MARCO EMANUELE DISCENZA^(*) & CARLO ESPOSITO^(**)

^(*) Geoservizi S.r.l., Via Luigi e Nicola Marinelli, 86025 Ripalimosani, Italy ^(**)Sapienza Università di Roma, Dipartimento di Scienze della Terra e Centro di Ricerca, Previsione, Prevenzione e Controllo dei Rischi Geologici (CERI), Piazza Aldo Moro, 00185 Roma, Italy Corresponding author: discenza@geoservizisrl.net

EXTENDED ABSTRACT

Il termine Deformazioni Gravitative Profonde di Versante (DGPV), in inglese Deep-Seated Gravitational Slope Deformations (DSGSDs), è stato ed è tuttora usato per descrivere un insieme di lenti e complessi processi deformativi guidati dalla gravità, che coinvolgono interi versanti o larghe porzioni di essi su lunghi periodi di tempo. Questi fenomeni si verificano nelle più diverse condizioni morfostrutturali e sono caratterizzati da numerose evidenze morfologiche (sdoppiamenti di cresta, depressioni sommitali, trincee, scarpate, controscarpate, fratture da trazione, rigonfiamenti), generalmente distribuite lungo tutto il sistema crinale-versante-fondovalle. Le deformazioni gravitative a grande scala interessano l'ammasso roccioso per spessori di diverse decine o centinaia di metri e, generalmente, sono caratterizzate da tassi di deformazione estremamente ridotti se comparati con le loro dimensioni, nell'ordine dei millimetri/anno. Oltre a condizionare l'assetto morfologico del rilievo, questi fenomeni determinano lo sviluppo di numerose frane secondarie. Non di rado, le DSGSDs presentano una evoluzione catastrofica e portano allo sviluppo grandi frane altamente pericolose a causa degli elevati volumi in gioco e delle alte velocità di spostamento.

Le deformazioni gravitative a grande scala sono particolarmente importanti sia per il loro ruolo morfogenetico che per il rischio associato alle deformazioni indotte e alla possibile evoluzione in grandi frane catastrofiche. Per questi motivi, negli ultimi decenni sono stati condotti numerosi studi specialistici su questi fenomeni. La mancanza di una classificazione generale e universalmente riconosciuta, unitamente alla grande variabilità di morfologie e caratteristiche, ha portato allo sviluppo di numerose terminologie e definizioni, che rendono l'approccio a questi fenomeni particolarmente complesso. Nonostante ciò, è possibile identificare alcune caratteristiche fondamentali, sia in termini di cinematismo ed elementi morfologici prodotti che in termini di fattori di controllo e possibile evoluzione.

Le DSGSDs possiedono caratteristiche che le collocano in una posizione intermedia tra le frane *s.s.* e la tettonica gravitativa. Tra le diverse tipologie di fenomeni non esiste un limite netto e ben definito, anche se nel corso degli anni sono stati prodotti diversi studi sugli elementi che consentono di discriminare i vari processi. In particolare, come elemento caratteristico delle DSGSDs alcuni autori hanno considerato l'assenza di una superficie di scivolamento continua, mentre altri la mancanza di limiti esterni ben definiti. La prima condizione ha perso di valore a causa della scoperta di superfici di scivolamento continue in molte deformazioni gravitative, mentre la seconda è strettamente dipendente dalle caratteristiche geologico-strutturali dei versanti coinvolti e dal grado di evoluzione del fenomeno, anche se appare piuttosto comune in natura.

Negli ultimi anni, alcuni studi hanno evidenziato l'importanza delle elevate scale spaziali e temporali che contraddistinguono le DSGSDs. Le scale su cui esse agiscono sono strettamente connesse al comportamento reologico tempo-dipendente degli ammassi rocciosi coinvolti, che rappresenta un elemento distintivo e caratteristico di tali fenomeni. L'analisi della letteratura scientifica esistente ha permesso di definire i range che contraddistinguono questi processi deformativi guidati dalla gravità. Le DSGSDs presentano dimensioni generalmente comprese tra 10⁶ e 10⁹ m³, localmente fino a 10¹⁰ - 10¹¹ m³, e si sviluppano su intervalli di tempo variabili tra 10³ e 10⁴ anni, in alcuni casi fino a 10⁵ anni.

Sulla base di quanto esposto, si ritiene che la loro differenziazione rispetto alle frane convenzionali e alla tettonica gravitativa non possa essere fatta in riferimento a un singolo elemento, ma piuttosto tenendo conto di tutta una serie di fattori caratteristici. In sintesi, i principali elementi che contraddistinguono le DSGSDs sono: (*i*) dimensioni comparabili con quelle dell'intero versante (o gran parte di esso); (*ii*) limiti esterni o margini diffusi, discontinui o non ben definiti, con fianchi talora coincidenti con elementi idrografici o tettonici; (*iii*) distribuzione peculiare di alcuni elementi morfologici (sdoppiamenti di cresta, depressioni sommitali, trincee, scarpate, controscarpate, fratture da trazione, rigonfiamenti), generalmente distribuiti lungo l'intero sistema crinale-versante-fondovalle; (*iv*) tassi di deformazione estremamente ridotti (nell'ordine dei millimetri/anno); (*v*) ampie scale spaziali e temporali (volumi compresi tra 10⁶ e 10¹¹ m³ e intervalli di tempo compresi tra 10³ e 10⁵ anni); (*vi*) complessi processi deformativi (deformazioni fragili, duttili e visco-plastiche sia in ammasso che lungo bande e piani di taglio); (*vii*) ammassi rocciosi intensamente fratturati e deformati (non completamente disturbati, con struttura originaria ancora riconoscibile, a meno che nelle zone di taglio); (*viii*) presenza di frane secondarie e grandi collassi di versante (in tutto o in parte del versante).

ABSTRACT

Deep-Seated Gravitational Slope Deformations (DSGSDs) are complex, slope-scale, gravity-driven phenomena, which are placed between landslide movements s.s. and gravitational tectonics. These processes, which develop over very long time periods, are considerably relevant both for the remarkable influence on the evolution of hillslope morphology and for risk associated with the induced deformations and the possible evolution into catastrophic landslides. A large number of studies on DSGSDs was produced in the scientific literature; the lack of a widely recognized classification, together with the great variability of shapes and characteristics, have led to the development of numerous terminologies and definitions, which make the approach to these phenomena particularly complex. Despite this, it is possible to identify some fundamental characteristics, both in terms of kinematic mechanisms and induced morphological elements and of controlling factors and possible evolution. In more recent years, some studies highlighted the relevance of the elevated spatial and temporal scales that feature DSGSDs, with specific reference to the time-dependent rheology regulating the deformation of rock masses as a prominent distinctive feature of such processes. This study describes the current state of the art, starting from both the numerous works present in the literature and specialized review articles aimed at individual aspects of these phenomena. The work is developed in order to describe both the history of scientific progress in this field and all the main aspects that characterize the phenomena in question, both in terms of intrinsic characteristics and in relation to the control factors and possible evolution. Through the analyzes of literature data, indications are given on the space-time scale and on the characteristics that allow to distinguish DSGSDs from other gravitational phenomena.

Keywords: DSGSDs, sackung (sagging), classification, nomenclature, kinematics, morphological features, rock mass rheology, creep

INTRODUCTION

The term Deep-Seated Gravitational Slope Deformations (DSGSDs *Auct.*) refers to a set of slow and complex deformational processes driven by gravity, which involve entire slopes (or large portions of them) over long time intervals (DRAMIS & SORRISO-VALVO, 1994; AGLIARDI *et alii*, 2012). These phenomena take place in a wide variety of morpho-structural conditions (Fig. 1) and are characterized by typical morphological features (e.g., double ridges, ridge top depressions, trenches, scarps, counterscarps, tension cracks, toe bulging) generally distributed along the entire ridge-slope-valley floor system (RADBRUCH-HALL, 1978; BOVIS, 1982; SAVAGE & VARNES, 1987; AGLIARDI *et alii*, 2001, 2012; JABOYEDOFF *et alii*, 2013; ESPOSITO *et alii*, 2014; DISCENZA *et alii*, 2021).

Some Authors refer to these processes with different names, such as Slope Tectonics (ST – JABOYEDOFF *et alii*, 2011), Mass Rock Creep (MRC – RADBRUCH-HALL, 1978; CHIGIRA, 1992) or Rock Slope Deformation (RSD – HUNGR *et alii*, 2014; JARMAN & HARRISON, 2019). These terms have the advantage of not referring to the binding concept of depth, rather emphasizing the importance of stress fields and related deformations (as in the case of ST), implying high spatial scales, or the mechanical behavior (as in the case of MRC), implying significant temporal scales. In this study, for uniformity, reference will be made to the term DSGSD as it is more widespread in the international scientific literature, while stressing that the different terms are interchangeable.

DSGSDs involve large rock masses, with thickness of many tens or hundreds of meters (PÁNEK & KLIMEŠ, 2016) and have dimensions comparable with those of the entire slope or ridges (AGLIARDI *et alii*, 2001; JABOYEDOFF *et alii*, 2013). Generally, these phenomena are characterized by very small deformation rates if compared with their dimensions (DRAMIS & SORRISO-VALVO, 1994), in the order of mm per years (VARNES *et alii*, 1990; AGLIARDI *et alii*, 2001; AMBROSI & CROSTA, 2006; AGLIARDI *et alii*, 2012; JABOYEDOFF *et alii*, 2013; PÁNEK & KLIMEŠ, 2016; DELLA SETA *et alii*, 2017).

Large-scale deformational processes often show characteristics similar to purely tectonic ones (AGLIARDI et alii, 2012) and, in general, there is no clear and well-defined limit between the two processes (JABOYEDOFF et alii, 2011, 2013). As in the case of tectonics, slope deformations can also induce both brittle and ductile deformations in the involved rock masses, such as folds, faults, and shear zones (ZISCHINSKY, 1969; NEMČOK et alii, 1972; MAHR & NEMČOK, 1977; FLEMING & JOHNSON, 1989; CHIGIRA, 1992; BRAATHEN et alii, 2004). Gravity-induced features can be extended and linear if set on pre-existing tectonic lineaments, but generally they are less persistent than tectonic ones, with more arcuate shape and peculiar assemblage along the slope (RADBRUCH-HALL, 1978; AGLIARDI et alii, 2012).

As a distinctive element among landslides and DSGSDs, both the lack of a continuous sliding surface or basal shear zone (DRAMIS & SORRISO-VALVO, 1994) and the absence of continuous and well-defined external boundaries (AGLIARDI *et alii*, 2001; JARMAN, 2006; AGLIARDI *et alii*, 2012; CROSTA *et alii*, 2013; JARMAN & HARRISON, 2019) have been considered. Some authors suggested the use of the size of phenomena (SORRISO-VALVO, 1984, 1995; JABOYEDOFF *et alii*, 2011; HUNGR *et alii*, 2014). The complexity in the identification of peculiar characteristics (recognizable and quantifiable) makes it difficult to choose a single element as distinctive (and specific) of DSGSDs with respect to conventional landslides and gravitational tectonics. Much more reasonable is to distinguish these different phenomena by using more than one element, as described below. Among these



Fig. 1 - Typical examples of DSGSDs and related morphological features: a) frontal view of the gravitational slope deformation at Siah, Zagros Mountains, Iran (photo courtesy of Dr. Michele Delchiaro); b) double-sided sackung, marked by uphillfacing scarps, at Mission Ridge, Pacific Coast Mountains, Canada; c) double crest related to DSGSD at Mission Ridge, Pacific Coast Mountains, Canada; d) trenches and uphill-facing scarps at Maiella Mountain, Central Apennines, Italy; e) spreading phenomenon and related trenches at Sasso Simone, Northern Apennines, Italy; f) lateral spread of thrust front at Sgurgola, Central Apennines, Italy

factors, the high spatial and temporal scales on which DSGSDs act assume particular importance, as a consequence of timedependent rheology of the involved rock often featuring these processes (MARTINO *et alii*, 2017).

DSGSDs represent a very important geomorphological element, both from the scientific point of view and for the possible implications in terms of social and economic impact (ZÁRUBA & MENCL, 1969; DRAMIS & SORRISO-VALVO, 1994; PÁNEK & KLIMEŠ, 2016; MARTINO *et alii*, 2020). In fact, in addition to the large-scale influence on the morphological elements of the landscape, they determine the development of many secondary landslides at the more local scale. They also produce significant interferences with the anthropic structures and infrastructures present in the territory (DISCENZA *et alii*, 2011; FRATTINI *et alii*, 2013). Critically, these phenomena present a catastrophic evolution and can evolve into large landslides, such as fast and

highly-dangerous rock avalanches (HUNGR & EVANS, 2004; EVANS *et alii*, 2006; CHIGIRA *et alii*, 2010).

Considering the terminological and sometimes substantial differences existing in the scientific literature for the definition of these processes, this paper aims at constructing an updated state of the art (review), which provides a starting point for discussion and the construction of a comprehensive and uniform classification scheme. This study also summarizes all the main aspects concerning large-scale gravitational deformations and the related reference bibliography. It tries to describe all the various aspect that contribute to the definition of these processes, such as nomenclature, diffusion, types, kinematic mechanisms, and morphological characteristics, thus pointing out at the same time the different definitions and the common aspects.

After providing the updated state of the art on this specific topic, this paper discusses the key principles in order to suggest possible criteria for terminological unification in view of a comprehensive DSGSDs classification. Finally, key criteria for the differentiation between slope-scale gravitational phenomena and conventional landslides or gravitational tectonics are suggested. Figure 2 shows the number of papers considered in this work per decade of publication. Although the reported works are not exhaustive of all the existing scientific literature regarding DSGSDs, the graph nevertheless demonstrates the increase of interest on this topic over time. However, the large number of existing papers and the vast amount of published data are not exhaustive of all the characteristics of DSGSDs, the scale factors that characterize them, and of the various elements that make it possible to identify these phenomena.

NOMENCLATURE AND EXISTING CLASSIFICATIONS

Over the years, many terms and definitions were used by several authors to describe the phenomena acting on large space-time scales, which can be considered as complex, or sometimes multiple, processes deriving from the mutual interaction of many mechanisms of movement (CANCELLI & CASAGLI, 1995). Most of them show a local character and are used in relation to the researcher's geographical interest area. In many cases, specific terms were coined for this type of phenomena, while in other cases the typical nomenclatures of conventional landslides were adopted.

Terms and nomenclature

The term Deep-Seated Gravitational Slope Deformation was introduced by MALGOT (1977), while the acronym DSGSD was introduced much later, especially on the basis of the studies of DRAMIS & SORRISO-VALVO (1994) and AGLIARDI *et alii* (2001). Although this term (and its acronym) has now become commonplace, numerous other definitions are still used to describe these phenomena.

The term *sackung* (ZISCHINSKY, 1966, 1969), in plural *sackungen*, was introduced to indicate instability phenomenon characterized by deformation mainly concentrated along a discrete sliding surface, which does not extend continuously below the moving mass. This deformational process, widespread in jointed rock masses, were described by other authors using terms such as gravitational creep (NEMČOK, 1972; TER-STEPANIAN, 1974; MALGOT, 1977; RADBRUCH-HALL, 1978), deep



Fig. 2 - Published works on DSGSDs cited in this paper, divided according to the period of publication

seated deformation (TERZAGHI, 1962), deep seated creep (TER-STEPANIAN, 1966; NEMČOK *et alii*, 1972; MAHR & NEMČOK, 1977; HUTCHINSON, 1988), mass rock creep (RADBRUCH-HALL, 1978; CHIGIRA, 1992), sagging (KOBAYASHI, 1956; HUTCHINSON, 1988), and rock flow (VARNES, 1978; SAVAGE & VARNES, 1987; CRUDEN & VARNES, 1996; DIKAU *et alii*, 1996).

For a phenomenon with similar morphological characteristics but mainly produced by large-scale block toppling, other authors introduced terms such as block toppling and flexural toppling (GOODMAN & BRAY, 1976; BOVIS, 1982; NICHOL *et alii*, 2002; REITNER & LINNER, 2009). This same phenomenon is also termed T-sagging (HUTCHINSON, 1988), because of the characteristics in common with the other phenomena previously described.

The term lateral spreading (JAHN, 1964; RADBRUCH-HALL *et alii*, 1976; VARNES, 1978; CANCELLI & CASAGLI, 1995; CRUDEN & VARNES, 1996) is used to indicate a deformational process with predominantly horizontal movement, that commonly affect jointed rigid slabs superimposed on more ductile materials, such as clays and silts. If the deformational phenomenon involves only rock masses, it can be described as rock spreading (JAHN, 1964; BECK, 1968) or rock mass spreading (MARTINO *et alii*, 2004; DISCENZA *et alii*, 2011).

The terms of block slide (VOIGHT, 1973; ZÁRUBA & MENCL, 1982), block-type movement (NEMČOK *et alii*, 1972; PAŠEK, 1974; ZÁRUBA & MENCL, 1976; PAŠEK & KOŠŤÁK, 1979; NEMČOK, 1982; HUTCHINSON, 1988), block glide (VOIGHT, 1973), back tilting (ZÁRUBA & MENCL, 1982) or *gleitung* (ZISCHINSKY, 1966, 1969) have been used to describe a deformational process characterized by movements along pre-existing, or newly formed discontinuities. This phenomenon, especially in Italian literature, is also referred to rock block slide (ESPOSITO *et alii*, 2007).

Other terms used to describe deformational phenomena as a function of the effects produced on the slope system, such as cambering and valley bulging (HOLLINGWORTH *et alii*, 1944; HUTCHINSON, 1968; HORSWILL *et alii*, 1976; ZÁRUBA & MENCL, 1982; HUTCHINSON, 1988; PARKS, 1991) and deep seated distortion of steep-sided ridges (VARNES *et alii*, 1989). Finally, there are terms that identify phenomena in relation to the structural setting of the relief, such as lateral spread of thrust front (DRAMIS & SORRISO-VALVO, 1994).

Existing classifications of mass movements

Since the second half of the 1900s, many studies proposed different classifications of landslides and slope movements. Most of them focus on the classification of conventional landslides (at least in terms of size), although some of them reported indications on large-scale gravitational phenomena (HUNGR *et alii*, 2014 and reference in therein). Given the large number of classifications of slope phenomena present in literature, only the most important ones for the study of DSGSDs are reported below.

In the well-known versions of the classification of VARNES (1954, 1978), as well as in the review of CRUDEN & VARNES (1996), large-scale gravitational deformations do not have their own dedicated space. However, they can be included in some categories of landslides *s.s.* by considering analogies in terms of involved material and deformation mechanism (i.e., rock flow and rock spreading). The first term is focused on rather deep phenomena and, therefore, it is often used to describe DSGSDs.

In the classification of HUTCHINSON (1968, 1988), some large-scale slope processes find a specific denomination. For example, the typology of sagging, introduced in the second version (HUTCHINSON, 1988), is often used to classify largescale deformational processes. Within this classification system, other terms that can be used to describe a DSGSD are creep, slide (or landslide), and complex slope deformation (e.g., cambering and valley bulging and block-type movement), some in part already included in the first classification version (e.g., creep, slide, and cambering and valley bulging).

In parallel, ZÁRUBA & MENCL (1969) developed a first classification of the gravitational slope processes. In this work, the gravitational movements are divided into four categories mainly related to the geological features of the slope (i.e., slope movement of superficial deposits, slide in pelitic rocks, slope movement involving solid rock, special kind of slope movement which constitute important geological phenomena in particular countries). In this case, large-scale gravitational deformations fall mainly in the category of slope movement involving solid rock and, only partially, in the slide in pelitic rocks.

In the Alpine sectors, NEMČOK *et alii* (1972) developed a classification of landslide movements into four main types (i.e., creep, sliding, flow, fall), which in turn can be divided into further groups according to the local geological, morphological, and climatic conditions. In this study is defined a class (i.e., creep) in which large-scale gravitational processes, with limited deformation rates up to a few centimeters per year, can be included. In the case that the material undergoes an evident acceleration, the creep passes into one of the other three categories of landslides *s.s.* (i.e., sliding, flow or fall).

In Italy, SORRISO-VALVO (1984) proposed a classification for the distinction between superficial landslide movements, deep gravitational deformations and gravitational tectonics. At each scale, three types of movement are identified (i.e., lateral spreading, sliding, and flow). The classification was revised a decade later (SORRISO-VALVO, 1995); it kept intact the general framework and the distinctions in three class, even if the aspects related to the scale factors and the influence of the relief morphology were integrated.

A few years later, HUTCHINSON (1995) proposed a specific classification for deep gravitational movements by suggesting some geometric parameters (and relative limits) for the

distinction between deep slips and intermediate thickness landslides. In his work, a brief review of the main types of deep gravitational movements (i.e., deep-seated creep, sagging, toppling, block-type movement, cambering and valley bulging, deformation on plateau edges formed by a rigid tabular, jointed rock stratum overlying argillaceous strata) is also proposed. Furthermore, the deep phenomena were divided into diastrophic (tectonic) and non-diastrophic (gravitational tectonics and gravitational).

In recent years, the Varnes classification was revised by HUNGR *et alii* (2014), that introduce the slope deformations as an integration of the previous types of movements, dividing them in turn into mountain slope deformation and rock slope deformation. In the version of HUNGR *et alii* (2014), despite the addition of a typology, large-scale gravitational deformations can fall either in slope deformation (e.g., sackung given the elimination of rock flow) or in the different landslide categories (i.e., spreading, sliding, and flexural toppling).

BASICS OF DSGSDs

The distribution over time of published papers on DSGSDs and considered in this work is shown in Fig. 2. The study of large-scale gravitational phenomena began in the 1930s (HEIM, 1932) and the following two decades (STINI, 1941; VAN BEMMELEN, 1950; STINI, 1952; KOBAYASHI, 1956). In the 1960s there was a moderate increase in the number of publications on these phenomena (TERZAGHI, 1962; VON ENGELEN, 1963; JAHN, 1964; HAEFELI, 1965; NEMČOK, 1966; TER-STEPANIAN, 1966; ZISCHINSKY, 1966; FERGUSON, 1967; BECK, 1968; KNILL, 1968; MENCL, 1968; NEMČOK & RYBÁŘ, 1968; PAŠEK, 1968; NEMČOK & PAŠEK, 1969; ZÁRUBA, 1969; ZÁRUBA & MENCL, 1969; ZISCHINSKY, 1969).

Later, a significant growth of research on DSGSDs occurred in the 1970s, as highlighted by CROSTA (1996). In this period, in addition to a large number of studies on the characteristics of phenomena (TABOR, 1971; NEMČOK, 1972; NEMČOK *et alii*, 1972; FEDA, 1973; RADBRUCH-HALL *et alii*, 1976; ZÁRUBA & MENCL, 1976; MAHR, 1977; MAHR & NEMČOK, 1977; RADBRUCH-HALL *et alii*, 1977; RADBRUCH HALL, 1978; VARNES, 1978; GUERRICCHIO & MELIDORO, 1979), the first modellings were also conducted (KOŠŤÁK, 1977; EMERY, 1978). A complete discussion of the history of studies on DSGSDs, especially up to the mid- 1990s, has been provided by CROSTA (1996).

Since the end of the last century, the growth of new technologies (e.g., interferometry, GPS, geotechnical monitoring) has led to numerous studies that focus on the monitoring of large-scale gravitational deformations and the analysis of their deformation rates (AMBROSI & CROSTA, 2006; MORO *et alii*, 2007; BARLA *et alii*, 2010; AGLIARDI *et alii*, 2012; FRATTINI *et alii*, 2013; AGNESI *et alii*, 2015; DI MARTIRE

et alii, 2016; AMATO *et alii*, 2018; CAPPADONIA *et alii*, 2019; TESHEBAEVA *et alii*, 2019; CRIPPA *et alii*, 2020). The monitoring data can also be used to define the state of activity and the type of movement (FRATTINI *et alii*, 2018). In this regard, PÁNEK & KLIMEŠ (2016) described the studies concerning monitoring and the dating of DSGSDs to analyze the time-dependent behavior.

Although the first numerical modellings of DSGSDs were carried out in the 1970s (EMERY, 1978) and in the period between the 1990s and 2000s (PRITCHARD & SAVIGNY, 1991; CROSTA & BERTO, 1996; AGLIARDI et alii, 2001; MARTINO et alii, 2004; KINAKIN & STEAD, 2005; MAFFEI et alii, 2005; APUANI et alii, 2007; ESPOSITO et alii, 2007; BACHMANN et alii, 2009; CHEMENDA et alii, 2009), only in recent years the models have significantly contributed to the study and understanding of these phenomena (AMBROSI & CROSTA, 2011; BIANCHI FASANI et alii, 2011; DISCENZA et alii, 2011; BOZZANO et alii, 2012; LEITH, 2012; Hou et alii, 2014; Bozzano et alii, 2016; Makowska et alii, 2016; DE BLASIO & MARTINO, 2017; DELLA SETA et alii, 2017; BOIS et alii, 2018; AGLIARDI et alii, 2019; ALFARO et alii, 2019). In parallel, other methods were also used for the study of large-scale gravitational deformations, such as small-scale physical modelling (Košťák, 1977; BACHMANN et alii, 2004; CHEMENDA et alii, 2005; BACHMANN et alii, 2006; BOIS et alii, 2008, 2012; BOZZANO et alii, 2013; BRETSCHNEIDER et alii, 2013; DEL VENTISETTE et alii, 2015; DISCENZA et alii, 2020).

DSGSDs diffusion

Starting from the first studies, a large amount of DSGSD phenomena were identified and analyzed all over the world, as can be observed from the map (Fig. 3) produced by PÁNEK & KLIMEŠ (2016). In the following sections, only few examples are reported; for any further information reference is made to specialized studies in the various geographical areas.

In recent years, cases of DSGSDs were analyzed in Alaska (McCALPIN *et alii*, 2011; NEWMAN, 2013), Alberta (JABOYEDOFF *et alii*, 2009), Andalusia (ALFARO *et alii*, 2019), Andes (VILÍMEK *et alii*, 2007; AUDEMARD *et alii*, 2010; GARCÍA-DELGADO, 2020), Carpathians (HRADECKÝ & PÁNEK, 2008; PÁNEK *et alii*, 2011), China (DENG *et alii*, 2000; CHIGIRA *et alii*, 2010), Ethiopian Highland (MÈGE *et alii*, 2013), Himalaya (THURO *et alii*, 2004), Iceland (COQUIN *et alii*, 2015), Japanese Alps (CHIGIRA, 2009; CHIGIRA *et alii*, 2013a), New Zealand (KORUP, 2006a), Norway (SCHLEIER *et alii*, 2016; VICK *et alii*, 2020), Pacific Coast Mountains (BOVIS & EVANS 1996; KINAKIN & STEAD, 2005), Pamir-Alaj (TESHEBAEVA *et alii*, 2019), Pyrenees (GUTIÉRREZ-SANTOLALLA *et alii*, 2005; LEBOURG *et alii*, 2014), Rocky Mountains (VARNES *et alii*, 1990; MCCALPIN & IRVINE 1995), and Tien Shan Mountains (TIBALDI *et alii*, 2015).

The European Alps are certainly among the most studied places: many cases have been studied in Italy (AGLIARDI et alii,



Fig. 3 - Distribution of key DSGSDs in the world, especially cases from studies published since 1990s (redrawn from PÁNEK & KLIMEŠ, 2016): a) worldwide context; b) detailed view of Europe. The numbered hatched regions contain published databases of DSGSDs from: 1) Scottish Highland (JARMAN, 2006); 2) Eastern Pyrenees (JARMAN et alii, 2014); 3) European Alps (CROSTA et alii, 2013); 4) Western Carpathians (NEMČOK, 1982; ALEXANDROWICZ & ALEXANDROWICZ, 1988)

2001; Ambrosi & Crosta, 2006; Apuani et alii, 2007; Cadoppi et alii, 2007; AGLIARDI et alii, 2009; AMBROSI & CROSTA, 2011; GHIROTTI et alii, 2011; MARTINOTTI et alii, 2011; TAMBURINI et alii, 2015), France (HIPPOLYTE et alii, 2006; BOIS et alii, 2008; EL BEDOUI et alii, 2009; HIPPOLYTE et alii, 2012; JOMARD et alii, 2014), Austria (MADRITSCH & MILLEN, 2007; IMRE et alii, 2009; BAROŇ et alii, 2016), and Switzerland (BARBARANO et alii, 2015; PEDRAZZINI et alii, 2016; AGLIARDI et alii, 2019). Many detailed studies have been performed in the Italian Apennines (DI LUZIO et alii, 2004b; MARTINO et alii, 2004; MAFFEI et alii, 2005; GALADINI, 2006; ESPOSITO et alii, 2007; MORO et alii, 2009; DISCENZA et alii, 2011; BOZZANO et alii, 2013; BIANCHI FASANI et alii, 2014; ESPOSITO et alii, 2014; GORI et alii, 2014; Della Seta et alii, 2017; Mariani & Zerboni, 2020; Martino et alii, 2020; ESPOSITO et alii, 2021), and in the Calabrian Arc (GUERRICCHIO et alii, 1996; TANSI et alii, 2005; PELLEGRINO & PRESTININZI, 2007; BONCI et alii, 2010; BRETSCHNEIDER et alii, 2013), which like all recently raised areas are characterized by numerous gravity-induced gravitational deformations (MARTINO et alii, 2004; Esposito et alii, 2014).

Some databases specifically dedicated to or containing also DSGSDs were created for areas of Europe, such as British Mountains (JARMAN & HARRISON, 2019), Eastern Pyrenees (JARMAN *et alii*, 2014), European Alps (AGLIARDI *et alii*, 2012; AGLIARDI *et alii*, 2013; CROSTA *et alii*, 2013; PEDRAZZINI *et alii*, 2016), Scottish Highland (JARMAN, 2006), Sicily (AGNESI *et alii*, 2000; DI MAGGIO *et alii*, 2014), and Western Carpathians (NEMČOK, 1982; ALEXANDROWICZ & ALEXANDROWICZ, 1988; PÁNEK *et alii*, 2017, 2019). Others were made for different parts of the world, such as Japan (KANEDA & KONO, 2017), New Zealand (MCLEAN *et alii*, 2015), Patagonian Andes (PÁNEK *et alii*, 2021), and Taiwan (TSOU *et alii*, 2015).

In addition to Earth, DSGSDs were recognized on other planets of the Solar System, such as Mars (MÈGE & BOURGEOIS, 2011; GUALLINI*etalii*, 2012; GOURRONC*etalii*, 2014; MAKOWSKA *et alii*, 2016; DE BLASIO & MARTINO, 2017; CROSTA *et alii*, 2018; KROMUSZCZYŃSKA *et alii*, 2019; DISCENZA *et alii*, 2021). Like terrestrial counterparts, Martian gravitational deformations are characterized by geomorphic features similar to those common on the Earth (MÈGE & BOURGEOIS, 2011; GOURRONC *et alii*, 2014), but have significantly larger dimensions, at least one order of magnitude higher (KROMUSZCZYŃSKA *et alii*, 2019). The state of the art and the complete review of the Martian DSGSDs is reported in DISCENZA *et alii* (2021).

Mechanisms and controlling factors

The onset and the development of DSGSDs are closely related to specific geological-structural, geomorphological, and geomechanical conditions (AGLIARDI *et alii*, 2001; DI LUZIO *et alii*, 2004b; AGLIARDI *et alii*, 2012; ESPOSITO *et alii*, 2014; MARIANI & ZERBONI, 2020), that directly influence the kinematics of the processes (PÁNEK & KLIMEŠ, 2016). The works by CROSTA (1996), JABOYEDOFF *et alii* (2011), and AGLIARDI *et alii* (2012), with their related bibliography, provides a complete discussion of the topic.

Without prejudice to the complexity of the phenomena and the absence of a univocal classification, it is possible to divide the DSGSDs into two large macro-categories: sackung and spreading (Fig. 4). The sackung is characterized by a significant vertical component of the deformation in the upper part of the slope, which is also associated with horizontal displacements in the middlelower portion of the relief (ZISCHINSKY, 1966; HUTCHINSON, 1988; AGLIARDI *et alii*, 2012). The spreading is characterized by a prevalent horizontal component (JAHN, 1964; VARNES, 1978). From a morphological and kinematic point of view, further types of deformations, such as toppling and sliding, can be included in the macro-category of sackung, while rock block slide can be included in spreading (HUTCHINSON, 1988; HUNGR *et alii*, 2014).

Sackung typically occurs in mountainous areas and slopes characterized by the presence of jointed rock masses (AGLIARDI et alii, 2012). In these cases, inherited structures such as faults, folds, bedding planes, and joints strongly control the process (Chigira, 1992; Agliardi et alii, 2001; Bianchi Fasani et alii, 2004; SCARASCIA MUGNOZZA et alii, 2006a; BRIDEAU et alii, 2009; REITNER & LINNER, 2009; JABOYEDOFF et alii, 2013; ESPOSITO et alii, 2021). The role played by pre-existing structures on the evolution of DSGSDs was demonstrated by several studies, that highlighted not only the importance of main bedrock anisotropies acting as preferential weakness planes (or zones) for the movement to occur, but also the implications in terms of overall geomechanical properties of the material at the slope scale (AMBROSI & CROSTA, 2006; BRIDEAU et alii, 2009; JABOYEDOFF et alii, 2009; AMBROSI & CROSTA, 2011; EL BEDOUI et alii, 2011; BOZZANO et alii, 2012; MAKOWSKA et alii, 2016; DELLA SETA et alii, 2017).

Sackung is mainly controlled by time-dependent deformations (Ter-Stepanian, 1966; Zischinsky, 1969; Nemčok, 1972; Mahr, 1977; MAHR & NEMČOK, 1977; RADBRUCH-HALL, 1978; SAVAGE & VARNES, 1987; CHIGIRA, 1992; MARTINO et alii, 2004; APUANI et alii, 2007; ESPOSITO et alii, 2007; DISCENZA et alii, 2011; BOZZANO et alii, 2012, 2016; DELLA SETA et alii, 2017), which are spread over very long time intervals (DRAMIS & SORRISO-VALVO, 1994; AGLIARDI et alii, 2012, 2013; DELLA SETA et alii, 2017), i.e. up to hundreds of thousands years. The deformation of rock mass occurs mainly for viscous deformations connected to deep creep phenomena (TER-STEPANIAN, 1966; ZISCHINSKY, 1966; FEDA, 1973; MAHR, 1977; RADBRUCH-HALL, 1978; SAVAGE & VARNES, 1987; HUTCHINSON, 1988; CHIGIRA, 1992), even if there are ductile and visco-plastic deformations along shear planes and sliding surfaces (AGLIARDI et alii, 2001; AMBROSI & CROSTA, 2006; JABOYEDOFF et alii, 2013).

Creep phenomena are driven by various factors, such as the mechanical and rheological characteristics of the involved rocks, the structural setting of the relief, and the jointing state of rock masses (CHIGIRA, 1992; AMBROSI & CROSTA, 2011; JABOYEDOFF

et alii, 2013; MARTINO *et alii*, 2017; DISCENZA *et alii*, 2020). Local the weathering, especially of igneous and metamorphic rocks, can play an important role in the deterioration of the mechanical properties and in the variation in viscosity of rock masses (GENEVOIS & PRESTININZI, 1979; PRESTININZI, 1984; PELLEGRINO & PRESTININZI, 2007; BOZZANO *et alii*, 2012). As demonstrated by DISCENZA *et alii* (2020), the rock mass jointing condition and the geometrical characteristic of the discontinuities greatly influence the rheological properties of the deformed masses and the creep processes.

Spreading, on the other hand, is characteristic of slopes with overlapping of rigid rocks on ductile and highly deformable bedrock (NEMČOK et alii, 1972; PAŠEK, 1974; ZÁRUBA & MENCL, 1976; VARNES, 1978; HUTCHINSON, 1988; AGLIARDI et alii, 2012; BOZZANO et alii, 2013; PASUTO & SOLDATI, 2013; DI MAGGIO et alii, 2014). This process is essentially controlled by the slope setting, the geometry of the contact between stiff and ductile materials, as well as by the thickness of the rigid rocks (ESPOSITO et alii, 2007; ALFARO et alii, 2019). In some specific cases spreading can affect jointed rock masses without an evident rheological contrast (JAHN, 1964; MARTINO et alii, 2004; DISCENZA et alii, 2011; PASUTO & SOLDATI, 2013). This kind of rock mass spreading is strictly related to the stress-strain state of the mass and the unloading stress processes, that favor the expansion of the mass through the formation of sub-vertical deformational bands (MARTINO et alii, 2004; DISCENZA et alii, 2011).

The kinematics of spreading is controlled by slow and deep deformational processes, which determine the expansion of the slope towards the valley floor (VARNES, 1978; CRUDEN & VARNES, 1996; PASUTO & SOLDATI, 2013; HUNGR *et alii*, 2014). The mass deformation occurs through creep processes and visco-plastic deformations associated with tensile regime, both in rock mass and along discrete planes (VARNES, 1978; CROSTA, 1996). Visco-plastic strain develop along sub-vertical bands of deformation decreasing with depth, which do not give rise to continuous shear zone (JAHN, 1964; MARTINO *et alii*, 2004). Locally, the expansion can also take place through one or more slides with a predominantly horizontal component (BOZZANO *et alii*, 2013; HUNGR *et alii*, 2014; BOIS *et alii*, 2018).

In general terms, the development of DSGSDs is governed by different control factors (AGLIARDI *et alii*, 2012; JABOYEDOFF *et alii*, 2013; BOZZANO *et alii*, 2016; PÁNEK & KLIMEŠ, 2016; MARTINO *et alii*, 2017): (*i*) inherited and invariant factors (e.g., anisotropies, deformability contrasts, morpho-structural conditions, tectonic elements, karst); (*ii*) factors related to the morpho-dynamics of the relief that induce significant variations of the local stress regime in short to mid-term time spans (e.g., post-glacial debuttressing, river dynamics, erosional processes, changes in water table level, tectonic stresses, earthquakes), if



Fig. 4 - Examples of DSGSDs proposed in the literature, divided on the basis of general typology (sackung and spreading) and ordered on the basis of year of publications (modified after AGLIARDI et alii, 2012). Sackung: (1a), (1b) ZISCHINSKY (1966); (1c), (1d) NEMČOK (1972); (1e) MAHR (1977); (1f), (1g) HUTCHINSON (1988); (1h), (1i), (1j) CHIGIRA (1992); (1k) AGLIARDI et alii (2001); (1l), (1n), (1o) AMBROSI & CROSTA (2006); (1p) APUANI et alii (2007); (1q), (1r), (1s), (1t) BOIS et alii (2008); (1u) AGLIARDI et alii (2009); (1v) MARTINO et alii (2020); (1w), (1y) VICK et alii (2020). Spreading: (2a) JAHN (1964); (2b) ZÁRUBA & MENCL (1969); (2c) NEMČOK (1982); (2d) HUTCHINSON (1988); (2e) MARTINO et alii (2004); (2f) ESPOSITO et alii (2007); (2g), (2h), (2i), (2j), (2k) DI MAGGIO et alii (2014); (2l) PÁNEK & KLIMEŠ (2016)

compared to the time scale featuring such slope deformational processes. These factors fundamentally control both the development of slope-scale deformational processes and the subsequent evolution of large landslides and slope collapses (HUNGR & EVANS, 2004; PÁNEK & KLIMEŠ, 2016; DELLA SETA *et alii*, 2017; DELCHIARO *et alii*, 2019).

Among the inherited and pre-existing factors, the geologicalstructural and geomechanical conditions described above are of particular importance (AGLIARDI et alii, 2001; DI LUZIO et alii, 2004b; Agliardi et alii, 2012; Esposito et alii, 2014; Pánek et alii, 2021), in addition to the morphological features of relief (SWOLF & SAVAGE, 1986; AMADEI et alii, 1988; AMBROSI & CROSTA, 2011). Tectonic structures such as folds and faults should certainly be included among the most important inherited elements (CHIGIRA, 1992; CROSTA & ZANCHI, 2000; AGLIARDI et alii, 2001; BIANCHI FASANI et alii, 2004; HIPPOLYTE et alii, 2006; SCARASCIA MUGNOZZA et alii, 2006a; BRIDEAU et alii, 2009; REITNER & LINNER, 2009; AMBROSI & CROSTA, 2011; BOZZANO et alii, 2012; CROSTA et alii, 2013; JABOYEDOFF et alii, 2013; STEAD & WOLTER, 2015; PEDRAZZINI et alii, 2016; MARTINO et alii, 2017; Alfaro et alii, 2019; Teshebaeva et alii, 2019; Mariani & ZERBONI, 2020; VICK et alii, 2020; ESPOSITO et alii, 2021), in addition of course to bedding planes or schistosity with peculiar geometric characteristics (KIEFFER, 1998; AMBROSI & CROSTA, 2011; DELLA SETA et alii, 2017; DISCENZA et alii, 2020; VICK et alii, 2020).

Under particular conditions, large-scale deformational processes can originate from karst of carbonate rocks (APUANI & CORAZZATO, 2009; PÁNEK *et alii*, 2009b; LENTI *et alii*, 2012) or from dissolution of evaporitic rocks (MARTINOTTI *et alii*, 2011; GUTIÉRREZ *et alii*, 2012a; CARBONEL *et alii*, 2013). In the Italian Apennines there are several examples of DSGSDs related to karst phenomena, such as Mt. Nuria (MARTINO *et alii*, 2004; MAFFEI *et alii*, 2005; CASINI *et alii*, 2006, LENTI *et alii*, 2012) and Mt. Rocchetta (DISCENZA *et alii*, 2009, 2011).

The structural and morpho-climatic evolution of the area (AGLIARDI *et alii*, 2009; BIANCHI FASANI *et alii*, 2011; CROSTA *et alii*, 2013; DELLA SETA *et alii*, 2017; JARMAN & HARRISON, 2019), the topographic and tectonic stresses (VARNES *et alii*, 1989; CROSTA, 1996; AMBROSI & CROSTA, 2011), the river erosion at the slope toe (CROSTA & ZANCHI, 2000; HOU *et alii*, 2014; BOZZANO *et alii*, 2016; DELCHIARO *et alii*, 2019; ESPOSITO *et alii*, 2021), the changes in groundwater level (AGLIARDI *et alii*, 2001; JABOYEDOFF *et alii*, 2009), and the tectonic deformations (DISCENZA *et alii*, 2011; ALFARO *et alii*, 2019) must also be considered. Recent studies showed that gravitational slope deformations are quite widespread in tectonically active areas (CROSTA, ZANCHI, 2000; AGLIARDI *et alii*, 2009; AMBROSI & CROSTA, 2011; PEDRAZZINI *et alii*, 2016; TESHEBAEVA *et alii*, 2019) and in correspondence with active faults (AGLIARDI *et alii*, 2009; MORO *et alii*, 2012; PÁNEK

& KLIMEŠ, 2016). In this case, seismic shaking can represent a real trigger/onset factor for new phenomena (JIBSON *et alii*, 2004; TIBALDI *et alii*, 2015) or lead to an acceleration of pre-existing ones (MORO *et alii*, 2007; AMATO *et alii*, 2018; AGLIARDI *et alii*, 2019).

Among the morpho-dynamic processes, glaciation and deglaciation periods often play a significant role and represents one of the main triggering factors of DSGSDs in the alpine environment (AGLIARDI et alii, 2012; PÁNEK & KLIMEŠ, 2016). Since the first works on glacier withdrawal (BECK, 1968), several studies have linked the development of large-scale gravitational processes with post-glacial effect (BOVIS, 1990; MCCALPIN & IRVINE, 1995; BOVIS & STEWART, 1998; BALLANTYNE, 2002; HIPPOLYTE et alii, 2006; JARMAN, 2006; AGLIARDI et alii, 2009; HIPPOLYTE et alii, 2009; MCCOLL et alii, 2010; MCCOLL, 2012; AGLIARDI & CROSTA, 2014; BALLANTYNE et alii, 2014; BARONI et alii, 2014; Leith et alii, 2014; Grämiger et alii, 2017; Agliardi et alii, 2019; JARMAN & HARRISON, 2019). Different triggering factors of DSGSDs may be associated to glacier retreat, such as slope debuttressing, glacial rebound, stress redistribution, valleys erosion, changes in slope hydrology, and rock jointing (BALLANTYNE, 2002; MCCOLL, 2012; CROSTA et alii, 2013; BALLANTYNE et alii, 2014; JARMAN & HARRISON, 2019). The role of post-glacial effects in the development of DSGSDs was invoked by several authors even for the gravitational phenomena present on Mars in Valles Marineris (MÈGE & BOURGEOIS, 2011; GOURRONC et alii, 2014; MAKOWSKA et alii, 2016; KROMUSZCZYŃSKA et alii, 2019).

DSGSDs and related slope failures

DSGSDs represent a risk factor not only for their related induced strain (ZÁRUBA & MENCL, 1969; DRAMIS & SORRISO-VALVO, 1994; DISCENZA *et alii*, 2011; FRATTINI *et alii*, 2013; PÁNEK & KLIMEŠ, 2016) but also for the overcoming of the plasticity threshold in all or part of the slope (Fig. 5). The plasticization can lead the formation of collapses (EVANS *et alii*, 2006) featured by different types of landslides *s.s.*: from slow to extremely rapid kinematics (HUNGR & EVANS, 2004; PÁNEK & KLIMEŠ, 2016). In particular, catastrophic collapses or massive Rock Slope Failures (RSFs) represent the most important effect for society in terms of risk to life and infrastructures, as they are characterized by high volumes and travel at high speeds (HUNGR & EVANS, 2004; EVANS *et alii*, 2006; CHIGIRA *et alii*, 2010).

The possibility that a gravitational deformation may evolve into a large landslide can be the consequence of several factors such as: (*i*) evolution of the creep processes up to the failure or tertiary creep (GENEVOIS & PRESTININZI, 1979; MARTINO *et alii*, 2017; DISCENZA *et alii*, 2020); (*ii*) variation of the mechanical characteristics of the mass and strength reduction (CHIGIRA



Fig. 5 - Some examples of massive Rock Slope Failures (RSFs) related to DSGSDs evolution: a) the gigantic Seymareh landslide, Zagros Mountains, Iran (photo courtesy of Dr. Javad Rohui); b) the Frank rock avalanche, Turtle Mountain, Canada; c) the Mount Elizabeth rock avalanche, Canadian Rockies, Canada; d) the Scanno rock avalanche, Central Apennines, Italy

& KIHO, 1994; BISCI *et alii*, 1996; PÁNEK *et alii*, 2009a); (*iii*) modification of slope topography (WILSON *et alii*, 2003; CROSTA *et alii*, 2014; DELLA SETA *et alii*, 2017); (*iv*) sudden changes in the stress condition due to events as earthquakes and extreme rainfalls (CHIGIRA *et alii*, 2010, 2013b; DELCHIARO *et alii*, 2019; FRANCIONI *et alii*, 2019).

The large slope collapses that can result from DSGSDs have volumes in the order of tens or hundreds of millions of cubic meters and are characterized by considerable elongations (Evans *et alii*, 2006; HERMANNS & LONGVA, 2012; HUNGR *et alii*, 2014; STROM, 2021), up to 30 times the initial height of fall. HEIM (1932) defined these phenomena with the term *sturzstrom*, the German equivalent of rock fall stream. This term was later taken up by HSÜ (1975), while HOWARD (1973) and VARNES (1978) define these phenomena as rock-debris flow or rock avalanche.

Recent cases of rock avalanches connected to DSGSDs have been described from different parts of the world (AZZONI *et alii*, 1992; SEMENZA & GHIROTTI, 2000; CROSTA, 2001; CROSTA *et alii*, 2004; BOULTBEE et alii, 2006; HEWITT et alii, 2008; CHIGIRA, 2009; JABOYEDOFF et alii, 2009; CHIGIRA et alii, 2010, 2013b; CROSTA et alii, 2014; DEL VENTISETTE et alii, 2015). More ancient RSFs are also widespread, ranging from the British Mountains (JARMAN & HARRISON, 2019), Carpathians (PÁNEK et alii, 2009a), Central Andes (HERMANNS & LONGVA, 2012), Eastern Pyrenees (JARMAN et alii, 2014), European Alps (KORUP, 2006b; PEDRAZZINI et alii, 2013), Karakoram Himalaya (HEWITT, 2006), Norway (BLIKRA et alii, 2006; BÖHME et alii, 2013), Scottish Highland (JARMAN, 2006; BALLANTYNE et alii, 2014), Southern Alps of New Zealand (BARTH, 2014), Zagros Mountains (DELCHIARO et alii, 2019), and especially Italian Apennines (NICOLETTI et alii, 1993; BIANCHI FASANI et alii, 2004; DI LUZIO et alii, 2004a; GALADINI, 2006; SCARASCIA MUGNOZZA et alii, 2006a, 2006b; BIANCHI FASANI et alii, 2014; Esposito et alii, 2014; Gori et alii, 2014; Antonielli et alii, 2020).

MORPHOLOGICAL FEATURES AND DEFORMATIONAL STRUCTURES

The DSGSDs determine the formation of a large number of characteristically elements, both shallow and deep, distributed along the slope, from the ridge top to the valley bottom (AGLIARDI *et alii*, 2001, 2012; JABOYEDOFF *et alii*, 2013).

These elements show different characteristics depending on the type of deformational process, its mechanisms, and the stratigraphical, structural, and geomorphological context of relief (PÁNEK & KLIMEŠ, 2016). More information on superficial and deep structures of DSGSDs are reported in the papers by CHIGIRA (1992), AGLIARDI *et alii* (2001, 2012) and JABOYEDOFF *et alii* (2013), and the references therein.

Surface features

There are a wide variety of different surface morphological features connected to DSGSDs (Fig. 6), although some are perhaps similar to those of a purely tectonic nature (JABOYEDOFF *et alii,* 2013). However, unlike the tectonic-associated features, the geomorphic evidence of DSGSDs show a characteristic distribution along the relief, as well as being less persistent than the tectonic ones, even if they often coincide with them (AGLIARDI *et alii,* 2001, 2012). In fact, often the existing tectonic structures represent weakness zones exploited and "gravitationally reactivated" by the DSGSD.

At the top of the relief, elements such as double ridges, downhill-facing scarps, normal faults, uphill-facing (or counterslope) scarps, trenches, tension cracks, closed depressions, and grabens are found (RADBRUCH-HALL *et alii*, 1976; MAHR, 1977; BOVIS, 1982; SAVAGE & VARNES, 1987; CHIGIRA, 1992; DRAMIS & SORRISO-VALVO, 1994; AGLIARDI *et alii*, 2001; HÜRLIMANN *et alii*, 2006; AGLIARDI *et alii*, 2009, 2012; JABOYEDOFF *et alii*, 2013). At the finer scale, uphill-facing scarps or uphill-facing scarps systems often play an important structural control (JABOYEDOFF *et alii*, 2013) and are clear kinematic indicators, in that they represent the junctions between the active and passive blocks of the deformed masses (HUTCHINSON, 1988).



Fig. 6 - Morpho-structural features characteristic of DSGSD phenomena, related kinematic significance, and typical associations (redrawn after AGLIAR-DI et alii, 2012)

In the middle portion of the slope, numerous morphological structures of transition between the upper and the lower part are found, such as scarps, uphill-facing scarps, trenches, tension cracks, and grabens (ZISCHINSKY, 1969; SAVAGE & VARNES, 1987; CHIGIRA, 1992; AGLIARDI *et alii*, 2001, 2012; JABOYEDOFF *et alii*, 2013). The morphological elements are either newly-generated or set on the pre-existing discontinuities. The flanks of the deformation can frequently coincide with tributary streams (AGLIARDI *et alii*, 2012) or normal faults (JABOYEDOFF *et alii*, 2013). In the case of slopes with sub-vertical joints, deformations lead to the development of toppling structures (BOVIS & EVANS, 1996; NICHOL *et alii*, 2002; REITNER & LINNER, 2009).

At the toe of DSGSDs, in the lower part of the slope, compression and shortening mechanisms are typical, leading to the formation of folds (ZISCHINSKY, 1969; MAHR & NEMČOK, 1977; ZÁRUBA & MENCL, 1982; CHIGIRA, 1992; HERMANN *et alii*, 2000; HIPPOLYTE *et alii*, 2006) and reverse faults (MAHR, 1977; SAVAGE & VARNES, 1987). In such settings, bulging (AGLIARDI *et alii*, 2001; DISCENZA *et alii*, 2011; AGLIARDI *et alii*, 2012) and



Fig. 7 - Schematic sketches of mesoscopic features and fold styles induced by mass rock creep, depending on rock fabric (layering, massive and soil material) and confinement (redrawn after JABOYEDOFF et alii, 2013). Black areas represent openings, while grey areas represent rock and soil material

large secondary landslides (CROSTA, 1996; AGLIARDI *et alii*, 2001, 2012; PÁNEK & KLIMEŠ, 2016; CRIPPA *et alii*, 2020) are often encountered.

Deep structures

The DSGSDs produce significant deformations within the rock masses and soils involved in the instability, of both brittle and ductile nature (CHIGIRA, 1992; JABOYEDOFF *et alii*, 2013). The surface morphological features and the related structures produced by the DSGSDs are very similar to those of a pure tectonic nature (CHIGIRA, 1992; JABOYEDOFF *et alii*, 2011; AGLIARDI *et alii*, 2012; JABOYEDOFF *et alii*, 2013). Deformational structures such as folds, faults, shear zones, and cataclastic bands have been described by various authors for large-scale gravitational phenomena (ZISCHINSKY, 1969; NEMČOK *et alii*, 1972; MAHR & NEMČOK, 1977; FLEMING & JOHNSON, 1989; CHIGIRA, 1992; BRAATHEN *et alii*, 2004). These mass deformations are indicative of slope collapse mechanisms (JABOYEDOFF *et alii*, 2011) and are found on slopes with extremely different dimensions (Fig. 7), from a few tens to thousands of meters (CHIGIRA, 1992).

As regards the deep structures, the distinctive features of DSGSDs have not yet been fully understood, due to both the complexity of processes and the difficulties in directly analyzing these portions of the involved rock mass. Generally, the shear planes, clearly evident on the surface, do not extend in depth in the entire rock mass (MENCL, 1968; HUTCHINSON, 1988). In this cases, in the inner portion of slope, creep processes determine the progressively decreasing of deformations with depth (JAHN, 1964; ZISCHINSKY, 1966; MENCL, 1968; NEMČOK, 1972; MAHR & Nemčok, 1977; Varnes, 1978; Nemčok, 1982; Dramis & Sorriso-Valvo, 1994; Cruden & Varnes, 1996; Martino et alii, 2004; ESPOSITO et alii, 2007; DISCENZA et alii, 2011; MARTINOTTI et alii, 2011; DI MAGGIO et alii, 2014; DELLA SETA et alii, 2017), without the development of a continuous sliding plane or basal shear zone. Locally, deep creep processes can lead to the volumetric decrease of rock mass (density increase), forming a thick but not continuous contractant shear zone (FEDA, 1973; MAHR, 1977; RADBRUCH-HALL, 1978).

Sometimes, the progression of deformations or the presence of inherited structures, favor the development of a well-defined sliding plane or basal shear zone, extended continuously below the deformed rock mass (CHIGIRA, 1992; AGLIARDI *et alii*, 2001; STRAUHAL *et alii*, 2017). This element, often invoked as a discriminating between landslides and slope-scale deformational processes (DRAMIS & SORRISO-VALVO, 1994), have been recognized (or hypothesized/inferred) in DSGSD phenomena by numerous authors (GIGNOUX & BARBIER, 1955; CROSTA & ZANCHI, 2000; AGLIARDI *et alii*, 2001; AMBROSI & CROSTA, 2006; BONZANIGO *et alii*, 2007; MADRITSCH & MILLEN, 2007; AGLIARDI *et alii*, 2009; MORO *et alii*, 2009; BARLA *et alii*, 2010; ZANGERL et alii, 2010; GHIROTTI et alii, 2011; AGLIARDI et alii, 2012; MORO et alii, 2012; STRAUHAL et alii, 2017; AGLIARDI et alii, 2019; MARIANI & ZERBONI, 2020; VICK et alii, 2020). The basal shear zone generally present thickness between few meters and some ten of meters and is composed by cataclastic breccias with abundant fine matrix (CROSTA & ZANCHI, 2000; MADRITSCH & MILLEN, 2007; CROSTA et alii, 2013).

TIME SPAN AND DIMENSIONS OF DSGSDs

As suggested by many authors, characteristic features of DSGSDs are the spatial and temporal scales on which they

act (DRAMIS & SORRISO-VALVO, 1994; AGLIARDI *et alii*, 2001, 2012; JABOYEDOFF *et alii*, 2013; PÁNEK & KLIMEŠ, 2016). These aspects are fundamental in the study of DSGSDs and in the discriminating with respect to other gravitational processes. The main data available in literature are summarized below, in order to analyze and quantify the spatial and temporal characteristic of these phenomena.

Regarding the time scale, many methods (e.g., dendrochronology, tephrochronology, TCN, U-series, radiocarbon) have been used in last decades to study the age and the evolution of DSGSDs (PÁNEK & KLIMEŠ, 2016).

DSGSD location / region	Age (ka)	Dating method	References	
Mt. Scincina / Alps (Italy)	> 120	¹⁴ C, OSL	TIBALDI et alii (2004)	
		+ relative dating		
Foros / Crimean Mountains (Ukraine)	> 110	Uranium-series, 14C	PÁNEK et alii (2009b)	
Perecalç / Pyrenees (Spain)	> 45	¹⁴ C, OSL	GUTIÉRREZ et alii (2012b)	
Mt. Quoshadagh / Quoshadagh Range (Iran)	> 42	¹⁴ C, OSL	BAROŇ <i>et alii</i> (2013)	
Mt. Morrone / Apennines (Italy)	> 41	¹⁴ C	Gori <i>et alii</i> (2014)	
Bregaglia Valley / Alps (Switzerland and Italy)	> 29-16	¹⁴ C, OSL +	TIBALDI & PASQUARÈ (2008)	
		relative dating		
Mt. Rognier / Alps (France)	~ 17	¹⁰ Be	HIPPOLYTE et alii (2012)	
El Ubago / Pyrenees (Spain)	~ 16.9	¹⁴ C	GUTIÉRREZ et alii (2008)	
Horvatov Yrch Ridge / Tatra Mountains	~ 15.7–4.4	¹⁰ Be, ³⁶ Cl	PÁNEK et alii (2017)	
(Slovakia and Poland)				
Val Venosta / Alps (Italy)	~ 14.2	U/Th	KOLTAI et alii (2018)	
Zenzano Fault / Iberian Chain (Spain)	>13.6	¹⁴ C	CARBONEL et alii. (2013)	
Polska Tomanova Ridge / Tatra Mountains (Slovakia and Poland)	~ 12.4–8.4	¹⁰ Be	Pánek et alii (2017)	
Aspen Highlands / Colorado (USA)	~ 11.5–11	¹⁴ C	MCCALPIN & IRVINE (1995)	
Arcs / Alps (France)	~ 11.5	¹⁰ Be	HIPPOLYTE et alii (2009)	
Kagel Mountain / San Gabriel Mountains (California, USA)	~ 10.7	¹⁴ C	McCalpin & Hart (2003)	
La Clapière / Alps (France)	10.3	¹⁰ Be	BIGOT-CORMIER <i>et alii</i> (2005)	
Salatín Ridge / Tatra Mountains	~ 10 3_4 2	10 Be	PÁNEV et alii (2017)	
(Slovakia and Poland)	10.5-4.2	be	TANER et uni (2017)	
Mt. Kushtaka / Yakutat Microplate (Alaska, USA)	> 10.2	¹⁴ C, OSL	McCalpin <i>et alii</i> (2011)	
Mt. Watles / Alps (Italy)	~ 10	¹⁴ C	AGLIARDI et alii (2009)	
Mt. Serrone / Apennines (Italy)	~ 9.6	¹⁴ C	Moro et alii (2012)	
Vallibierna and Estós sackungen / Pyrenees (Spain)	~ 7.8–5.9	¹⁴ C	GUTIÉRREZ-SANTOLALLA <i>et alii</i> (2005)	
Hlinske Saddle / Tatra Mountains	~ 6.0–4.0	¹⁰ Be	PÁNEK et alii (2017)	
(Slovakia and Poland)				
Mt. Ondřejník / Western Carpathians	> 5.9	OSL	PÁNEK et alii (2011)	
(Czech Republic)				
Moravskoslezske Beskydy Mts. /	4.2-3.6	¹⁰ Be	BŘEŽNÝ et alii (2018)	
Western Carpathians (Czech Republic)				
Blue Ridge and Lytle Creek sackungen / San Gabriel Mts. (California, USA)	4.2–0.8	¹⁴ C	MCCALPIN & HART (2003)	
Teruel Graben / Iberian Chain (Spain)	3.5	¹⁴ C	GUTIÉRREZ et alii (2012a)	
Affliction Creek / Coast Mountains (British Columbia, Canada)	Onset of activity between AD 1865–1875	lichenometry	Bovis (1982)	

Tab. 1 - Time span of some characteristic DSGSDs, constrained by absolute dating and relative methods (modified after PÁNEK & KLIMEŠ, 2016)

The available data (Tab. 1) show that the evolution of these phenomena occurs (generally) over time intervals of thousands (Bovis, 1982; McCalpin & Hart, 2003; Gutiérrez-Santolalla *et alii*, 2005; Pánek *et alii*, 2011; Gutiérrez *et alii*, 2012a; Moro *et alii*, 2012; Pánek *et alii*, 2017; Břežný *et alii*, 2018) or tens of thousands of years (McCalpin & Irvine, 1995; McCalpin & Hart, 2003; Bigot-Cormier *et alii*, 2005; Gutiérrez *et alii*, 2008; Tibaldi & Pasquarè, 2008; Agliardi *et alii*, 2009; El Bedoui *et alii*, 2009; Hippolyte *et alii*, 2009; McCalpin *et alii*, 2011; Gutiérrez *et alii*, 2012b; Hippolyte *et alii*, 2012; Baroň *et alii*, 2013; Carbonel *et alii*, 2013; GORI *et alii*, 2014; Pánek *et alii*, 2017; Koltai *et alii*, 2018), sometimes hundreds of thousand years (Tibaldi *et alii*, 2004; Pánek *et alii*, 2009b).

These results are fully compatible with the indirect deductions deriving from geomorphological analyzes (AGLIARDI *et alii*, 2001) and time-dependent numerical modelling (DISCENZA *et alii*, 2011; DELLA SETA *et alii*, 2017),

which show similar evolution times. As suggested by PÁNEK & KLIMEŠ (2016), the lifespans are significantly different for the DSGSDs located in formerly glaciated terrain later the final deglaciation (onset after \sim 18–10 ka), and for the phenomena locate in non-glaciated setting, often predating global Last Glacial Maximum (\sim 23–19 ka).

The DSGSDs are characterized by considerable dimensions, with thickness of many tens or hundreds of meters (PÁNEK & KLIMEŠ, 2016) and surface up to hundreds of km² (AMBROSI & CROSTA, 2006; AGLIARDI *et alii*, 2013; CROSTA *et alii*, 2013). While there is a great deal of information in the literature on the surface and depth of these phenomena, less data is available on the volumes, as the diffuse margins and the complexity of the processes make the evaluation of this parameter very difficult. In particular, the data collected in the present study (Tab. 2) show that the volumes of these phenomena are generally variable between 10⁶ m³ (JARMAN, 2006; DISCENZA *et alii*, 2011) and 10⁹ m³ (TIBALDI *et alii*, 2015; AGLIARDI *et alii*, 2019;

DSGSD location / region	Maximum depth (m)	Surface (km ²)	Volume (m ³)	References
Mt. Quoshadagh / Quoshadagh Range (Iran)	800	~ 220	$\frac{8.3 \cdot 10^{10}}{1.1 \cdot 10^{11}}$	Baroň <i>et alii</i> (2013)
Sinne Valley / Alps (France)	n.a.	n.a.	9.5·10 ⁹	DROUILLAS et alii (2020)
Piz Dora / Val Müstair (Switzerland)	400	12	1.85.109	Agliardi et alii (2019)
Talas–Fergana Fault / Tien Shan (Kyrgyzstan)	250	4	1.109	TIBALDI <i>et alii</i> (2015)
El Ubago / Pyrenees (Spain)	> 300 ?	4.4	6.0·10 ⁸	GUTIÉRREZ et alii (2008)
Celentino / Alps (Italy)	80 - 100	5	$3.5 \cdot 10^8 - 4.0 \cdot 10^8$	GHIROTTI et alii (2011)
Vollan / Sunndal Valley (Norway)	200	~ 2.5	2.6·10 ⁸	Oppikofer et alii (2017)
Mount Breakenridge / Lillooet Ranges (British Columbia, Canada)	n.a.	n.a.	2.108	NICHOL et alii (2002)
Beinn Fhada / Scottish Highlands (Scotland)	100 ?	3.0	1.12.108	Jarman (2006)
Encampadana / Central–Eastern Pyrenees (Andorra)	300	1.5	1.108	Hürlimann <i>et alii</i> (2006)
Moosfluh / Alps (Switzerland)	170	1.3	7.5·10 ⁷	GLUEER et alii (2019)
Sgurr Bhreac / Scottish Highlands (Scotland)	n.a.	0.82	3.6.107?	Jarman (2006)
An Sornach / Scottish Highlands (Scotland)	n.a.	0.75	1.3.107 ?	Jarman (2006)
Sgurr na Ciste / Scottish Highlands (Scotland)	80	1.25	5·10 ⁶ - 1·10 ⁷	Jarman (2006)
Tino / Apennines (Italy)	50	~ 0.25	$1.10^{6} - 1.10^{7}$	MARTINO et alii (2020)
Grisciano / Apennines (Italy)	50	~ 0.18	$1.10^{6} - 1.10^{7}$	MARTINO et alii (2020)
Mt. Rocchetta / Apennines (Italy)*	300-350	0.25	8.0.106	DISCENZA et alii (2011)
Sgurr na Lapaich / Scottish Highlands (Scotland)	100 ?	0.3	7.106?	Jarman (2006)
Hell's Glen / Scottish Highlands (Scotland)	60	0.52	1.75.106	JARMAN (2006)

Tab. 2 - Dimensions of some characteristics DSGSDs (maximum depth, surface, volume); * indicate phenomena for which the volume is not present in the original publication but was determined through the analyzes of surface and depth

DROUILLAS *et alii*, 2020), although locally they can reach 10¹⁰ - 10¹¹ m³ (BAROŇ *et alii*, 2013). Many DSGSDs are included in this range, with volumes varying between 10⁷ and 10⁸ m³ (NICHOL *et alii*, 2002; HÜRLIMANN *et alii*, 2006; JARMAN, 2006; GUTIÉRREZ *et alii*, 2008; GHIROTTI *et alii*, 2011; OPPIKOFER *et alii*, 2017; GLUEER *et alii*, 2019; MARTINO *et alii*, 2020).

DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

Deep-Seated Gravitational Slope Deformations (DSGSDs Auct.) are phenomena of great importance from a scientific point of view, both for the role they play in the evolution of relief and for the significant social impact should they occur in proximity to peoples and infrastructures (ZÁRUBA & MENCL, 1969; Dramis & Sorriso-Valvo, 1994; Pánek & Klimeš, 2016; MARTINO et alii, 2020). In fact, they often produce significant interferences with anthropic elements and constitute a great potential risk factor (ZÁRUBA & MENCL, 1969; DRAMIS & SORRISO-VALVO, 1994; DISCENZA et alii, 2011; FRATTINI et alii, 2013; PÁNEK & KLIMEŠ, 2016), both directly (e.g., related slope deformations) and indirectly (e.g., for the possible catastrophic evolution). Large landslides with rapid kinematics were recognized in many parts of the world in association with DSGSD phenomena (HUNGR & EVANS, 2004; PÁNEK & KLIMEŠ, 2016), both in historical and recent times.

It is worth highlighting the predisposing role of the morphoevolutionary context as a factor (often necessary and sufficient) that controls the stress regime variations acting on the slopes over different time spans (AGLIARDI *et alii*, 2009; DELLA SETA *et alii*, 2017). In fact, significant changes of the stressstrain conditions can occur over larger time spans (CHIGIRA, 1992; DISCENZA *et alii*, 2011; PÁNEK & KLIMEŠ, 2016), due to the morpho-evolutionary context and/or geodynamic regime modifications. The significance of such variations in terms of slope stability is then related to (MARTINO *et alii*, 2017): (*i*) the amount and temporal rate of changes in deviatoric stress; (*ii*) the rheology of the slope materials as long as more or less prominent viscous deformation alternate with and superimpose on elastic-plastic strain.

In the last decades, several studies were conducted on these phenomena, with extremely different purposes and methodology. At present, there are many terminologies and definitions in literature, from which derives a considerable complexity in the systematic approach to DSGSDs. One of the main issues is the definition of the limit (and, thus, distinction) between slow-moving large landslides, DSGSDs, and gravitational tectonics, as they all produce some similar deformative effects and geomorphic features.

Like the tectonic processes *s.s.*, the DSGSDs produce deformations of the involved mass such as folds, faults, and shear zones (ZISCHINSKY, 1969; NEMČOK *et alii*, 1972; MAHR

& NEMČOK, 1977; FLEMING & JOHNSON, 1989; CHIGIRA, 1992; BRAATHEN *et alii*, 2004). The similarities between the effects produced by the two processes (CHIGIRA, 1992; JABOYEDOFF *et alii*, 2011; AGLIARDI *et alii*, 2012; JABOYEDOFF *et alii*, 2013) make their distinction particularly complex, even more if we consider that many slope deformations exploit pre-existing tectonic elements as kinematic release planes (AGLIARDI *et alii*, 2001; DI LUZIO *et alii*, 2004b; AGLIARDI *et alii*, 2012; ESPOSITO *et alii*, 2014). In this case, the identification of the dominant process and the real cause of the deformation elements present on slope must be made not so much on the individual identified elements, but rather on the spatial distribution of the same and on the relative extension within the slope (AGLIARDI *et alii*, 2012).

In differentiating DSGSDs from conventional landslides, many elements have been considered over time by various authors. Some workers consider the absence of a continuous sliding surface or basal shear zone (DRAMIS & SORRISO-VALVO, 1994), but the recognizing (or hypothesizing/inferring) of this element in numerous DSGSDs leads today to consider this condition as non-discriminatory between the two processes (AGLIARDI *et alii*, 2001; PÁNEK & KLIMEŠ, 2016). Other authors consider the absence of clear and well-defined external limits (Fig. 8) as discriminatory (AGLIARDI *et alii*, 2001; JARMAN, 2006; AGLIARDI *et alii*, 2012; CROSTA *et alii*, 2013; JARMAN & HARRISON, 2019) but, even if this is a very common condition in DSGSD phenomena, it depends on local geological conditions and the evolutionary stage of the process.

Starting from an initial study (SORRISO-VALVO, 1984), some years later SORRISO-VALVO (1995) proposed a differentiation between landslides and gravitational deformations according to the size of the phenomena and the effects produced by the scale factor; conventional landslides are divided into two classes depending on whether the scale effect is influential or not on the development of the phenomenon, while gravitational deformations are distinguished from gravitational tectonics both in relation to the size of the DSGSDs and the extension on the mountain relief. JABOYEDOFF et alii (2011) divide the instability phenomena into three dimensional orders; conventional landslides correspond to the 3rd order (or at most to the 2nd in the case of large rock avalanches), while gravitational deformations correspond to the 2nd order if they concern only the slope or to the 1st order if they concern entire mountainous relief. Finally, HUNGR et alii (2014) divide the DSGSDs into two different types, the mountain slope deformations and the rock slope deformations; the first concern entire mountain relief, with a height in the order of 1 km or more, while the latter concern rock slope with a height of a few tens or hundreds of meters.

A characteristic aspect of DSGSDs is represented by the



Fig. 8 - Scheme for the distinction between different large-scale gravitational phenomena (i.e., DSGSDs, Rockslides, Rock avalanches) based on the morphological characteristics along the slope (modified after JARMAN & HARRISON, 2019). DSGSDs are characterized by diffuse boundaries (or margins) and numerous morphological features with peculiar assemblage (scarps, uphill-facing scarps, fissures)

high spatial and temporal scales on which they act. Over the years, a lot of authors have provided indications to describe the spatial scale of these phenomena (DRAMIS & SORRISO-VALVO, 1994; AGLIARDI *et alii*, 2001, 2012; JABOYEDOFF *et alii*, 2013; PÁNEK & KLIMEŠ, 2016), taking as a reference the extent of the phenomenon with respect to the relief. The numerous existing bibliographic data, described in the previous paragraphs, made it possible to define the characteristic intervals of time span and volume for DSGSDs. These phenomena commonly have

dimension of 10^6 - 10^9 m³, locally up to 10^{10} - 10^{11} m³, and develop over time intervals on the order of 10^3 - 10^4 years, sometimes up to 10^5 years.

This study proposes a new reference scheme which, starting from the state of the art, integrates the concept of time scale in defining slope deformational processes (Fig. 9). The scheme is based on two main aspects: (*i*) volume; (*ii*) time scale. The volume regulates short-term geostatic load and elastic-plastic equilibrium on and refers to a given



Fig. 9 - Simplified scheme representing dimensional and temporal scales (in terms of orders of magnitude) characterizing the DSGSDs, as defined by the available literature studies

morpho-structural setting, the related relief energy, as well as geodynamic regime. The time scale introduces the relevance of the time-dependent mechanical parameters of the slope materials as long as the time span "fits" the viscosity of slope materials and the related creep behavior. Obviously, the boundary conditions of the different processes are not sharp but are indicative (i.e., there is some uncertainty) and so they should always be considered in relations to the geological context.

The review of the main existing studies has made it possible to fully define all the aspects that characterize the DSGSDs and that allow them to be distinguished from conventional landslides and gravitational tectonics. Among these, particular importance assumes the high space-time scales on which they act. In summary, the main characteristics of the DSGSDs are:

- dimensions comparable with those of the entire slope (or larger portion of it);
- diffuse, discontinuous or not well-defined external boundaries (or limits/margins), with flanks often coinciding with tributary streams or tectonic elements;
- peculiar assemblage of typical morphological features (e.g., double ridges, ridge top depressions, trenches, scarps, counterscarps, tension cracks, toe bulging), generally distributed along the entire ridge-slope-valley floor

system;

- very small deformation rates (in the order of mm per years);
- large spatial and temporal scales (volumes between 10⁶ 10¹¹ m³ and time span between 10³ 10⁵ years);
- complex deformational processes (brittle, ductile, and viscous-plastic deformation, in mass or along discrete zones and surfaces);
- heavily jointed and deformed rock masses (not completely disturbed, with original structures still recognizable, except for discrete shear zones);
- presence of secondary landslides and large Rock Slope Failures (in all or part of the slope).

For specific bibliographical references and the description of the individual aspects, please refer to the contents of the previous paragraphs.

Above all, the concept of "depth" should be less constraining in favor of a more appropriate reference to the coupling of spatial and temporal scale factors, which better reflect the morphoevolutionary characteristics of the process and its rheological behavior. Depth is not considered as a distinctive element of these processes, as there are landslides and tectonic processes with depths comparable with those of DSGSDs, but rather a direct consequence of the scale on which they act, which requires a stress field that can only form in large rock volumes.

In addition to commonly used terms such as Deep-Seated Gravitational Slope Deformation (DSGSD *Auct.*), other terminologies such as Slope Tectonics (ST – JABOYEDOFF *et alii*, 2011), Mass Rock Creep (MRC - RADBRUCH-HALL, 1978; CHIGIRA, 1992) or Rock Slope Deformation (RSD – HUNGR *et alii*, 2014; JARMAN & HARRISON, 2019) exists in literature. These terms have the advantage of not referring to the concept of depth, by introducing significant elements that refer to spatial scale or the rheology of the slope material. At the end of the present study, alternative terms such as Slope-Scale Gravitational Deformation (LSGSD) or Large-Scale Gravitational Slope Deformation (LSGSD) are proposed, which further detach themselves from the concept of depth by making direct and explicit reference to the scale of the processes.

Finally, it is emphasized that the absence of a uniformly accepted classification and terminology in the scientific field represents a significant problem in the treatment of these deformational processes. In fact, it is often difficult to correlate the different case studies and frame them in a unique and complete way. A fundamental aspect of future research will therefore be the introduction of comprehensive classification system, which should refer to the kinematic, the morpho-structural setting, and the geological/geomechanical features of the slope, that are proxies of the mechanical properties.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The Authors wish to thank M. Minnillo for her assistant in the preparation of the figures.

DECLARATIONS

Funding: This research was funded by Sapienza University of Rome (research project "Integrated analysis and hazardoriented modeling of large scale slope instabilities features by Mass Rock Creep - scientific responsible Carlo Esposito -prot. RG11916B88FA477F).

REFERENCES

- AGLIARDI F. & CROSTA G.B. (2014) Long- and short-term controls on the Spriana rockslide (Central Alps, Italy). In: SASSA K., CANUTI P. & YIN Y. (Eds.), Landslide Science for a Safer Geoenvironment. Springer, Cham, 243-249.
- AGLIARDI F., CROSTA G.B. & FRATTINI P. (2012) Slow rock-slope deformation. In: CLAGUE J.J. & STEAD D. (Eds.), Landslides: Types, Mechanisms and Modeling. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 207-221.
- AGLIARDI F., CROSTA G.B. & ZANCHI A. (2001) Structural constraints on deep-seated slope deformation kinematics. Engineering Geology, 59 (1-2): 83-102.
- AGLIARDI F., CROSTA G.B., FRATTINI P. & MALUSÀ M.G. (2013) Giant non-catastrophic landslides and the long-term exhumation of the European Alps. Earth and Planetary Science Letters, **365**: 263-274.
- AGLIARDI F., CROSTA G.B., ZANCHI A. & RAVAZZI C. (2009) Onset and timing of deep-seated gravitational slope deformations in the eastern Alps, Italy. Geomorphology, **103** (1): 113-129.
- AGLIARDI F., RIVA F., BARBARANO M., ZANCHETTA S., SCOTTI R. & ZANCHI A. (2019) Effects of tectonic structures and long-term seismicity on paraglacial giant slope deformations: Piz Dora (Switzerland). Engineering Geology, 263: 105353.
- AGNESI V., DI MAGGIO C., MACALUSO T. & ROTIGLIANO E. (2000) Genesis and evolution of deep seated gravitational slope deformation phenomena in Western and Central Sicily. Memorie della Società Geologica Italiana, 55: 363-371.
- AGNESI V., ROTIGLIANO E., TAMMARO U., CAPPADONIA C., CONOSCENTI C., OBRIZZO F., DI MAGGIO C., LUZIO D. & PINGUE F. (2015) GPS monitoring of the Scopello (Sicily, Italy) DGSD phenomenon: Relationships between surficial and deep-seated morphodynamics. In: LOLLINO G., GIORDAN D., CROSTA G.B., COROMINAS J., AZZAM R., WASOWSKI J. & SCIARRA N. (Eds.), Engineering Geology for Society and Territory. Springer, Cham, vol. 2, 1321-1325.
- ALEXANDROWICZ Z. & ALEXANDROWICZ S.W. (1988) Ridge-top trenches and rifts in the Polish Outer Carpathians. Annales Societatis Geologorum Poloniae, 58 (1-2): 207-228.

ALFARO P., DELGADO J., ESPOSITO C., TORTOSA G.F., MARMONI G.M. & MARTINO S. (2019) - *Time-dependent modelling of a mountain front retreat due to a fold-to-fault controlled lateral spreading*. Tectonophysics, **773**: 228-233.

AMADEI B., SWOLFS H.S. & SAVAGE W.Z. (1988) - *Gravity-induced stresses in stratified rock masses*. Rock Mechanics and Rock Engineering, **21** (1): 1-20. AMATO G., DEVOTI R., FUBELLI G., ARINGOLI D., BIGNAMI C., GALVANI A., MORO M., POLCARI M., SAROLI M., SEPE V. & STRAMONDO S. (2018) - *Step-like*

displacements of a deep seated gravitational slope deformation observed during the 2016–2017 seismic events in Central Italy. Engineering Geology, 246: 337-348.

AMBROSI C. & CROSTA G.B. (2006) - Large sackung along major tectonic features in the Central Italian Alps. Engineering Geology, 83 (1-3): 183-200.

- AMBROSI C. & CROSTA G.B. (2011) Valley shape influence on deformation mechanisms of rock slopes. Geological Society, London, Special Publications, 351 (1): 215-233.
- ANTONIELLI B., DELLA SETA M., ESPOSITO C., SCARASCIA MUGNOZZA G., SCHILIRÒ L., SPADI M. & TALLINI M. (2020) Quaternary rock avalanches in the Apennines: New data and interpretation of the huge clastic deposit of the L'Aquila Basin (central Italy). Geomorphology, **361**: 107-194.

- APUANI T. & CORAZZATO C. (2009) Numerical model of the Stromboli volcano (Italy) including the effect of magma pressure in the dyke system. Rock Mechanics and Rock Engineering, 42 (1): 53-72.
- APUANI T., MASETTI M. & ROSSI M. (2007) Stress-strain-time numerical modelling of a deep-seated gravitational slope deformation. Preliminary results. Quaternary International, **171**: 80-89.
- AUDEMARD F.A., BECK C. & CARRILLO E. (2010) Deep-seated gravitational slope deformations along the active Boconó Fault in the central portion of the Mérida Andes, western Venezuela. Geomorphology, **124** (3-4): 164-177.
- AZZONI A., CHIESA S., FRASSONI A. & GOVI M. (1992) The Valpola landslide. Engineering Geology, 33 (1): 59-70.
- BACHMANN D., BOUISSOU S. & CHEMENDA A. (2004) Influence of weathering and pre-existing large scale fractures on gravitational slope failure: Insights from 3-D physical modelling, Natural Hazards and Earth System Sciences, 4 (5-6): 711-717.
- BACHMANN D., BOUISSOU S. & CHEMENDA A. (2006) Influence of large scale topography on gravitational rock mass movements: New insights from physical modeling. Geophysical Research Letters, 33 (21): L21406.
- BACHMANN D., BOUISSOU S. & CHEMENDA A. (2009) Analysis of massif fracturing during deep-seated gravitational slope deformation by physical and numerical modeling. Geomorphology, **103** (1): 130-135.
- BALLANTYNE C.K. (2002) Paraglacial geomorphology. Quaternary Science Reviews, 21 (18-19): 1935-2017.
- BALLANTYNE C.K., SANDEMAN G.F., STONE J.O. & WILSON P. (2014) Rock-slope failure following Late Pleistocene deglaciation on tectonically stable mountainous terrain. Quaternary Science Reviews, 86: 144-157.
- BARBARANO M., AGLIARDI F., CROSTA G.B. & ZANCHI A. (2015) Inherited and active tectonic controls on the Piz Dora DSGSD (Val Müstair, Switzerland). In: LOLLINO G., GIORDAN D., CROSTA G.B., COROMINAS J., AZZAM R., WASOWSKI J. & SCIARRA N. (Eds.), Engineering Geology for Society and Territory. Springer, Cham, vol. 2, 605-608.
- BARLA G., ANTOLINI F., BARLA M., MENSI E. & PIOVANO G. (2010) Monitoring of the Beauregard landslide (Aosta Valley, Italy) using advanced and conventional techniques. Engineering Geology, 116 (3-4): 218-235.
- BAROŇ I., KERNSTOCKOVÁ M., FARIDI M., BUBÍK M., MILOVSKÝ R., MELICHAR R., SABOURI J. & BABŮREK J. (2013) Paleostress analysis of a gigantic gravitational mass movement in active tectonic setting: The Qoshadagh slope failure, Ahar, NW Iran. Tectonophysics, 605: 70-87.
- BAROŇ I., PLAN L., GRASEMANN B., MITROVIC I., LENHARDT W., HAUSMANN H. & STEMBERK J. (2016) Can deep seated gravitational slope deformations be activated by regional tectonic strain: First insights from displacement measurements in caves from the Eastern Alps. Geomorphology, 259: 81-89.
- BARONI C., MARTINO S., SALVATORE M.C., SCARASCIA MUGNOZZA G. & SCHILIRÒ L. (2014) Thermomechanical stress-strain numerical modelling of deglaciation since the Last Glacial Maximum in the Adamello Group (Rhaetian Alps, Italy). Geomorphology, 226: 278-299.
- BARTH N.C. (2014) The Cascade rock avalanche: Implications of a very large Alpine Fault-triggered failure, New Zealand. Landslides, 11 (3): 327-341.

BECK A.C. (1968) - Gravity faulting as a mechanism of topographic adjustment. New Zealand Journal of Geology and Geophysics, 11 (1): 191-199.

BEMMELEN (VAN) R.W. (1950) - Gravitational tectogenesis in Indonesia. Geologie en Mijnbouw, 1: 351-361.

- BIANCHI FASANI G., DI LUZIO E., ESPOSITO C., EVANS S.G. & SCARASCIA MUGNOZZA G. (2014) Quaternary, catastrophic rock avalanches in the Central Apennines (Italy): Relationships with inherited tectonic features, gravity-driven deformations and the geodynamic frame. Geomorphology, 211: 22-42.
- BIANCHI FASANI G., DI LUZIO E., ESPOSITO C., MARTINO S. & SCARASCIA MUGNOZZA G. (2011) Numerical modelling of Plio-Quaternary slope evolution based on geological constraints: A case study from the Caramanico valley (Central Apennines, Italy). Geological Society, London, Special Publications, 351 (1): 201-214.
- BIANCHI FASANI G., ESPOSITO C., MAFFEI A., SCARASCIA MUGNOZZA G. & EVANS S.G. (2004) Geological controls on initial failure mechanisms of rock avalanches in central Apennines (Italy). In: LACERDA E. & FONTOURA S. (Eds.), Landslides: Evaluation and Stabilization; Proceedings of the 9th International Symposium on Landslides, Rio de Janeiro, pp. 501-507.
- BIGOT-CORMIER F., BRAUCHER R., BOURLÈS D., GUGLIELMI Y., DUBAR M. & STÉPHAN J.F. (2005) Chronological constraints on processes leading to large active landslides. Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 235 (1-2): 141-150.
- BISCI C., BURATTINI F., DRAMIS F., LEOPERDI S., PONTONI F. & PONTONI F. (1996) The Sant'Agata Feltria landslide (Marche Region, central Italy): A case of recurrent earthflow evolving from a deep-seated gravitational slope deformation. Geomorphology, **15** (3-4): 351-361.
- BLIKRA L.H., LONGVA O., BRAATHEN A., DEHLS J.F. & STALSBERG K. (2006) Rock slope failures in Norwegian Fjord areas: Examples, spatial distribution and temporal pattern. In: EVANS S.G., SCARASCIA MUGNOZZA G., STROM A. & HERMANNS R.L. (Eds.), Landslides from Massive Rock Slope Failure. Springer, Dordrecht, p.p. 475-496.
- BÖHME M., HERMANNS R.L., OPPIKOFER T., FISCHER L., BUNKHOLT H.S.S., EIKEN T., PEDRAZZINI A., DERRON M.H., JABOYEDOFF M., BLIKRA L.H. & NILSEN B. (2013) - Analyzing complex rock slope deformation at Stampa, western Norway, by integrating geomorphology, kinematics and numerical modeling. Engineering Geology, 154: 116-130.
- BOIS T., BOUISSOU S. & GUGLIELMI Y. (2008) Influence of major inherited faults zones on gravitational slope deformation: A two-dimensional physical modelling of the La Clapière area (Southern French Alps). Earth and Planetary Science Letters, **272** (3-4): 709-719.

- BOIS T., BOUISSOU S. & JABOYEDOFF M. (2012) Influence of structural heterogeneities and of large scale topography on imbricate gravitational rock slope failures: New insights from 3-D physical modeling and geomorphological analysis. Tectonophysics, **526**: 147-156.
- BOIS T., ZERATHE S., LEBOURG T. & TRIC E. (2018) Analysis of lateral rock spreading process initiation with a numerical modelling approach. Terra Nova, **30** (5): 369-379.
- BONCI L., CALCATERRA S., CESI C., GAMBINO P., GULLÀ G., NICEFORO D., MERLI K. & SORRISO-VALVO M. (2010) Displacements on a slope affected by deep-seated gravitational slope deformation: Greci slope (Lago, Calabria, Italy). Geografia Fisica e Dinamica Quaternaria, 33: 141-153.
- BONZANIGO L., EBERHARDT E. & LOEW S. (2007) Long-term investigation of a deep-seated creeping landslide in crystalline rock. Part I. Geological and hydromechanical factors controlling the Campo Vallemaggia landslide. Canadian Geotechnical Journal, 44 (10): 1157-1180.
- BOULTBEE N., STEAD D., SCHWAB J. & GEERTSEMA M. (2006) The Zymoetz River rock avalanche, June 2002, British Columbia, Canada. Engineering Geology, 83 (1-3): 76-93.
- Bovis M.J. & Evans S.G. (1996) Extensive deformations of rock slopes in southern Coast Mountains, southwest British Columbia, Canada. Engineering Geology, 44 (1): 163-182.
- BOVIS M.J. & STEWART T.W. (1998) Long-term deformation of a glacially undercut rock slope, southwest British Columbia. In: Proceedings at the 8th International Congress, International Association for Engineering Geology and the Environment, Vancouver, 1267–1276 pp.
- Bovis M.J. (1982) Uphill-facing (antislope) scarps in the Coast Mountains, southwest British Columbia. Geological Society of America Bulletin, 93 (8): 804-812.
- Bovis M.J. (1990) Rock-slope deformation at Affliction Creek, southern Coast Mountains, British Columbia. Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences, 27 (2): 243-254.
- BOZZANO F., BRETSCHNEIDER A., ESPOSITO C., MARTINO S., PRESTININZI A. & SCARASCIA MUGNOZZA G. (2013) Lateral spreading processes in mountain ranges: Insights from an analogue modelling experiment. Tectonophysics, **605**: 88-95.
- BOZZANO F., DELLA SETA M. & MARTINO S. (2016) *Time-dependent evolution of rock slopes by a multi-modelling approach*. Geomorphology, **263**: 113-131.
- BOZZANO F., MARTINO S., MONTAGNA A. & PRESTININZI A. (2012) Back analysis of a rock landslide to infer rheological parameters. Engineering Geology, 131: 45-56.
- BRAATHEN A., BLIKRA L.H., BERG S.S. & KARLSEN F. (2004) Rock-slope failures in Norway; type, geometry, deformation mechanisms and stability. Norwegian Journal of Geology, 84 (1): 67-88.
- BRETSCHNEIDER A., GENEVOIS R., MARTINO S., PRESTININZI A. & VERBENA G. (2013) A physically-based scale approach to the analysis of the creep process involving Mt. Granieri (Southern Italy). Italian Journal of Engineering Geology and Environment, 13: 123-131.
- BŘEŽNÝ M., PÁNEK T., LENART J., ZONDERVAN A. & BRAUCHER R. (2018) ¹⁰Be dating reveals pronounced Mid-to Late Holocene activity of deep-seated landslides in the highest part of the Czech Flysch Carpathians. Quaternary Science Reviews, 195: 180-194.
- BRIDEAU M.A., YAN M. & STEAD D. (2009) The role of tectonic damage and brittle rock fracture in the development of large rock slope failures. Geomorphology, **103** (1): 30-49.
- CADOPPI P., GIARDINO M., PERRONE G. & TALLONE S. (2007) Litho-structural control, morphotectonics, and deep-seated gravitational deformations in the evolution of Alpine relief: A case study in the lower Susa Valley (Italian Western Alps). Quaternary International, 171: 143-159.
- CANCELLI A. & CASAGLI N. (1995) Classificazione e modellazione di fenomeni di instabilità in ammassi rocciosi sovrapposti ad argilliti o argille sovraconsolidate. Memorie della Società Geologica Italiana, **50**: 83-100.
- CAPPADONIA C., CONFUORTO P., SEPE C. & DI MARTIRE D. (2019) Preliminary results of a geomorphological and DInSAR characterization of a recently identified Deep-Seated Gravitational Slope Deformation in Sicily (Southern Italy). Rendiconti Online della Società Geologica Italiana, 49: 149-156.
- CARBONEL D., GUTIÉRREZ F., LINARES R., ROQUÉ C., ZARROCA M., MCCALPIN J., GUERRERO J. & RODRÍGUEZ V. (2013) Differentiating between gravitational and tectonic faults by means of geomorphological mapping, trenching and geophysical surveys. The case of the Zenzano Fault (Iberian Chain, N Spain). Geomorphology, **189**: 93-108.
- CASINI S., MARTINO S., PETITTA M. & PRESTININZI A. (2006) A physical analogue model to analyse interactions between tensile stresses and dissolution in carbonate slopes. Hydrogeology Journal, 14 (8): 1387-1402.
- CHEMENDA A.I., BOIS T., BOUISSOU S. & TRIC E. (2009) Numerical modelling of the gravity-induced destabilization of a slope: The example of the La Clapière landslide, southern France. Geomorphology, **109** (3-4): 86-93.
- CHEMENDA A.I., BOUISSOU S. & BACHMANN D. (2005) Three-dimensional physical modeling of deep-seated landslides: New technique and first results. Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth Surface, **110**: F04004.
- CHIGIRA M. & KIHO K. (1994) Deep-seated rockslide-avalanches preceded by mass rock creep of sedimentary rocks in the Akaishi Mountains, central Japan. Engineering Geology, **38** (3-4): 221-230.
- CHIGIRA M. (1992) Long-term gravitational deformation of rocks by mass rock creep. Engineering Geology, 32 (3): 157-184.

M. E. DISCENZA & C. ESPOSITO

- CHIGIRA M. (2009) September 2005 rain-induced catastrophic rockslides on slopes affected by deep-seated gravitational deformations, Kyushu, southern Japan. Engineering Geology, **108** (1-2): 1-15.
- CHIGIRA M., HARIYAMA T. & YAMASAKI S. (2013a) Development of deep-seated gravitational slope deformation on a shale dip-slope: Observations from high-quality drill cores. Tectonophysics, 605: 104-113.
- CHIGIRA M., TSOU C.Y., MATSUSHI Y., HIRAISHI N. & MATSUZAWA M. (2013b) Topographic precursors and geological structures of deep-seated catastrophic landslides caused by Typhoon Talas. Geomorphology, **201**: 479-493.
- CHIGIRA M., WU X., INOKUCHI T. & WANG G. (2010) Landslides induced by the 2008 Wenchuan earthquake, Sichuan, China. Geomorphology, 118 (3-4): 225-238.
- COQUIN J., MERCIER D., BOURGEOIS O., COSSART E. & DECAULNE A. (2015) Gravitational spreading of mountain ridges coeval with Late Weichselian deglaciation: Impact on glacial landscapes in Tröllaskagi, northern Iceland. Quaternary Science Reviews, **107**: 197-213.
- CRIPPA C., FRANZOSI F., ZONCA M., MANCONI A., CROSTA G.B., DEI CAS L. & AGLIARDI F. (2020) Unraveling spatial and temporal heterogeneities of very slow rock-slope deformations with targeted DInSAR analyses. Remote Sensing, 12 (8): 1329.
- CROSTA G.B. & BERTO R. (1996) Lineamenti tettonici e materiali di faglia: Fattori di controllo nelle deformazioni gravitative profonde. L'esempio della frana di Nonio (Novara, Italia). Quaderni di Geologia Applicata, **3** (1): 49-83.
- CROSTA G.B. & ZANCHI A. (2000) Deep-seated slope deformations: Huge, extraordinary, enigmatic phenomena. In: BROMHEAD E., DIXON N. & ISBEN M.L. (Eds.), Landslides in Research, Theory and Practice; Proceedings of the 8th International Symposium on Landslides, Cardiff, 351-358 pp.
- CROSTA G.B. (1996) Landslide, spreading, deep seated gravitational deformation: Analysis, examples, problems and proposals. Geografia Fisica e Dinamica Quaternaria, 19: 297-313.
- CROSTA G.B. (2001) Failure and flow development of a complex slide: The 1993 Sesa landslide. Engineering Geology, 59 (1-2): 173-199.
- CROSTA G.B., CHEN H. & LEE C.F. (2004) Replay of the 1987 Val Pola Landslide, Italian Alps. Geomorphology, 60 (1-2): 127-146.
- CROSTA G.B., DI PRISCO C., FRATTINI P., FRIGERIO G., CASTELLANZA R. & AGLIARDI F. (2014) Chasing a complete understanding of the triggering mechanisms of a large rapidly evolving rockslide. Landslides, 11 (5): 747-764.
- CROSTA G.B., FRATTINI P. & AGLIARDI F. (2013) Deep seated gravitational slope deformations in the European Alps. Tectonophysics, 605: 13-33.
- CROSTA G.B., FRATTINI P., VALBUZZI E. & DE BLASIO F.V. (2018) Introducing a New Inventory of Large Martian Landslides. Earth and Space Science, 5 (4): 89-119.
- CRUDEN D.M. & VARNES D.J. (1996) Landslide types and processes. In: TURNER A.K. & SCHUSTER R.L. (Eds.), Landslide Investigation and Mitigation. Transportation Research Board, US National Research Council, Washington, Special Report 247, 36-75 pp.
- DE BLASIO F.V. & MARTINO S. (2017) The Acheron Dorsum on Mars: A novel interpretation of its linear depressions and a model for its evolution. Earth and Planetary Science Letters, **465**: 92-102.
- DEL VENTISETTE C., GIGLI G., BONINI M., CORTI G., MONTANARI D., SANTORO S., SANI F., FANTI R. & CASAGLI N. (2015) Insights from analogue modelling into the deformation mechanism of the Vaiont landslide. Geomorphology, **228**: 52-59.
- DELCHIARO M., DELLA SETA M., MARTINO S., DEHBOZORGI M. & NOZAEM R. (2019) Reconstruction of river valley evolution before and after the emplacement of the giant Seymarch rock avalanche (Zagros Mts., Iran). Earth Surface Dynamics, 7: 929-947.
- DELLA SETA M., ESPOSITO C., MARMONI G.M., MARTINO S., SCARASCIA MUGNOZZA G. & TROIANI F. (2017) Morpho-structural evolution of the valley-slope systems and related implications on slope-scale gravitational processes: New results from the Mt. Genzana case history (Central Apennines, Italy). Geomorphology, 289: 60-77.
- DENG Q.L., ZHU Z.Y., CUI Z.Q. & WANG X.P. (2000) Mass rock creep and landsliding on the Huangtupo slope in the reservoir area of the Three Gorges Project, Yangtze River, China. Engineering Geology, **58** (1): 67-83.
- DI LUZIO E., BIANCHI FASANI G., ESPOSITO C., SAROLI M., CAVINATO G.P. & SCARASCIA MUGNOZZA G. (2004a) Massive rock-slope failure in the Central Apennines (Italy): The case of the Campo di Giove rock avalanche. Bulletin of Engineering Geology and the Environment, 63 (1): 1-12.
- DI LUZIO E., SAROLI M., ESPOSITO C., BIANCHI FASANI G., CAVINATO G.P. & SCARASCIA MUGNOZZA G. (2004b) Influence of structural framework on mountain slope deformation in the Maiella anticline (Central Apennines, Italy). Geomorphology, **60** (3-4): 417-432.
- DI MAGGIO C., MADONIA G. & VATTANO M. (2014) Deep-seated gravitational slope deformations in western Sicily: Controlling factors, triggering mechanisms, and morphoevolutionary models. Geomorphology, 208: 173-189.
- DI MARTIRE D., NOVELLINO A., RAMONDINI M. & CALCATERRA D. (2016) *A-differential synthetic aperture radar interferometry analysis of a deep seated gravitational slope deformation occurring at Bisaccia (Italy)*. Science of the Total Environment, **550**: 556-573.

DIKAU R., BRUNSDEN D., SCHROTT L. & IBSEN M.L. (1996) - Landslide recognition: Identification, movement and causes. John Wiley and Sons, Chichester.

DISCENZA M.E., ESPOSITO C., KOMATSU G. & MICCADEI E. (2021) - Large-ccale and deep-seated gravitational slope deformations on Mars: A Review. Geosciences, 11(4): 174.

DISCENZA M.E., ESPOSITO C., MARTINO S., PETITTA M. & SCARASCIA MUGNOZZA G. (2009) - Modello geologico-tecnico ed analisi numerica con approccio

equivalente continuo della deformazione gravitativa di Monte della Rocchetta (Appennino Centrale, Italia). Rendiconti Online della Società Geologica Italiana, 6: 225-226.

- DISCENZA M.E., ESPOSITO C., MARTINO S., PETITTA M., PRESTININZI A. & SCARASCIA MUGNOZZA G. (2011) The gravitational slope deformation of Mt. Rocchetta ridge (central Apennines, Italy): Geological-evolutionary model and numerical analysis. Bulletin of Engineering Geology and the Environment, **70** (4): 559–575.
- DISCENZA M.E., MARTINO S., BRETSCHNEIDER A. & SCARASCIA MUGNOZZA G. (2020) Influence of joints on creep processes involving rock masses: Results from physical-analogue laboratory tests. International Journal of Rock Mechanics and Mining Sciences, **128**: 104261.
- DRAMIS F. & SORRISO-VALVO M. (1994) Deep-seated gravitational slope deformations, related landslides and tectonics. Engineering Geology, 38 (3-4): 231-243.
- DROUILLAS Y., LEBOURG T., ZERATHE S., HIPPOLYTE J.C., CHOCHON R., VIDAL M. & BESSO R. (2020) Alpine deep-seated gravitational slope deformation and the Messinian Salinity Crisis. Landslides, 18 (2): 539-549.
- EL BEDOUI S., BOIS T., JOMARD H., SANCHEZ G., LEBOURG T., TRICS E., GUGLIELMI Y., BOUISSOU S., CHEMENDA A., ROLLAND Y., CORSINI M. & PEREZ J.L. (2011) *Paraglacial gravitational deformations in the SW Alps: A review of field investigations, ¹⁰Be cosmogenic dating and physical modelling. Geological Society, London, Special Publications, 351 (1): 11-25.*
- EL BEDOUI S., GUGLIELMI Y., LEBOURG T. & PÉREZ J.L. (2009) Deep-seated failure propagation in a fractured rock slope over 10,000 years: The La Clapière slope, the south-eastern French Alps. Geomorphology, **105** (3-4): 232-238.
- EMERY J.Z. (1978) Simulation of slope creep. In: VOIGHT B. (Ed.), Rockslides and Avalanches Natural Phenomena; Development in Geotechnical Engineering. Elsevier, Amsterdam, 669-691.

ENGELEN (VON) G.B. (1963) - Gravity tectonics in the North-Western Dolomites (N.Italy). Geologica Ultraiec 13: 1-92.

- ESPOSITO C., DI LUZIO E., BALEANI M., TROIANI F., DELLA SETA M., BOZZANO F. & MAZZANTI P. (2021) Fold architecture predisposing deep-seated gravitational slope deformations within a flysch sequence in the Northern Apennines (Italy). Geomorphology, **380**: 107629.
- ESPOSITO C., DI LUZIO E., SCARASCIA MUGNOZZA G. & BIANCHI FASANI G. (2014) Mutual interactions between slope-scale gravitational processes and morpho-structural evolution of central Apennines (Italy): Review of some selected case histories. Rendiconti Lincei, 25 (2): 151-165.
- ESPOSITO C., MARTINO S. & SCARASCIA MUGNOZZA G. (2007) Mountain slope deformations along thrust fronts in jointed limestone: An equivalent continuum modelling approach. Geomorphology, 90 (1-2): 55-72.
- EVANS S.G., SCARASCIA MUGNOZZA G., STROM A.L., HERMANNS R.L., ISCHUK A. & VINNICHENKO S. (2006) Landslides from massive rock slope failure and associated phenomena. In: EVANS S.G., SCARASCIA MUGNOZZA G., STROM A. & HERMANNS R.L. (Eds.), Landslides from Massive Rock Slope Failure. Springer, Dordrecht: 3-52.
- FEDA J. (1973) Stability of natural slopes. In: Proceedings of the 8th International Conference on Soil Mechanics and Foundation Engineering, Moskva, oral discussion.
- FERGUSON H.F. (1967) Valley stress release in the Allegheny Plateau. Engineering Geology, 4 (1): 63-71.

FLEMING R.W. & JOHNSON A.M. (1989) - Structures associated with strike-slip faults that bound landslide elements. Engineering Geology, 27 (1-4): 39-114.

- FRANCIONI M., CALAMITA F., COGGAN J., DE NARDIS A., EYRE M., MICCADEI E., PIACENTINI T., STEAD D. & SCIARRA N. (2019) A multi-disciplinary approach to the study of large rock avalanches combining remote sensing, GIS and field surveys: The case of the Scanno landslide, Italy. Remote Sensing, 11 (13): 1570.
- FRATTINI P., CROSTA G.B. & ALLIEVI J. (2013) Damage to buildings in large slope rock instabilities monitored with the PSinSAR[™] technique. Remote Sensing, **5** (10): 4753-4773.
- FRATTINI P., CROSTA G.B., ROSSINI M. & ALLIEVI J. (2018) Activity and kinematic behaviour of deep-seated landslides from PS-InSAR displacement rate measurements. Landslides, 15 (6): 1053-1070.
- GALADINI F. (2006) Quaternary tectonics and large-scale gravitational deformations with evidence of rock-slide displacements in the Central Apennines (central Italy). Geomorphology, 82 (3-4): 201-228.
- GARCÍA-DELGADO H. (2020) The San Eduardo Landslide (Eastern Cordillera of Colombia): Reactivation of a deep-seated gravitational slope deformation. Landslides, 17: 1951-1964.
- GENEVOIS R. & PRESTININZI A. (1979) *Time dependent behaviour of granitic rocks related to their alteration grade*. In: Proceedings of the 4th International Congress on Rock Mechanics, Montreaux, 153-159 pp.
- GHIROTTI M., MARTIN S. & GENEVOIS R. (2011) The Celentino deep-seated gravitational slope deformation (DSGSD): Structural and geomechanical analyses (Peio Valley, NE Italy). Geological Society, London, Special Publications, **351** (1): 235-251.
- GIGNOUX M. & BARBIER R. (1955) Géologie des barrages et des aménagements hydrauliques. Masson et Cie, Paris.
- GLUEER F., LOEW S., MANCONI A. & AARON J. (2019) From Toppling to Sliding: Progressive Evolution of the Moosfluh Landslide, Switzerland. Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth Surface, 124 (12): 2899-2919.

- GOODMAN R.E. & BRAY J.W. (1976) Toppling of rock slopes. In: Proceedings of the Speciality Conference on Rock Engineering for Foundation and Slopes, Boulder, vol. 2, 201-234 pp.
- GORI S., FALCUCCI E., DRAMIS F., GALADINI F., GALLI P., GIACCIO B., MESSINA P., PIZZI A., SPOSATO A. & COSENTINO D. (2014) Deep-seated gravitational slope deformation, large-scale rock failure, and active normal faulting along Mt. Morrone (Sulmona basin, Central Italy): Geomorphological and paleoseismological analyses. Geomorphology, 208: 88-101.
- GOURRONC M., BOURGEOIS O., MÈGE D., POCHAT S., BULTEL B., MASSÉ M., LE DEIT L., LE MOUÉLIC S. & MERCIER D. (2014) One million cubic kilometers of fossil ice in Valles Marineris: Relicts of a 3.5 Gy old glacial landsystem along the Martian equator. Geomorphology, 204: 235-255.
- GRÄMIGER L.M., MOORE J.R., GISCHIG V.S., IVY-OCHS S. & LOEW S. (2017) Beyond debuttressing: Mechanics of paraglacial rock slope damage during repeat glacial cycles. Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth Surface, **122** (4): 1004-1036.
- GUALLINI L., BROZZETTI F. & MARINANGELI L. (2012) Large-scale deformational systems in the South Polar Layered Deposits (Promethei Lingula, Mars): "soft-sediment" and deep-seated gravitational slope deformations mechanisms. Icarus, 220 (2): 821-843.
- GUERRICCHIO A. & MELIDORO G. (1979) Deformazioni Gravitative Profonde del tipo "Sackung" nei Monti di Maratea (Lucania). Geologia Applicata e Idrogeologia, 14 (1): 13-22.
- GUERRICCHIO A., MELIDORO G., MASTROMATTEI R. & RONCONI M.L. (1996) Neotettonica a "vortice", deformazioni gravitative profonde e grandi frane nella Catena nord-occidentale del Pollino (Calabria settentrionale). Memorie della Società Geologica Italiana, **51** (2): 887-903.
- GUTIÉRREZ F., CARBONEL D., GUERRERO J., MCCALPIN J.P., LINARES R., ROQUÉ C. & ZARROCA M. (2012a) Late Holocene episodic displacement on fault scarps related to interstratal dissolution of evaporites (Teruel Neogene Graben, NE Spain). Journal of Structural Geology, 34: 2-19.
- GUTIÉRREZ F., LINARES R., ROQUÉ C., ZARROCA M., ROSELL J., GALVE J.P. & CARBONEL D. (2012b) Investigating gravitational grabens related to lateral spreading and evaporite dissolution subsidence by means of detailed mapping, trenching, and electrical resistivity tomography (Spanish Pyrenees). Lithosphere, **4** (4): 331-353.
- GUTIÉRREZ F., ORTUÑO M., LUCHA P., GUERRERO J., ACOSTA E., CORATZA P., PIACENTINI D. & SOLDATI M. (2008) Late Quaternary episodic displacement on a sackung scarp in the central Spanish Pyrenees. Secondary paleoseismic evidence?. Geodinamica Acta, 21 (4): 187-202.
- GUTIÉRREZ-SANTOLALLA F., ACOSTA E., RÍOS S., GUERRERO J. & LUCHA P. (2005) Geomorphology and geochronology of sackung features (uphill-facing scarps) in the Central Spanish Pyrenees. Geomorphology, 69 (1-4): 298-314.
- HAEFELI R. (1965) Creep and progressive failure snow, soil, rock and ice. In: Proceedings of the 6th International Conference on Soil Mechanics and Foundation Engineering, Montreal, vol. 3, 134-148.
- HEIM A. (1932) Landslides and human lives (Bergsturz and Menchenleben). Bitech Publishers, Vancouver.
- HERMANN S.W., MADRITSCH G., RAUTH H. & BECKER L.P. (2000) Modes and structural conditions of large scale mass movements (Sackungen) on crystalline basement units of the Eastern Alps (Niedere Tauern, Austria). Mitteilungen des Naturwissenschaftlichen Vereines für Steiermark, 130: 31-42.
- HERMANNS R.L. & LONGVA O. (2012) Rapid rock-slope failures. In: CLAGUE J.J. & STEAD D. (Eds.), Landslides: Types, Mechanisms and Modeling. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 59-70 pp.
- HEWITT K. (2006) Rock avalanches with complex run out and emplacement, Karakoram Himalaya, Inner Asia. In: EVANS S.G., SCARASCIA MUGNOZZA G., STROM A. & HERMANNS R.L. (Eds.), Landslides from Massive Rock Slope Failure. Springer, Dordrecht, p.p. 521-550.
- HEWITT K., CLAGUE J.J. & ORWIN J.F. (2008) Legacies of catastrophic rock slope failures in mountain landscapes. Earth-Science Reviews, 87 (1-2): 1-38.
- HIPPOLYTE J.C., BOURLÈS D., BRAUCHER R., CARCAILLET J., LÉANNI L., ARNOLD M. & AUMAITRE G. (2009) Cosmogenic ¹⁰Be dating of a sackung and its faulted rock glaciers, in the Alps of Savoy (France). Geomorphology, **108** (3-4): 312-320.
- HIPPOLYTE J.C., BOURLÈS D., LÉANNI L., BRAUCHER R., CHAUVET F. & LEBATARD A.E. (2012) ¹⁰Be ages reveal > 12 ka of gravitational movement in a major sackung of the Western Alps (France). Geomorphology, **171**: 139-153.
- HIPPOLYTE J.C., BROCARD G., TARDY M., NICOUD G., BOURLES D., BRAUCHER R., MÉNARD G. & SOUFFACHÉ B. (2006) The recent fault scarps of the Western Alps (France): Tectonic surface ruptures or gravitational sackung scarps? A combined mapping, geomorphic, levelling, and ¹⁰Be dating approach. Tectonophysics, **418** (3-4): 255-276.
- HOLLINGWORTH S.E., TAYLOR J.H. & KELLAWAY G.A. (1944) Large-scale superficial structures in the Northampton Ironstone Field. Quarterly Journal of the Geological Society, 100 (1-4): 1-44.
- HORSWILL P., HORTON A. & PENMAN A.D.M. (1976) *Cambering and valley bulging in the Gwash Valley at Empingham, Rutland [and Discussion]*. Philosophical Transactions for the Royal Society of London, Series A, Mathematical and Physical Sciences, **283**: 427-462.
- HOU Y.L., CHIGIRA M. & TSOU C.Y. (2014) Numerical study on deep-seated gravitational slope deformation in a shale-dominated dip slope due to river incision. Engineering Geology, 179: 59-75.
- HOWARD K.A. (1973) Avalanche mode of motion: Implications from lunar examples. Science, 180: 1052-1055.
- HRADECKÝ J. & PÁNEK T. (2008) Deep-seated gravitational slope deformations and their influence on consequent mass movements (case studies from the highest part of the Czech Carpathians). Natural Hazards, 45 (2): 235-253.

Hsü K.J. (1975) - Catastrophic debris streams (sturzstroms) generated by rockfalls. Geological Society of America Bulletin, 86 (1): 129-140.

HUNGR O. & EVANS S.G. (2004) - Entrainment of debris in rock avalanches: An analysis of a long run-out mechanism. Geological Society of America Bulletin, **116** (9-10): 1240-1252.

HUNGR O., LEROUEIL S. & PICARELLI L. (2014) - The Varnes classification of landslide types, an update. Landslides, 11 (2): 167-194.

HÜRLIMANN M., LEDESMA A., COROMINAS J. & PRAT P.C. (2006) - The deep-seated slope deformation at Encampadana, Andorra: Representation of morphologic features by numerical modelling. Engineering Geology, 83 (4): 343-357.

HUNGR O., LEROUEIL S. & PICARELLI L. (2014) - The Varnes classification of landslide types, an update. Landslides, 11 (2): 167-194.

- HÜRLIMANN M., LEDESMA A., COROMINAS J. & PRAT P.C. (2006) The deep-seated slope deformation at Encampadana, Andorra: Representation of morphologic features by numerical modelling. Engineering Geology, 83 (4): 343-357.
- HUTCHINSON J.N. (1968) Mass movement. In: FAIRBRIDGE R.W. (Ed.), Encyclopedia of Geomorphology. Reinhold Publishers, New York, 688-695 pp.

HUTCHINSON J.N. (1988) - General report: Morphological and geotechnical parameters of landslides in relation to geology and hydrogeology. In: Proceedings of the 5th International Symposium on Landslides, Lausanne, vol. 1, 3-35 pp.

HUTCHINSON J.N. (1995) - Deep-seated mass movements on slopes. Memorie della Società Geologica Italiana, 50: 147-164.

- IMRE B., ALIG C., SCHÖNENBERGER I., SPRINGMAN S.M. & HERMANN S. (2009) Morphology and kinematic of a very large, deep-seated structural rock slide located in the Fusch Valley, Eastern Alps, Austria. Geomorphology, 112 (3-4): 277-294.
- JABOYEDOFF M., COUTURE R. & LOCAT P. (2009) Structural analysis of Turtle Mountain (Alberta) using digital elevation model: Toward a progressive failure. Geomorphology, 103 (1): 5-16.

JABOYEDOFF M., CROSTA G.B. & STEAD D. (2011) - Slope tectonics: A short introduction. Geological Society, London, Special Publications, 351 (1): 1-10.

JABOYEDOFF M., PENNA I., PEDRAZZINI A., BAROŇ I. & CROSTA G.B. (2013) - An introductory review on gravitational-deformation induced structures, fabrics and modeling. Tectonophysics, 605: 1-12.

JAHN A. (1964) - Slope morphological features resulting from gravitation. Zeitschrift Fur Geomorphologie, Supplementband, 5: 59-72.

JARMAN D. & HARRISON S. (2019) - Rock slope failure in the British mountains. Geomorphology, 340: 202-233.

JARMAN D. (2006) - Large rock slope failures in the Highlands of Scotland: Characterisation, causes and spatial distribution. Engineering Geology, 83 (1-3): 161-182.

- JARMAN D., CALVET M., COROMINAS J., DELMAS M. & GUNNELL Y. (2014) Large-scale rock slope failures in the Eastern Pyrenees: Identifying a sparse but significant population in paraglacial and parafluvial contexts. Geografiska Annaler: Series A, Physical Geography, 96 (3): 357-391.
- JIBSON R.W., HARP E.L., SCHULZ W. & KEEFER D.K. (2004) Landslides triggered by the 2002 Denali fault, Alaska, earthquake and the inferred nature of the strong shaking. Earthquake Spectra, 20 (3): 669-691.
- JOMARD H., LEBOURG T. & GUGLIELMI Y. (2014) Morphological analysis of deep-seated gravitational slope deformation (DSGSD) in the western part of the Argentera massif. A morpho-tectonic control?. Landslides, 11 (1): 107-117.
- KANEDA H. & KONO T. (2017) Discovery, controls, and hazards of widespread deep-seated gravitational slope deformation in the Etsumi Mountains, central Japan. Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth Surface, 122 (12): 2370-2391.
- KIEFFER D.S. (1998) Rock slumping: A compound failure mode of jointed hard rock slopes. Dissertation, Berkeley University of California.
- KINAKIN D. & STEAD D. (2005) Analysis of the distributions of stress in natural ridge forms: Implications for the deformation mechanisms of rock slopes and the formation of sackung. Geomorphology, 65 (1-2): 85-100.
- KNILL J.L. (1968) Geotechnical significance of some glacially induced rock discontinuities. Bulletin of the International Association of Engineering Geology, 5 (1): 49-62.

KOBAYASHI K. (1956) - Periglacial morphology in Japan. Biuletyn Peryglacjalny, 4: 15-36.

- KOLTAI G., OSTERMANN M., CHENG H. & SPÖTL C. (2018) Can vein-filling speleothems constrain the timing of deep-seated gravitational slope deformation? A case study from the Vinschgau (Italian Alps). Landslides, 15: 2243–2254.
- KORUP O. (2006a) *Effects of large deep-seated landslides on hillslope morphology, western Southern Alps, New Zealand*. Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth Surface, **111**: F01018.

KORUP O. (2006b) - Rock-slope failure and the river long profile. Geology, 34 (1): 45-48.

Košťák B. (1977) - Photoplastic slope deformation models. Bulletin of the International Association of Engineering Geology, 16 (1): 221-223.

- KROMUSZCZYŃSKA O., MÈGE D., DĘBNIAK K., GURGUREWICZ J., MAKOWSKA M. & LUCAS A. (2019) Deep-seated gravitational slope deformation scaling on Mars and Earth: Same fate for different initial conditions and structural evolutions. Earth Surface Dynamics, 7 (2): 361-376.
- LEBOURG T., ZERATHE S., FABRE R., GIULIANO J. & VIDAL M. (2014) A Late Holocene deep-seated landslide in the northern French Pyrenees. Geomorphology, 208: 1-10.
- LEITH K., MOORE J.R., AMANN F. & LOEW S. (2014) Subglacial extensional fracture development and implications for Alpine Valley evolution. Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth Surface, 119 (1): 62-81.

LEITH K.J. (2012) - Stress development and geomechanical controls on the geomorphic evolution of alpine valleys. Dissertation, ETH Zurich.

LENTI L., MARTINO S., PACIELLO A., PRESTININZI A. & RIVELLINO S. (2012) - Microseismicity within a karstified rock mass due to cracks and collapses as a tool for risk management. Natural Hazards, 64 (1): 359-379.

- MADRITSCH H. & MILLEN B.M.J. (2007) Hydrogeologic evidence for a continuous basal shear zone within a deep-seated gravitational slope deformation (Eastern Alps, Tyrol, Austria). Landslides, 4 (2): 149-162.
- MAFFEI A., MARTINO S. & PRESTININZI A. (2005) From the geological to the numerical model in the analysis of gravity-induced slope deformations: An example from the Central Apennines (Italy). Engineering Geology, **78** (3-4).
- MAHR T. & NEMČOK A. (1977) Deep-seated creep deformations in the crystalline cores of the Tatry Mts. Bulletin of the International Association of Engineering Geology, 16 (1): 104-106.
- MAHR T. (1977) Deep-reaching gravitational deformations of high mountain slopes. Bulletin of the International Association of Engineering Geology, **16** (1): 121-127.
- MAKOWSKA M., MÈGE D., GUEYDAN F. & CHÉRY J. (2016) Mechanical conditions and modes of paraglacial deep-seated gravitational spreading in Valles Marineris, Mars. Geomorphology, 268: 246-252.
- MALGOT J. (1977) Deep-seated gravitational slope deformations in neovolcanic mountain ranges of Slovakia. Bulletin of the International Association of Engineering Geology, 16 (1): 106-109.
- MARIANI G.S. & ZERBONI A. (2020) Surface geomorphological features of deep-seated gravitational slope deformations: A look to the role of lithostructure (N Apennines, Italy). Geosciences, 10 (9): 334.
- MARTINO S., CERCATO M., DELLA SETA M., ESPOSITO C., HAILEMIKAEL S., IANNUCCI R., MARTINI G., PACIELLO A., SCARASCIA MUGNOZZA G., SENECA D. & TROIANI F. (2020) - Relevance of rock slope deformations in local seismic response and microzonation: Insights from the Accumoli case-study (central Apennines, Italy). Engineering Geology, 266: 105427.
- MARTINO S., DELLA SETA M. & ESPOSITO C. (2017) Back-analysis of rock landslides to infer rheological parameters. In: FENG X.T. (Ed.), Rock Mechanics and Engineering, Analysis, Modeling and Design. Taylor and Francis, London, vol. 3, 237-268 pp.
- MARTINO S., PRESTININZI A. & SCARASCIA MUGNOZZA G. (2004) Geological-evolutionary model of a gravity-induced slope deformation in the carbonate Central Apennines (Italy). Quarterly Journal of Engineering Geology and Hydrogeology, **37** (1): 31-47.
- MARTINOTTI G., GIORDAN D., GIARDINO M. & RATTO S. (2011) Controlling factors for deep-seated gravitational slope deformation (DSGSD) in the Aosta Valley (NW Alps, Italy). Geological Society, London, Special Publications, **351** (1): 113-131.
- McCALPIN J.P. & HART E.W. (2003) Ridge-top spreading features and relationship to earthquakes, San Gabriel Mountains region, Southern California parts A and B. In: HART E.W. (Ed.), Ridge-Top Spreading in California; Contributions Toward Understandinga Significant Seismic Hazard. California Geological Survey, Sacramento.
- MCCALPIN J.P. & IRVINE J.R. (1995) Sackungen at the Aspen Highlands Ski Area, Pitkin County, Colorado. Environmental and Engineering Geoscience, 1 (3): 277-290.
- McCALPIN J.P., BRUHN R.L., PAVLIS T.L., GUTIERREZ F., GUERRERO J. & LUCHA P. (2011) Antislope scarps, gravitational spreading, and tectonic faulting in the western Yakutat microplate, south coastal Alaska. Geosphere, 7 (5): 1143-1158.
- McColl S.T. (2012) Paraglacial rock-slope stability. Geomorphology, 153: 1-16.
- MCCOLL S.T., DAVIES T.R.H. & MCSAVENEY M.J. (2010) *Glacier retreat and rock-slope stability: Debunking debuttressing*. In: WILLIAMS A.L., PINCHES G.M., CHIN C.Y., MCMORRAN T.J. & MASSEY C.I. (Eds.), *Geologically Active*. Taylor and Francis, London, 467-474 pp.
- MCLEAN M.C., BRIDEAU M.A. & AUGUSTINUS P.C. (2015) Deep-seated gravitational slope deformation in Greywacke Rocks of the Tararua Range, North Island, New Zealand. In: LOLLINO G., GIORDAN D., CROSTA G.B., COROMINAS J., AZZAM R., WASOWSKI J. & SCIARRA N. (Eds.), Engineering Geology for Society and Territory. Springer, Cham, vol. 2, 559-564 pp.
- Mège D. & BOURGEOIS O. (2011) Equatorial glaciations on Mars revealed by gravitational collapse of Valles Marineris wallslopes. Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 310 (3-4): 182-191.
- Mège D., Le DEIT L., RANGO T. & KORME T. (2013) Gravity tectonics of topographic ridges: Halokinesis and gravitational spreading in the western Ogaden, Ethiopia. Geomorphology, **193**: 1-13.
- MENCL V. (1968) Plastizitätslehre und das wirkliche Verhalten von Gebirgsmassen. Felsmechanik und Ingenieurgeologie, Supplementa, 4: 1-8.
- MORO M., SAROLI M., GORI S., FALCUCCI F., GALADINI F. & MESSINA P. (2012) The interaction between active normal faulting and large scale gravitational mass movements revealed by paleoseismological techniques: A case study from central Italy. Geomorphology, **151**: 164-174.
- MORO M., SAROLI M., SALVI S., STRAMONDO S. & DOUMAZ F. (2007) The relationship between seismic deformation and deep-seated gravitational movements during the 1997 Umbria-Marche (Central Italy) earthquakes. Geomorphology, 89 (3-4): 297-307.
- MORO M., SAROLI M., TOLOMEI C. & SALVI S. (2009) Insights on the kinematics of deep-seated gravitational slope deformations along the 1915 Avezzano earthquake fault (Central Italy), from time-series DInSAR. Geomorphology, **112** (3-4): 261-276.

NEMČOK A. & PAŠEK J. (1969) - Deformacie horskych svahow. Geologicke Prace, Spravy, 50: 5-28.

- NEMČOK A. & RYBÁŘ J. (1968) Landslide investigation in Czechoslovakia. In: Proceedings of the 1st Session of the International Association of Engineering Geology, Prague, 183-198 pp.
- NEMČOK A. (1966) The development of landslides on the boundaries of geological formations. Sbornik Geologickych Ved, Rada HIG 5, Prague.
- NEMČOK A. (1972) Gravitational slope deformation in high mountains. In: Proceedings of the 24th International Geological Congress, Montreal, sect. 13, 132-141 pp.

NEMČOK A. (1982) - Zosuvy v slovenských Karpatoch (in Slovak with English summary). Veda, Bratislava.

- NEMČOK A., PAŠEK J. & RYBÁŘ J. (1972) Classification of landslides and other mass movements. Rock Mechanics, 4 (2): 71-78.
- NEWMAN S.D. (2013) Deep-seated gravitational slope deformations near the Trans-Alaska Pipeline, East-Central Alaska Range. Dissertation, Simon Fraser University.

NICHOL S.L., HUNGR O. & EVANS S.G. (2002) - Large-scale brittle and ductile toppling of rock slopes. Canadian Geotechnical Journal, 39 (4): 773-788.

- NICOLETTI P.G., PARISE M. & MICCADEI E. (1993) The Scanno rock avalanche (Abruzzi, south-central Italy). Bollettino della Società Geologica Italiana, 112 (2): 523-535.
- OPPIKOFER T., SAINTOT A., HERMANNS R.L., BÖHME M., SCHEIBER T., GOSSE J. & DREIÅS G.M. (2017) From incipient slope instability through slope deformation to catastrophic failure — Different stages of failure development on the Ivasnasen and Vollan rock slopes (western Norway). Geomorphology, 289: 96-116.

PÁNEK T. & KLIMEŠ J. (2016) - Temporal behavior of deep-seated gravitational slope deformations: A review. Earth-Science Reviews, 156: 14-38.

- PÁNEK T., BŘEŽNÝ M., KAPUSTOVÁ V., LENART J. & CHALUPA V. (2019) Large landslides and deep-seated gravitational slope deformations in the Czech Flysch Carpathians: New LiDAR-based inventory. Geomorphology, 346: 106852.
- PÁNEK T., BŘEŽNÝ M., KILNAR J. & WINOCUR D. (2021) Complex causes of landslides after ice sheet retreat: Post-LGM mass movements in the Northern Patagonian Icefield Region. Science Of The Total Environment, **758**: 143684.
- PÁNEK T., HRADECKÝ J., MINÁR J., HUNGR O. & DUŠEK R. (2009a) Late Holocene catastrophic slope collapse affected by deep-seated gravitational deformation in flysch: Ropice Mountain, Czech Republic. Geomorphology, **103** (3): 414-419.
- PÁNEK T., HRADECKÝ J., ŠILHÁN K., SMOLKOVÁ V. & ALTOVÁ V. (2009b) Time constraints for the evolution of a large slope collapse in karstified mountainous terrain of the southwestern Crimean Mountains, Ukraine. Geomorphology, **108** (3-4): 171-181.
- PÁNEK T., MENTLÍK P., ENGEL Z., BRAUCHER R., ZONDERVAN A. & TEAM A. (2017) Late Quaternary sackungen in the highest mountains of the Carpathians. Quaternary Science Reviews, **159**: 47-62.
- PÁNEK T., TÁBOŘÍK P., KLIMEŠ J., KOMÁRKOVÁ V., HRADECKÝ J. & ŠT'ASTNÝ M. (2011) Deep-seated gravitational slope deformations in the highest parts of the Czech Flysch Carpathians: Evolutionary model based on kinematic analysis, electrical imaging and trenching. Geomorphology, 129 (1-2): 92-112.
- PARKS C.D. (1991) A review of the mechanisms of cambering and valley bulging. Geological Society, London, Engineering Geology Special Publications, 7 (1): 373-380.
- PAŠEK J. & KOŠŤÁK B. (1979) Svahové pohyby blokového typu. In: MATULA M. (Ed.), Inžinierskogeologické štúdium horninového prostredia a geodynamických procesov. Veda, Bratislava.
- PAŠEK J. (1968) Schollenartige Hangbewegungen. Mitteilungen der Gesellschaft der Geologie und Bergbaustudenten, 18: 367-378.
- PAŠEK J. (1974) Gravitational block-type slope movements. In: Proceedings of the 2nd International Congress of the International Association of Engineering Geology, Sao Paulo, vol. 2, 1-9 pp.
- PASUTO A. & SOLDATI M. (2013) *Lateral spreading*. In: SHRODER J., MARSTON R.A. & STOFFEL M. (Eds.), Treatise on Geomorphology, Mountain and Hillslope Geomorphology. Academic Press, San Diego, vol. 7, 239-248 pp.
- PEDRAZZINI A., HUMAIR F., JABOYEDOFF M. & TONINI M. (2016) Characterisation and spatial distribution of gravitational slope deformation in the Upper Rhone catchment (Western Swiss Alps). Landslides, 13 (2): 259-277.
- PEDRAZZINI A., JABOYEDOFF M., LOYE A. & DERRON M.H. (2013) From deep seated slope deformation to rock avalanche: Destabilization and transportation models of the Sierre landslide (Switzerland). Tectonophysics, 605: 149-168.
- PELLEGRINO A. & PRESTININZI A. (2007) Impact of weathering on the geomechanical properties of rocks along thermal-metamorphic contact belts and morpho-evolutionary processes: The deep-seated gravitational slope deformations of Mt. Granieri-Salincriti (Calabria-Italy). Geomorphology, 87 (3): 176-195.
- PRESTININZI A. (1984) Deformazioni gravitative profonde: Aspetti cinematici ed analisi dei rapporti con alcuni processi alterativi. Bollettino della Società Geologica Italiana, **103** (4): 707-716.
- PRITCHARD M.A. & SAVIGNY K.W. (1991) The Heather Hill landslide: An example of a large scale toppling failure in a natural slope. Canadian Geotechnical Journal, 28 (3): 410-422.
- RADBRUCH-HALL D.H. (1978) Gravitational creep of rock masses slopes. In: VOIGHT B. (Ed.), Rockslides and Avalanches Natural Phenomena; Development

in Geotechnical Engineering. Elsevier, Amsterdam, 607-657 pp.

- RADBRUCH-HALL D.H., VARNES D.J. & COLTON R.B. (1977) Gravitational spreading of steep-sided ridges ("sackung") in Colorado. Journal of Research of the US Geological Survey, 5 (3): 359-363.
- RADBRUCH-HALL D.H., VARNES D.J. & SAVAGE W.Z. (1976) Gravitational spreading of steep-sided ridges ("sackung") in Western United States. Bulletin of the International Association of Engineering Geology, 13 (1): 23-35.
- REITNER J.M. & LINNER M. (2009) Formation and preservation of large scale toppling related to alpine tectonic structures Eastern Alps. Austrian Journal of Earth Sciences, **102** (2): 69-80.
- SAVAGE W.Z. & VARNES D.J. (1987) Mechanics of gravitational spreading of steep-sided ridges («sackung»). Bulletin of the International Association of Engineering Geology, **35** (1): 31-36.
- SCARASCIA MUGNOZZA G., BIANCHI FASANI G., ESPOSITO C., MARTINO S., SAROLI M., DI LUZIO E. & EVANS S.G. (2006a) Rock avalanche and mountain slope deformation in a convex dip-slope: The case of the Maiella massif, Central Italy. In: EVANS S.G., SCARASCIA MUGNOZZA G., STROM A. & HERMANNS R.L. (Eds.), Landslides from Massive Rock Slope Failure. Springer, Dordrecht: 357-376.
- SCARASCIA MUGNOZZA G., PETITTA M., BIANCHI FASANI G., ESPOSITO C., BARBIERI M. & CARDARELLI E. (2006b) The importance of the geological model to understand and predict the life span of rockslide dams: The Scanno lake case study, Central Italy. Italian Journal of Engineering Geology and Environment, 6: 127-132.
- SCHLEIER M., HERMANNS R.L.M., KRIEGER I., OPPIKOFER T., EIKEN T., RØNNING J.S. & ROHN J. (2016) Gravitational reactivation of a pre-existing postcaledonian fault system: The deep-seated gravitational slope deformation at Middagstinden, Western Norway. Norwegian Journal of Geology, 96 (3): 1-23.
- SEMENZA E. & GHIROTTI M. (2000) History of the 1963 Vajont slide: The importance of geological factors. Bulletin of Engineering Geology and the Environment, 59 (2): 87-97.
- SORRISO-VALVO M. (1984) Atti del I seminario «Deformazioni gravitative profonde di versante». Bollettino della Società Geologica Italiana, 103 (4): 667-669.
- SORRISO-VALVO M. (1995) *Considerazioni sul limite tra deformazione gravitativa profonda di versante e frana*. Memorie della Società Geologica Italiana, **50**: 179-185.
- STEAD D. & WOLTER A. (2015) A critical review of rock slope failure mechanisms: The importance of structural geology. Journal of Structural Geology, 74: 1-23.
- STINI J. (1941) Unsere Täler wachsen zu. Geologie Bauwes, 13 (3): 71-79.
- STINI J. (1952) Neuere Ansichten über 'Bodenbewegungen' und über ihre Beherrschung durch den Ingenieur. Geologie Bauwes, 19 (1): 31-54.
- STRAUHAL T., ZANGERL C., FELLIN W., HOLZMANN M., ENGL D.A., BRANDNER R., TROPPER P. & TESSADRI R. (2017) Structure, Mineralogy and Geomechanical Properties of Shear Zones of Deep-Seated Rockslides in Metamorphic Rocks (Tyrol, Austria). Rock Mechanics and Rock Engineering, 50 (2): 419-438.
- STROM A. (2021) Rock avalanches: Basic characteristics and classification criteria. In: WANG F., STROM A., SASSA K., BOBROWSKY P.T. & TAKARA K. (Eds.), Understanding and Reducing Landslide Disaster Risk; Workshop on World Landslide Forum 2020. Springer, Cham: 3-23.
- SWOLF H.S. & SAVAGE W.Z. (1986) Topography modification of in situ stress in extensional and compressional tectonic environments. In: Proceeding of the International Symposium on Rock Stress and Rock Stress Measurement, Stockholm, 89-98 pp.
- TABOR R.W. (1971) Origin of ridge-top depressions by large-scale creep in the Olympic Mountains, Washington. Geological Society of America Bulletin, 82 (7): 1811-1822.
- TAMBURINI A., NOVALI F., FRATTINI P. & CROSTA G.B. (2015) Recent advances in satellite radar data processing and their support to the characterization of DSGSDs in the Alps. In: LOLLINO G., GIORDAN D., CROSTA G.B., COROMINAS J., AZZAM R., WASOWSKI J. & SCIARRA N. (Eds.), Engineering Geology for Society and Territory. Springer, Cham, vol. 2: 609-612.
- TANSI C., TALLARICO A., IOVINE G., FOLINO GALLO M. & FALCONE G. (2005) Interpretation of radon anomalies in seismotectonic and tectonic-gravitational settings: The south-eastern Crati graben (Northern Calabria, Italy). Tectonophysics, **396** (3-4): 181-193.
- TER-STEPANIAN G. (1966) Types of depth creep of slopes in rock masses. Problems an Geomechanics, 3: 49-69.
- TER-STEPANIAN G. (1974) Depth creep of slopes. Bulletin of the International Association of Engineering Geology, 9 (1): 97-102.
- TERZAGHI K. (1962) Stability of steep slopes on hard unweathered rock. Géotechnique, 12 (4): 251-270.
- TESHEBAEVA K., ECHTLER H., BOOKHAGEN B. & STRECKER M. (2019) Deep-seated gravitational slope deformation (DSGSD) and slow-moving landslides in the southern Tien Shan Mountains: New insights from InSAR, tectonic and geomorphic analysis. Earth Surface Processes and Landforms, 44 (12): 2333-2348.
- THURO K., EBERHARDT E. & GASPARINI M. (2004) Deep seated creep and its influence on a 1.5 GW hydroelectric power plant in the Himalayas. Felsbau, 22 (2): 60-66.
- TIBALDI A. & PASQUARÈ F.A. (2008) Quaternary deformations along the "Engadine-Gruf tectonic system", Swiss-Italian Alps. Journal of Quaternary Science, 23 (5): 475-487.

- TIBALDI A., CORAZZATO C., RUST D., BONALI F.L., PASQUARÈ MARIOTTO F.A., KORZHENKOV A.M., OPPIZZI P. & BONZANIGO L. (2015) Tectonic and gravity-induced deformation along the active Talas-Fergana Fault, Tien Shan, Kyrgyzstan. Tectonophysics, 657: 38-62.
- TIBALDI A., ROVIDA A. & CORAZZATO C. (2004) A giant deep-seated slope deformation in the Italian Alps studied by paleoseismological and morphometric techniques. Geomorphology, **58** (1-4): 27-47.
- TSOU C.Y., CHIGIRA M., MATSUSHI Y. & CHEN S.C. (2015) Deep-seated gravitational deformation of mountain slopes caused by river incision in the Central Range, Taiwan: Spatial distribution and geological characteristics. Engineering Geology, **196**: 126-138.
- VARNES D.J. (1954) Landslide types and processes. In: ECKEL E.B. (Ed.), Landslides and Engineering Practice. Highway Research Board, National Academy of Sciences, Washington, Special Report 28, 20-47 pp.
- VARNES D.J. (1978) Slope movement types and processes. In: SCHUSTER R.L. & KRIZEK R.J. (Eds.), Landslide, Analysis and Control. Transportation Research Board, National Academy of Sciences, Washington, Special Report 176: 11-33.
- VARNES D.J., RADBRUCH-HALL D.H. & SAVAGE W.Z. (1989) Topographic and structural conditions in areas of gravitational spreading of ridges in The Western United States. United States Geological Survey, Professional Paper, 1496: 1-27.
- VARNES D.J., RADBRUCH-HALL D.H., VARNES K.L., SMITH W.K. & SAVAGE W.Z. (1990) Measurement of ridge-spreading movements (Sackungen) at Bald Eagle Mountain, Lake County, Colorado, 1975–1989. US Geological Survey, Open File Report, Denver, 90-543 pp.
- VICK L.M., BÖHME M., ROUYET L., BERGH S.G., CORNER G.D. & LAUKNES T.R. (2020) Structurally controlled rock slope deformation in northern Norway. Landslides, 17: 1745-1776.
- VILÍMEK V., ZVELEBIL J., KLIMEŠ J., PATZELT Z., ASTETE F., KACHLÍK V. & HARTVICH F. (2007) Geomorphological research of large-scale slope instability at Machu Picchu, Peru. Geomorphology, 89 (3-4): 241-257.
- VOIGHT B. (1973) The mechanics of retrogressive block-gliding, with emphasis on the evolution of the Turnagain Heights landslide, Anchorage, Alaska. In: DE JONG K.A. & SCHOLTEN R. (Eds.), Gravity and Tectonics. John Wiley and Sons, New York, 97-257.
- WILSON A.J., PETLEY D.N. & MURPHY W. (2003) Down-slope variation in geotechnical parameters and pore fluid control on a large-scale Alpine landslide. Geomorphology, 54 (1-2): 49-62.
- ZANGERL C., EBERHARDT E. & PERZLMAIER S. (2010) Kinematic behaviour and velocity characteristics of a complex deep-seated crystalline rockslide system in relation to its interaction with a dam reservoir. Engineering Geology, 112 (1-4): 53-67.
- ZÁRUBA Q. & MENCL V. (1969) Landslides and their control. Academia & Elsevier, Prague.
- ZÁRUBA Q. & MENCL V. (1976) Engineering Geology. Development in Geotechnical Engineering, 10. Elsevier, Amsterdam.
- ZÁRUBA Q. & MENCL V. (1982) Landslides and their control, 2nd completely revised edition. Academia, Prague.
- ZÁRUBA Q. (1969) Deformace hornin vznikle vytlacovanim podlozi (Superficial quasiplastic deformations of rocks). Rozpravy Csav 15, 66 Series MPV, Prague.
- ZISCHINSKY U. (1966) On the deformation of high slopes. In: Proceeding of the 1st Conference of the International Society of Rock Mechanics, Lisbon, vol. 2: 179-185.
- ZISCHINSKY U. (1969) Über Sackungen. Rock Mechanics, 1 (1): 30-52.

Received May 2021 - Accepted June 2021