ubayd Allah ibn Buhtīšu' (the father of our 'Ubayd Allah), a distinguished physician under the reign of al-Muttaqi (r. ah329/ad940 - ah333/ad944). In ah357/ad967 he went to Shirāz, and subsequently to Baġdād in the entourage of 'Adūd al-Dawlah [Fannā Khusraw] where he restored the hospital. Ğibrīl is also reported as having travelled to Jerusalem, where he fasted for one day, and he came back to Bagdad via Damascus. His fame reached al-'Azīz in Cairo, who wrote to Ğibrīl to call him. Ğibrīl answered with an excuse that he was very busy in Bagdad, where he finally returned, after the death of 'Adud al-Dawlah, and completed his Kunnāš al-kabīr. He was called by the King of Daylam to go to Rayy and stayed with him three years. He then returned to Baġdād, only to move to Mosul called by Husam al-Dawlah to cure him. He subsequently moved to Mayyāfāriqīn at the invitation of the Amīr Mumahhid al-Dawlah, and when he got there the Amīr welcomed him with great honour. He died at the age of 85 in the year ah396/ad1005 and was buried at Mayyāfāriqīn.

Finally comes our 'Ubayd Allāh ibn Ğibrīl, the son of Ğibrīl ibn 'Ubayd Allāh ibn Buḥtīšū', chronologically the last member of the family. The following is a translation of Ibn Abī 'Uṣaybi'ah's biographical notice:

Abū Sa'īd 'Ubayd Allāh ibn Ğibrā'il ibn 'Ubayd Allāh ibn Buḥtīšū' ibn Ğibrā'il ibn Buḥtīšū' ibn Ğibrā'il. He was a distinguished physician, renowned for the practice of medicine, skilled in its principles and branches, and one of the most prominent figures among those in this profession. He was also very knowledgeable in Christian science and its schools. He wrote several books on the art of medicine. He lived at Mayyāfāriqīn. He was a contemporary of Ibn Buṭlān 44 and very close to him: indeed, there was a great friendship between them. 'Ubayd Allāh Ibn Ğibrā'il died sometime during the ah450s/ad1058s.

There follows a list of disparate medical works ascribed to Ibn Buḥtīšū', nine in all, the last to be mentioned being the *Kitāb ṭabā'i' al-ḥayawān wa ḥawāṣṣihā wa manāfi' a'ḍā'ihā* (A book on the characteristics of animals and their properties and usefulness of their organs)³⁵.

Ibn Abī Uṣaybi'ah completes his survey of the Buḥtīšū' family by stating that the people of Ğirǧīs' lineage and his sons were the most perfect people of their time, from the nobility of their souls, the generosity of their minds, their piety, their good conduct and liberality.

BIBLIOGRAPHY AND NOTES

ARISTOTLE, Omnia quae extant opera, Venice, 1552.

ARISTOTLE, Aristotelis Opera Omnia, Graece et Latine, ed. by A. FIRMIN-DIDOT, 5 vols., Paris, 1874-78.

ASIN PALACIOS M., El 'Libro de los animales' de Yahiz. Isis 1930; XIV: 20-54.

BADAWI, 'Abd al-Rahman (ed.), Aristotle Tiba' al-hayawan (on the parts of animals). Translation of part of Aristotle's De Naturis Animalium, translated by Yuhanna ibn al-Bitrīq, Arabic text, al-Kuwait, 1977.

BEKKER I., Aristoteles Opera, 5 vols., Berlin, 1831-1870.

BODENHEIMER F. S., RABINOWITZ A., Timotheus of Gaza Book on Animals: Fragment of a Byzantine Paraphrase of an Animal-Book of the 5th Century A. D., Leiden, 1949.

BROCKELMANN C., Bukhtīshū', in EI1.

BROCKELMANN C., Geschichte der arabischen Literatur (GAL), 2 vols., Leiden, 1892-1902; 2nd ed., 2 vols., Leiden, 1945-49; Supplement, 3 vols., Leiden, 1937-1942.

BROWNE E. G., History of Arabian Medicine, Cambridge, 1921.

BRUGMAN J., DROSSAART H. J., (ed.), Aristotle. Generation of Animals (Fikawn alhayawan). The Arabic translation commonly ascribed to Yahya Ibn al-Bitriq, Lulofs, 1971.

CONTADINI A., Note sul Kitāb Manāfi' al-Ḥayawān dell'Escorial. Annali di Ca' Foscari - Serie Orientale 1986; XXV, 3: 109-121.

CONTADINI A., The Kitāb manāfi' al-ḥayawān in the Escorial Library. Islamic Art 1988-89; III: 33-57.

CONTADINI A., The Kitāb na't al-ḥayawān ("Book on the characteristics of animals", BL, Or. 2784) and the Ibn Bakhtī<u>sh</u>ū' Illustrated Bestiaries, Ph. D. Thesis, London University, 1992.

CROSS F. L., The Oxford Dictionary of the Christian Church, (1st ed. 1957), 2nd ed. with. LIVINGSTON E..A., Oxford, 1978.

D'ARCY THOMPSON W. T., (ed.), Historia Animalium, Oxford, 1910.

DEGEN R., Galen im Syrischen: Eine Übersicht über die syrische Uberlieferung der Werke Galens, in NUTTON V. (ed.), Galen: Problems and Prospects, A Collection of Papers submitted at the 1979 Cambridge conference, The Wellcome Institute, London, 1981, pp. 131-166.

DOLS M. W., Medieval Islamic Medicine - Ibn Ridwan's Treatise "On the Prevention of Bodily Ills in Egypt", Translated with an introduction by DOLS M. V. Arabic Text edited by GAMAL A. S., University of California Press, 1984.

DOLS M. W. "Syriac into Arabic: the transmission of Greek medicine. Aram 1989; 1: 45-52. DUBLER, C. E., Über arabische Pseudo-Aristotelica. Asiatische Studien 1961; 14: 33-92.

DUNLOP D. M., Bīmāristān, in EI².

DUNLOP D. M., The Translations of al-Bitriq and Yahya (Yuhanna) b. al-Bitriq. Journal of the Royal Asiatic Society 1959: 140-150.

ELGOOD C., A Medical History of Persia and the Eastern Caliphate from the earliest times until the year AD 1932, Cambridge, 1951.

FURLANI G., Le Antiche Versioni Araba, Latina ed Ebraica del De Partibus Animalium di Aristotele. Rivista degli Studi Orientali 1921-23; IX: 237-257.

GABRIELI F., L'eredità classica nel medioevo musulmano. Scientia 1949; gennaio-febbraio: 15-18.

de GOEJE M. J., JUYNBOLL T. W., Catalogus Codicum Arabicorum Bibliothecae Academiae Lugduno-Batavae, 2 vols., Leiden, 1888-1907.

GRUBE E. J., Persian Panting in the 14th Century, Napoli, 1978.

AL-HAĞIRĪ, Ṭāhā, al-Ğāhiz. Ḥayātuhu wa atāruhu, Cairo, Dār al-Ma ārif, 1962.

HAUPT M., Excerpta ex Timothei Gazaei libris de animalibus. Hermes 1869; 3: 1-30.

HUART C., SAYILI A., Gondêshāpūr, in EI².

IBN ABĪ USAYBI'AH, 'Uyūn al-anbā' fi tabaqāt al-atibbā', 2 vols., Cairo, 1299/1882.

The Ibn Buhtīšū' Bestiary

KLEIN-FRANKE F., Abu Sa'id ibn Bakhtishu'. Risalah fi t-tibb wal-ahdath an-nafsaniyah (Über die Heilung der Krankheiten der Seele und des Körpers), ed. and transl. by KLEIN-FRANKE F. Recherches 1977; n. s., Orient chretien, vol. 4, Beirut, 1977.

KLEIN-FRANKE F., Vorlesungen über die Medizin im Islam, Sudhoffs Archiv, Beiheft 23, Wiesbaden. 1982.

KRUK R., Aristoteles semiticus-latinus. The Arabic Version of Aristotle's Parts of Animals. Book XI-XIV of the Kitab al-hayawan, Oxford, 1979.

de LACY O'LEARY D., How Greek Science Passed to the Arabs, London, 1949.

MEYERHOF M., An Arabic Compendium of Medico-philosophical Definitions. Isis 1928; 10: 340-349.

AL-NADĪM Ibn, The Fihrist of al-Nadim, ed. by Bayard Dodge, 2 vols., New York and London, 1970.

NEFTI BEL-HAKH M., La psychologie des animaux chez les arabes, notamment à travers le Kitab al-hayawan de Jahiz, Paris, 1977.

PECK A. L., Aristotle. Historia Animalium, I-VI, 2 vols., London, 1965-70.

PELLAT C., al-Djāhiz, in EI2.

PELLAT C., SOURDEL-THOMINE J., ELWELL-SUTTON L. P., NAILI BORATAV P., Havawān, in El².

PETERS F. E., Aristotle and The Arabs: The Aristotelian Tradition in Islam, New York University Studies in Near Eastern Civilization, Nr. 1, New York-London, 1968.

PETERS F. E., Aristotle Arabus: The Oriental Translations and Commentaries on the Aristotlelian "Corpus", Leiden, 1968.

PINES S., The Arabic recension of Parva Naturalia and the philosophical doctrine concerning veridical dreams according to al-Risala al-Manamiyya and other sources. Israel Oriental Studies 1974: IV: 104-153.

PLESSNER M., Storia delle scienze nell'Islam, in Le Civiltà dell'Oriente, III, Roma, 1958, pp. 449-492.

RIEU C., Catalogus Codicum Manuscriptorum Orientalium qui in Museo Britannico Asservantur. Pars Secunda, Codices Arabicos amplectens, 5 vols., London, 1846-1871.

ROSS D., Aristotle, Parva Naturalia, Oxford, 1955.

RUIZ BRAVO VILLASANTE C., Libro de las utilidades de los animales, Madrid, 1980.

SANGUINETTI R., L'ouvrage arabe d'Ibn Aby Ossaibi'ah sur l'Histoire Des Médecins. Journal Asiatique 1855; V série, tome VI: 129-190.

SCHACHT J., Ibn Butlan, in EI2.

SCHOEFFLER H. H., Die Akademie von Gondishapur, Stuttgart, 1979

SEZGIN F., Geschichte des arabischen Schrifttums (GAS), 9 vols., Leiden, 1967-84.

SIASSI A., L'Université de Gond-i Shapur et l'étendue de son rayonnement, in Mélanges H. Massé, Teheran, 1963, pp. 366-374.

SOURDEL D., Bayt al-Hikma, in El².

STEINSCHNEIDER M., Die Parva Naturalia des Aristoteles bei den Arabern, 2 vols., Leipzig, 1889-1891.

STEINSCHNEIDER M., Die arabischen Übersetzungen aus dem Griechischen, in Académie des Inscriptions, Leipzig, 1897.

THORNDIKE L., A History of Magic and Experimental Science, 4 vols., New York, 1923.

ULLMANN M., Die Natur- und Geheimwissenschaften im Islam, 2 vols., Leiden, 1970-1972.

ULLMANN M., Islamic Medicine, Edinburgh, 1978.

VADET J. and C., Ibn Māsawayh in EI².

WÜSTENFELD V. F., Geschichte der arabischen Ärzte und Naturforscher, Hildesheim, 1963.

These are: Kitāb na't al-ḥayawān, (in Arabic), probably North 'Irāq, datable to around ad1220, London, British Library, Or. 2784; Kitāb manāfi 'al-ḥayawān, (in Arabic), Egypt (?), dated ah700/ad1300, Paris, Bibliothèque Nationale, Ar. 2782; Kitāb manāfi 'al-ḥayawān, (in Arabic), Syria, probably Damascus, dated ah755/ad1354, San Lorenzo del Escorial, Biblioteca Real, Ms. Ar. 898; Manāfi 'al-ḥayawān, (in Persian), Maragha, dated between ah695/ad1295 and ah699/ad1299, New York, Pierpont Morgan Library, M. 500; Manāfi 'al-ḥayawān, (in Persian), Persia (?), datable to around ad1300, dispersed in various public and private collections. For these manuscripts see CONTADINI (1992); CONTADINI (1988-89); CONTADINI (1986); RUIZ BRAVO VILLASANTE (1980); GRUBE (1978). The manuscript in the Escorial has been recently reproduced in a facsimile edition: ...

² British Library Or. 2784 (see note 1), at fols. 95r-v.

³ See ARISTOTLE (1552), where a list of differences between the Greek and the Arabic text is added; and the fundamental works by PETERS (1968a); and PETERS (1968b). On *De Generatione Animalium* see BRUGMAN, DROSSAART (1971). For an edition and an English translation of *De Partibus Animalium* see KRUK (1979), which is also a survey of the whole contents and of the Arabic transmission of Aristotle's *Zoology*; for the *De Partibus Animalium* see also FURLANI (1922). For a translation into English of *Historia Animalium* see D'ARCY THOMPSON (1910); see also PECK (1965-70).

⁴ See STEINSCHNEIDER (1889-91); ROSS (1955); PINES (1974).

⁵ See DUNLOP (1959); de LACY (1949), p. 159.

⁶ Add. 7511. See RIEU (1871), 2nd vol., p. 215, no. 437. An edition of al-Bitrīq's translation is BADAWI (1977), which is based on the British Library manuscript Add. 7511, and on a manuscript in Teheran, National Library (majles-e šowra-ye melli), no. 1143.

Oriental 166. See PETERS (1968a), p. 47 ff.

⁸ Ibid., p. 47; KRUK (1979), p. 36. For Michael Scot see THORNDIKE (1923), vol. 2, Book V, pp. 307-337; THORNDIKE (1965).

As in British Library, Add. 7511.

¹⁰ See the Bekker edition of Aristotle *Historia Animalium*, BEKKER (1831). See also ARISTOTLE (1874), where the Greek version is based on Bekker, in particular vol. 3: *De Animalibus Historia*, pp. 1-217.

¹¹ See ULLMANN (1972), p. 23 and note 4. This text is possibly preserved in a manuscript which has been studied by MAYRHOFER H. in his dissertation *Kritische Einleitung zu einem arabischen Tierbuch*, Münich, 1911, of which only an abstract has been published, Münich 1925.

12 ULLMANN (1972), pp. 23-24.

¹³ For Thimotheus of Gazza see HAUPT (1869); BODENHEIMER, RABINOWITZ (1949). See also ULLMANN (1972), p. 15. For a general view of the Arabic transmission of pseudo-Aristotelian texts, see DUBLER (1961); also THORNDIKE (1923), vol. 2, Book IV, pp. 246-278. For general studies on the Arabic transmission of Greek science see STEINSCHNEIDER (1897); de LACY (1949); GABRIELI (1949). For science and medicine in addition to the fundamental and scholarly work by ULLMANN (1972) see ELGOOD (1951); PLESSNER (1958); WÜSTENFELD (1963); ULLMANN (1978).

This tendency has its first bases already in al-Gāḥiz (d. ah255/ad868) who can be considered the precursor of animal psychology. For al-Gāḥiz see PELLAT, al-Diāḥiz. See also ASIN PALACIOS (1930). For a general study of al-Gāḥiz's work see Nefti BEL-HAKH (1977), who focuses on the psychological-intuitive character of the Kitāb al-ḥayawān.

15 With a common late antique source such as the Physiologus tradition.

¹⁶ The site is now called Shahabad, in the province of Khuzistān in south-west Persia. See BROWNE (1921), p. 19, note 1; HUART, SAYILI *Gondêshāpūr*.

¹⁷ For a discussion on the date of this transformation see DOLS (1989). It is likely that the Gondēšāpūr medical teaching was modelled upon that of Alexandria and Antioch but became more specialized and efficient in its new Persian home. Apart from its influence as a medical centre, Gondēšāpūr may, more generally, be considered as a place through which the Nestorian heritage of Greek learning of Edessa and Nisibis passed to Baġdād. See SIASSI (1963); SCHOEFFLER (1979).

¹⁸ See CROSS (1978), pp. 961-963.

19 See Klein-FRANKE (1982), p. 70; see also SOURDEL, Bayt al-hikma.

²⁰ See DUNLOP, Bīmāristān.

²¹ This text is extant in a manuscript in Leiden, no. 1332 = Cod. 584,2 Warn., dated ah617/ad1221. It has been edited and translated by Klein-FRANKE (1977).

²² Ibid. p. 17; see also Klein-FRANKE (1982), p. 103. Contemporary of Ibn Buḥtīsū' was also IBN RIDWĀN (b. ah388/ad998, d. ca. ah460/ad1067-68) the great physician who lived in Cairo and is considered a follower of the school of Alexandria, and wrote the famous *Kitāb daf' maḍarr al-abdān*. Famous is his great dispute with the Iraqi physician Ibn Butlān. See DOLS (1984), which has the Arabic text, and a translation with a comprehensive introduction and bibliography on medicine in Islam. For Ibn Ridwān see IBN ABĪ UṢAYBI AH (1882), 2nd vol., p. 99.

²³ For al-Ğāḥiz see the biography by AL-HAĞIRĪ (1962). Also PELLAT, al-Djāhiz and

PELLAT, al-Havawān.

²⁴ 'Īsà ibn 'Alī, a Nestorian physician educated at Gondēšāpūr, pupil of Ḥunayn ibn Isḥāq, and physician to the caliph al-Mu'tamid (r. 256/870 - 279/892). He wrote a Kitāb manāfi' allatī tustafādu min a'dā' al-hayawān (Book on the usefulness of the organs of animals) of which several manuscripts survive. See a list in ULLMANN (1972), p.22; AL-NADĪM (1970), p. 699: 'Īsà ibn 'Alī. He was one of the pupil of Ḥunayn [ibn Ishāq] and an excellent man. Among his books there was. The Benefits Made Use of from the Organs of an Animal.

Anna Contadini

²⁵ IBN ABĪ UṢAYBI'AH (1882), vol. I, pp. 123-148. SANGUINETTI (1855) translated only a part of this 8th chapter, including the following members: Ğirǧīs ibn Ğibrā'il, Buḥtīšū' ibn Ğirǧīs, and Ğibrā'il ibn Buḥtīšū'.

²⁶ This would contradict the general belief, as propagated by de LACY (1949), p. 160, that the translation of medical works began later than mathematical and astronomical works.

²⁷ IBN ABĪ UṢAYBI'AH tells us that the meaning of the name Bubtīšū' is *Christ's servant*, because in the Syriac language *baht* means servant, and $I \bar{s} \bar{u}$ ' is Jesus (pp. 125-126). SANGUINETTI (1855), p. 140, note 1, considers this etymology to be mistaken and suggests that *baht* is a Persian name meaning bonheur, and $I \bar{s} \bar{u}$ ' is a Syriac or Hebrew name for Josue, Jesus, etc. This is definitely nearer the mark. See also BROWNE (1921), p. 23, note 2.

With a break in ah201-3/ad817-19 for the interregnum of Ibrāhīm ibn al-Mahdī, with whom Ğibrīl was in good terms.

²⁹ Since all those who had been supporters of his brother al-Amīn fell into disgrace. See de LACY (1949), pp. 159-160.

³⁰ While al-Ma'mūn preferred to remain at Marw where he had been while his brother al-Amīn was in power at Baġdād. Ḥasan's rule in Baġdād lasted six years, a period of tyranny culminated in the city's rebellion and the election of Mansūr ibn Mahdī before al-Ma'mūn took over control in person. See de LACY (1949), p. 161-162.

³¹ He also was a Nestorian and physician at Gondēšāpūr. Ḥunayn ibn Ishāq was his pupil. Among more famous books, he also wrote a *Kitāb al-ḥayawān*, which seems to survive in excerpts in the Latin manuscript *Liber de naturis animalium ex eis quod [sic] filius Messye dixit in libro de animalibus*, Oxford, Bodleian Library Digby 69. See *GAS* III, pp. 231-236; VADET, *Ibn Māsawayh*; de LACY (1949), pp. 163-164; ULLMANN (1972), p. 19.

³² Cfr. DEGEN (1981), pp. 131-166.

³³ This might also have been occurred because under al-Mutawakkil Nestorianism was, for a period, repressed. See CROSS (1978), p. 963.

³⁴ Abū'l-Ḥasan al-Muḥtār ibn Buṭlān (d. about ah458/ad1065) was a celebrated Christian physician in Baġdād, known for his *Taqwīm al-ṣiḥḥah* (Almanac of Health), the Latin version of which was published in 1531 at Strasburg under the title *Tacuinum sanitatis*. See SCHACHT, *Ibn Buṭlān*.

³⁵ To these Brockelmann adds *Kitāb al-'išqi maraḍān* (*A treatise on love as a disease*), extant in a manuscript in the University Library in Leiden: see BROCKELMANN, *Bakhtīshū'* and GOEJE, JUYNBOLL (1888-1907), n. 1332.

Correspondence should be addressed to: Anna Contadini, Department of the History of Art Trinity College, Dublin 2 - Ireland MEDICINA NEI SECOLI ARTE E SCIENZA 6 (1994) 365 - 376 Journal of History of Medicine

Articoli/Articles

L'*EPISTOLA SUL CONCEPIMENTO* NELL' ENCICLOPEDIA DEGLI IḤWĀN AL-ṢAFĀ'

CARMELA BAFFIONI Cattedra di Storia della Filosofia Islamica Istituto Universitario Orientale, Napoli, I

SUMMARY

THE *EPISTLE OF CONCEIVING* IN THE ENCYCLOPAEDIA OF THE IḤWĀN AL-ṢAFĀ'

This study illustrates the physiology of the embryo as it is described in the Conception Letter of the Iḥwān al-Ṣafā'. The Author compares this text with Hippocratic and Galenic writings, to underline all the points in which the Iḥwān detach themselves from the classical tradition. Here, as elsewhere, the originality of these Authors seems to be connected to the religious and sapiential features of their production.

Introduzione.

In questo studio prenderemo in esame alcune parti dell'*Epistola sul concepimento* degli Iḥwān al-Ṣafā'¹, l'XI della Sez. II (vol.II, p.417-455 dell'ed. di Bayrūt in 4 voll., 1957), la sola di argomento specificamente medico, anche se l'intera Enciclopedia è ricca di allusioni alla medicina, fatte dai più svariati punti di vista.

La trattazione è sostenuta da interessanti considerazioni di tipo astronomico-astrologico ma, per ragioni di spazio, ci limiteremo