Review article

An overview on the most outstanding Italian endemic moth, Brahmaea (Acanthobrahmaea) europaea (Lepidoptera: Brahmaeidae)

Fabio MOSCONI^{1,2,*}, Alberto ZILLI³, Renato SPICCIARELLI⁴, Emanuela MAURIZI^{1,5}, Augusto VIGNA TAGLIANTI⁶, Paolo AUDISIO^{2,6}

- ¹ Council for Agricultural Research and Economics, Research Centre for Agrobiology and Pedology (C.R.A.-A.B.P) Via Lanciola 12/A, I-50125 Cascine del Riccio (Florence), Italy fabio.mosconi@gmail.com
- ² Department of Biology and Biotechnologies "Charles Darwin", Sapienza University of Rome Via Alfonso Borelli 50, I-00161 Rome, Italy paolo.audisio@uniroma1.it

³ The Natural History Museum, Life Sciences, Insect Division - DC2-2N, Cromwell Road, London SW7 5BD, UK - a.zilli@nhm.ac.uk

- ⁴ School of Agricultural, Forest, Food and Environmental Sciences (SAFE), University of Basilicata Viale dell'Ateneo Lucano 10, I-85100 Potenza, Italy - renato.spicciarelli@unibas.it
- ⁵ Department of Sciences, Roma Tre University Viale Guglielmo Marconi 446, I-00146 Rome, Italy emanuela.maurizi@uniroma3.it
- ⁶ Museum Centre, Zoological Museum, Department of Biology and Biotechnologies "Charles Darwin", Sapienza University of Rome -Piazzale Valerio Massimo 6, I-00162 Rome, Italy - augusto.vignataglianti@uniroma1.it
- * Corresponding author

Abstract

The state of knowledge about the European Bramea, *Brahmaea (Acanthobrahmaea) europaea* Hartig, 1963, is briefly summarized in relation to growing concern about the conservation status of the most outstanding Italian endemic moth species.

Key words: Brahmaea (Acanthobrahmaea) europaea, Brahmaeidae, Lepidoptera, endemic species, host-plants, conservation, Italy.

Introduction

Brahmaea (Acanthobrahmaea) europaea Hartig, 1963 (Fig. 1), the so called European Owl Moth or European Bramea, was discovered by Federico Hartig (Fig. 9) in 1963 in Basilicata, Southern Italy (Hartig 1963, 1966, 1997; Sbordoni & Forestiero 1984; Stella 1987; Vigna Taglianti & Zilli 2008).

Subsequent research has shown that *B. europaea* is an Italian endemic with exceedingly restricted range (e.g. Rougeot 1971, 1975); in fact, the species occurs only in a very small area, mainly around Mount Vulture, and in a few localities along the valleys of the rivers Basento and Cavone (provinces of Potenza and Matera; Fig. 8).

Brahmaeia europaea was long considered as the only Brahmaeid present in Europe, a family which in its strict sense included a few species from Asia and the Afrotropical region (Minet 1994; Lemaire & Minet 1999; Nässig & Naumann 2010). Nonetheless, following outcomes of molecular studies, the family Lemoniidae, with five species in Europe (all in the genus *Lemonia* Hübner, 1820), two of which also in Italy, was recently merged with the Brahmaeidae (Regier et al. 2008; Zwick 2008; Zwick et al. 2011).

Taxonomy and Systematics

Brahmaea (Acanthobrahmaea) europaea Hartig, 1963 is the only species of *Brahmaea* Walker, 1855 occurring in Europe (Karsholt & van Nieukerken 2004); most species diversity by this genus is concentrated in the Far East (e.g. Chu & Wang 1977; Zhang & Yang 1993, 1994; Minet 1994; Nässig & Paukstadt 1990; Nässig & Treadaway 1998; Paukstadt et al. 2000; Hao et al. 2002; Naumann & Brosch 2005).

From a systematic point of view the taxa more closely related to *B. europaea* are the so-called "palaearctic brameas", that is members of the *Brahmaea ledereri* Rogenhofer, 1873-*Brahmaea certhia* (Fabricius, 1793) group [*Brahmaea* sensu stricto, after the meaning of Nässig & Nye (1991, and ICZN 1992)], some of which from time to time associated with the (sub)generic name *Brachygnatha* Zhang & Yang, 1993.

The only other *Brahmaea* species known to occur in the Western Palaearctic is *B. ledereri* from the Taurus Mts across SE Anatolia to N Iran [= *B. christophi* (Staudinger, 1885); see Nässig 1980; Freina 1982, 1985; Lehmann & Zahiri 2011)].



Fig. 1 – Adult of Brahmaea (Acanthobrahmaea) europaea (Grotticelle Nature Reserve; photo by V. Viglioglia).

Main diagnostic characters of *Acanthobrahmaea* Sauter, 1967 involve peculiarities of wing veins and the pupa (Sauter 1967, 1986; Rougeot 1971), as this bears rows of stout spines on dorsum of abdominal segments A5-A7 (Fig. 7), and no other related species are known to possess such structures (see e.g. De Freina 1985 for the unarmed pupa of the allied *B. ledereri*).

The haploid chromosome number of the species was assessed by Marini & Trentini (1985) as n = 32, a figure close to the modal number (n = 31) shown by most members of the related family Saturniidae (Narang & Gupta 1982). No studies were actually dedicated to detailing the phylogenetic relationships of *B. europaea* with putative allies nor to track its biogeographic origin, thought it is generally assumed that it represents a relic of tertiary origin (Schepdael 1967; Rougeot 1971; Zilli 1998; Giusti 2014).

Life history and ecology

The moth lives in mixed broadleaved forests dominated by deciduous oaks, ashes and hornbeams with rich undergrowth of privet, hawthorns and *Phyllirea*, at an altitude between 200 m and 800 m (Fig. 3). Adults (Fig. 1) are on the wing only for a short period of the year, during three or four weeks between late March and early May, with a peak of activity in the first half of April (Laplanche 1973; Spicciarelli & Fimiani 2004). After the rapid development and hatching of eggs, about 12–15 days after deposition (Spicciarelli 2013), caterpillars grow fast; fifth instar larvae (Fig. 5) pupate into the ground, where they will remain until the following spring (Fig. 6). The spines present on the abdominal segments (Fig. 7) are likely to support active movements by the chrysalis into the ground, as evidenced by conspicuous daily movements by the pupae in breeding cages observed by Enrico Stella (personal communication, 1986).

The ecological requirements of the species are still poorly known. Adults are active during the early hours of the night, just after sunset, and they are capable of flying also at very low temperatures, even when snowing (Dufay 1970; Spicciarelli & Fimiani 2004).

For a long time, host plants and feeding habits by the larvae remained unknown; in fact, larvae were rarely ob-



Figs 2-7 – **2**, Information board at main entrance of Grotticelle Nature Reserve (Basilicata); **3**, edge of a forest habitat, Grotticelle Nature Reserve; **4**, larva of *Brahmaea (Acanthobrahmaea) europaea* Hartig, 1963 feeding on *Fraxinus angustifolia* subsp. *oxycarpa* (Willd.); **5**, mature larva of *B. europaea* about to pupate (top), and pupa soon after formation (bottom); **6**, hardened and darkened pupa of *B. europaea* a few hours after formation (ventral view); **7**, detail of pupal cremaster of *B. europaea* and three rows of stout spines on segments A5-A7 (dorsal view). Photos 2 and 4-7 by R. Spicciarelli; photo 3 by A. Rositi.

served in nature, though there were rumors that Hartig had occasionally spotted clusters of caterpillars on bushes of narrow-leafed ash [*Fraxinus angustifolia* subsp. *oxycar-pa* (Willd.); Oleaceae]. More recently Spicciarelli (1997, 2013, 2014) demonstrated that larvae in the wild actually feed on *Fraxinus angustifolia* subsp. *oxycarpa* (Fig. 4) but also on the evergreen *Phyllirea latifolia* L. (Oleaceae); in captivity larvae would also freely accept *Ligustrum vulgare* L. (Bilek 1965, 1967), whereas they appear to refuse *Fraxinus ornus* L. (Spicciarelli 2014).

All host plants of *Brahmaea (Acanthobrahmaea) europaea* are thus members of the Oleaceae, as for all known palaearctic *Brahmaea* species (Nässig 1980; De Freina 1982, 1985; Konno et al. 2001). Interestingly, the host plant range by the European Bramea closely matches that of its ally *B. ledereri*, which in the wild was recorded from *Fraxinus "excelsior*" L. and *Phyllirea latifolia* and in captivity accepted other Oleaceae (Korb 1899; De Freina 1985). The larvae show a gregarious behavior; in accordance with the observations made by Spicciarelli (2013, 2014) about the feeding strategies of caterpillars, they appear to have a "nomadic foraging" behavior (Fitzgerald & Peterson 1988).

Eggs are laid in clusters on tree trunks, from which the larvae spread and reach the tip of relevant trees and bushes which are consumed first. Subsequently, they descend onto lower twigs and then, when a given size is attained, they disperse onto other plants even at remarkable distance (Spicciarelli 2014). The attitude of consuming the host plants starting from the uppermost shoots is a behavioral trait shared with its geographically closest relative, the Anatolian-Iranian *Brahmaea ledereri* (Nässig personal communication, 1985).

Geographic distribution

In figure 8 the few localities in Southern Italy where *Brahmaea (Acanthobrahmaea) europaea* is known to occur are listed; data were obtained from Hartig (1963, 1997), Parenzan (1978), Bertaccini et al. (1995) and personal observations. Rumors that the species had been collected by amateurs or students in far apart areas of region Campania and even Gargano promontory in Apulia (e.g. Parenzan & Porcelli 2006) were never formally corroborated. In this respect, it is worth noting that samplings run in fully suitable biotopes in Daunia Mts in Northern Apulia (e.g. Catola Valley near Volturara Appula, Foggia Province) regularly revealed all species accompanying the European Bramea where it thrives in the Ofanto Valley with the exception of the brahmaeid itself.

Survey methods

Dedicated monitoring methods for Brahmaea (Acanthobrahmaea) europaea have not been developed. As larvae are very difficult to locate in the wild they are not suitable for monitoring the species. In contrast, adults are easily recorded at artificial lights so the generic monitoring method described by Trizzino et al. (2013) for nocturnal Lepidoptera may be easily applied to assay distribution, abundance and demographic fluctuations of populations of the species.

Conservation status and threats

At present, Brahmaea (Acanthobrahmaea) europaea is not protected by law and it is not included in CITES and Habitat Directive annexes, though concern about its longterm survival was often raised (Zilli 1991; Spicciarelli 2000, 2004, 2006) and it was explicitly recorded in some red lists (e.g. Spicciarelli 2002). Nevertheless, in 1971 the Riserva Naturale Orientata Grotticelle (Fig. 2) was established by the former Italian Ministry of Agriculture and Forestry in order to preserve the possibly most relevant colony of the species so far identified, that representing probably the first Nature Reserve in the World to be specifically designed to protect an insect species. Interestingly, the Italian Postal Service (Poste Italiane) dedicated to B. europaea a postage stamp (Fig. 10), issued in August 1996 within the framework of the XX International Congress of Entomology held in Florence (Zilli 1996).

During the preparation of the management plan of the Grotticelle Nature Reserve a number of threats to the survival of the species could be identified, despite the lack of knowledge about its ecological requirements (Audisio et al. 2012; Spicciarelli 2013).

Generally speaking, menaces to populations of the European Bramea may be sorted among two orders of scale, that is local, viz. at the level of individual topodemes, and regional.

At the broadest level, habitat fragmentation undoubtedly represents the most worrying variable. The European Bramea is a strictly mesophilous woodland species the persistence of which is clearly linked to the presence of suitable, unaltered woodland biotopes. In fact, no individual strays have ever been recorded substantially far apart from woods. Furthermore, despite an evergreen mediterranean shrub like Phyllirea latifolia enters the dietary spectrum by the caterpillars, no population of the species has ever been located in both low and tall mediterranean maquises, where Phyllirea spp. are among the main dominant plant species shaping the vegetation. The region Basilicata, once known as Lucania, which after the most plausible etymology took name from lucus (the sacred wood of Romans) to stress its extensive forest coverage, faced a long history of deforestation. Spanning from classical to recent times, woodlands of Basilicata were subject to massive cuttings to get wood for building fleets, heating and support manufacturing industry, to clear spaces for the cultivation of wheat and other cereals, contrast diffusion

of banditry or producing wooden ties for the rail industry (e.g. Morano 1981; McNeill 1992; Spicciarelli 2004; Lamendola 2008). In addition to the general logging which already led to a dramatic reduction and fragmentation of forested areas, and regrettably is still partly ongoing, it must be also considered that in evident consequence of the mesohygrophily shown by one of its main host plants, the narrow-leafed ash, populations B. europaea are somewhat associated with fluvial axes, which is right were a concentration of infrastructures such as roads, railways, industrial plants and commercial warehouses is increasingly being settled. The loss of connectivity between woodland fragments hosting the species and their reduced size are likely to turn as the most detrimental factors affecting wealth and persistence of the Bramea populations. Furthermore, it was shown both with light surveys and after mapping of host plants in the Grotticelle Reserve that the distribution of the individuals and suitable environmental patches across woodlands is far not uniform (Spicciarelli 2014), so that the very presence of the species in the woodland fragments where it occurs is actually a subset of the wooded areas themselves

At a local scale, the natural behavior and activities of *B. europaea* are evidently disrupted by light pollution when this extends over the home range by the adults; in fact, the species is markedly phototropic and comes freely to artificial lights, as Longcore & Rich (2004) generally stressed for moth populations. The State Forestry Corp pursues a careful policy of management of artificial lights in some minor railway stations around the Grotticelle Reserve (e.g. Aquilonia Scalo, Monticchio Scalo). To enhance protection of the species it would thence be essential to employ lamps with low impact on the flight paths by *B. europaea* and permanently reduce light pollution in proximity of all known populations of the moth.

The alteration or simply an improper management of the environment may also affect the abundance and distribution of the host plants; for example, the clearing of the forest undergrowth for fire prevention may lead to a drastic reduction of the bushes of Oleaceae supporting the moth populations, so for the grazing by sparse cattle in woodland habitats.

A traditional threat for *B. europaea* is represented by the collection of individuals; in fact, this is a so localized and handsome species that is highly coveted by amateur entomologists.

Last but not least, another menace to Bramea populations is represented by the increase of wild boar populations, chiefly in protected areas, with individuals rooting anything edible out from soils. As a matter of fact, insects, among which pupae of large sized moths, are known to enter the diet of the wild boar (Genov 1981; Schley & Roper 2003), and the presence of digested pupae of *B. europaea* was recently confirmed in excrements of wild boars collected under stands of narrow-leafed ash in the Grotticelle Reserve (Spicciarelli 2014), thus demonstrating a clear impact that the foraging behavior of these animals may have on the persistence of populations of the moth at a local scale.

Measures for conservation

Considering its extremely restricted range and known ecological requirements by the species every possible effort should be addressed to increase extension and connectivity of environmental patches actually or potentially hosting the species. In fact, with such a fragmented pattern of suitable biotopes even a small increase of the forested surface may turn out essential in ensuring steadiness or recovery of Bramea populations. In any case, it is highly recommended that regional forestry management plans are reviewed focusing on the presence of this so valuable moth, so that at least no logging activity may be undertaken without a prior assessment about its putative occurrence in sites allotted for forest exploitation. In positive cases, a redefinition of the boundaries of forest plots and density of tree cuttings should clearly take place by leaving intact stands of the Bramea host plants inside respect zones of adequate width.

An integrate management and protection of forested areas currently shared between different administrative bodies should also be put in force. This is particularly true for the Ofanto Valley which is so far the area where most abundant Bramea populations were found but marks the boundary between two different provinces (Avellino and Potenza) pertaining to two distinct regions (Campania and Basilicata) with fairly different forestry policies.

Following the IUCN guidelines (IUCN 2014), B. europaea should be assigned the EN (Endangered) category of risk, as based on the relevant application of Criterium B [B1ab(iii) + B2 ab(iii)]. This proposal combines its Extent of Occurrence (the area of a virtual polygon laid out so as to join all its known sites of occurrence) of less than 5,000 Km² (in fact, less than 2,000 Km²; Fig. 6); an Area of Occupancy (calculated using a contour square area of 2x2 Km² for each of the known localities) of less than 500 Km² (in fact, less than 50 Km²); the markedly fragmented structure of its geographic range (Fig. 6); the total number (12) of the so far known localities, some of them being very close to each other, and clustered in only three main locations; and the observed decline in habitat quality by most of the known sites of occurrence, mostly due to recent diffusion of invasive populations of wild boars in two out of the three main locations (Vulture and Gallipoli-Cognato protected areas).

Present-day unfeasibility to broaden the list of protected species in the EU Habitats Directive (HD) by member countries hinders conservation of this rare and threatened species. However, as part of its Regulatory Fitness and Performance Programme (REFIT), the European Commission is undertaking a "Fitness Check" of the EU nature legislation, the Birds Directive and the Habitats Di-



ID	Locality	Province	Reference
1	Aquilonia (Rail Station)	AV	Parenzan 1978; Audisio et al. 2012; Spicciarelli 2013, 2014
2	Monticchio (Rail Station)	PZ	Parenzan 1978; Spicciarelli 2004
3	Monticchio lakes, Forest Station	PZ	Hartig 1963; Spicciarelli 2014
4	Grotticelle Nature Reserve	PZ	Hartig 1963; Audisio et al. 2012; Spicciarelli 2013, 2014
5	Rapone (Rail Station)	PZ	Bertaccini et al. 1995
6	Brindisi di Montagna (Rail Station)	PZ	Parenzan 1978
7	Campomaggiore (Rail Station)	PZ	Parenzan 1978
8	Gallipoli Forest, near Campomaggiore	PZ	Bertaccini et al. 1995
9	Calciano (Rail Station)	MT	Parenzan 1978
10	Oliveto Lucano	MT	Bertaccini et al. 1995
11	Salandrella Valley	MT	Bertaccini et al. 1995
12	Accettura	MT	Bertaccini et al. 1995

Fig. 8 - Known distribution area of Brahmaea (Acanthobrahmaea) europaea Hartig, 1963 with list of known sites for the species.



Figs 9-10 – 9, Federico Hartig and Marina Cobolli at Grotticelle N.R., June 1970 (photo by V. Sbordoni); 10, the stamp dedicated to *B. europaea* issued by Poste Italiane in August, 1996.

rective, which will involve a comprehensive assessment on whether or not the current regulatory framework is "fit for purpose" (EU Commission 2014), with perspectives of a possible reappraisal at the European level of the whole process of inclusion, use and management of the HD's species list.

Given the aforementioned attribution of EN Category of Risk, any measures aimed at reducing the impact of threats reviewed above has also to be recommended. The extent of light pollution may easily be controlled after adoption of artificial lights little luring for moths such are sodium vapor ones, employing fixtures technically suited for preventing dispersion of rays other than directly on ground and avoiding unnecessary lighting. Due to the ruling of CITES, mostly designed to prevent illegal trade than protecting species in the ground, prevention of collection of individuals in the wild may be enforced by laws at regional scale and in any case after simple measures preventing access to roads leading to forest stands hosting Bramea populations.

More difficult appears to be control of sounders of wild boars resting and foraging in sites where caterpillars pupate, this being a factor in need of careful consideration for any realistic action plan aimed at preserving the moth. In addition to proper analysis of the last variable, the necessity to increase knowledge about the bionomics, ecological requirements, demographic parameters and genetic structure of populations of *Brahmaea (Acanthobrahmaea) europaea* cannot be underestimated if we are committed to protect one of the most interesting and valuable elements of the whole European fauna.

In this framework, a project of our research group is being launched (2015-2017) with the aim to evaluate by means of integrative methods the population size of each *B. europaea* demes. Classical Capture-Mark-Recapture (CMR) protocols for species monitoring will be combined with molecular procedures aimed to assess effective population size using *F*-statistics, which describes the statistically expected level of heterozygosity in a population (Holsinger & Weir 2009), and a modelling of both the ecological niche and distribution of this endangered taxon will be performed (see Barve et al. 2011).

Acknowledgements – The authors wish to express their most sincere gratitude to Franco Mason, Giovanni Adinolfi, Angela Malaspina, Alessandro Bottacci, Marco Panella, Donato Garripoli, Gianluca Lipani, Michelangelo Repole and Donato Pierro (State Forestry Corp) for invaluable help, assistance and logistic support received during realization of this study, and Wolfgang A. Nässig (Senckenberg Museum, Frankfurt am Main) and Enrico Stella (Rome) for sharing useful information. The authors are also grateful to Carlo Rondinini (IUCN Italy, Rome) and Gloria Antonini (Rome) for valuable suggestions on previous drafts of the paper, and to Valerio Viglioglia, Angela Rositi, Valerio Sbordoni and Francesca Marini (Rome) for supplying photo work.

References

- Audisio P., Boitani L., Malaspina A., Mason F., Spicciarelli R., Vigna Taglianti A. (eds) 2012. Piano di gestione della Riserva Naturale Orientata Grotticelle. Ufficio per la Biodiversità, Corpo Forestale dello Stato, Rome, Technical Report, 146 pp + CD-ROM.
- Barve N., Barve V., Jiménez-Valverde A., Lira-Noriega A., Maher S.P., Townsend Peterson A., Soberón J., Villalobos F. 2011. The crucial role of the accessible area in ecological niche modeling and species distribution modeling. Ecolog-

ical Modelling, 222: 1810–1819. DOI: 10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2011.02.011

- Bertaccini E., Fiumi G., Provera P. 1995. Bombici e Sfingi d'Italia (Lepidoptera Heterocera). Volume I. Natura-Giuliano Russo Editore, Monterenzio, 248 pp.
- Bilek A. 1965. Die raupe von *Brahmaea europaea* Hartig 1963, und deren Aufzucht aus dem Ei. Bollettino dell'Associazione Romana di Entomologia, 20 (1): 5–8.
- Bilek A. 1967. Das Ergebnis meiner Zucht von Acanthobrahmaea europaea Hartig, 1963. En Beitrag zur Variationsbreite dieser Art (Lep., Brahmaeidae). Zeitschrift der Wiener entomologischen Gesellschaft, 52: 88–90.
- Chu H.F., Wang L.Y. 1977. The Brahmaeidae of China (Lepidoptera). Acta entomologica Sinica, 20: 83–85.
- De Freina J.J. 1982. Eine neue Population von Brahmaea ledereri Rogenhofer, 1873, (Brahmaea ledereri zaba ssp.n.) in Türkisch-Kurdistan, sowie Nachweis der Konspezifität von Brahmaea ledereri Rogenhofer, 1873, und Brahmaea christophi Staudinger, 1879 (Lepidoptera, Brahmaeidae). Entomofauna, 3 (9): 129–139.
- De Freina J.J. 1985. Neuere Kenntnisse über die Biologie und Systematik von Brahmaea ledereri Rogenhofer, 1873 und deren Verbreitung in Kleinasien (Lepidoptera, Brahmaeidae). Mitteilungen der Münchner entomologischen Gesellschaft, 74: 77–90.
- Dufay C. 1970. L'éthologie d'Acanthobrahmaea europea (Hartig) (Lep. Brahmaeidae). Alexanor, 6 (6): 249–260.
- EU Commission (Environment). 2014. Fitness Check of EU Nature Legislation (Birds and Habitats Directives). http:// ec.europa.eu/environment/nature/legislation/fitness_check/ index_en.htm (downloaded December 20th, 2014).
- Fitzgerald T.D., Peterson S.C. 1988. Cooperative foraging and communication in caterpillars. BioScience, 38 (1): 20–25.
- Genov P. 1981. Food composition of wild boar in north-eastern and western Poland. Acta theriologica, 26 (10): 185–205.
- Giusti A. 2014. Endangered species: Brahmaea (Acanthobrahmaea) europaea (European owl moth). http://www.nhm. ac.uk/nature-online/species-of-the-day/biodiversity/endangered-species/brahmaea-europaea/ (downloaded December 20th, 2014).
- Hao H.L., Zhang X.R., Yang J.K. 2002. Two new species of Brahmaeidae from China (Lepidoptera: Brahmaeidae). Acta entomologica Sinica, 45 (Suppl.): 53–55.
- Hartig F. 1963. Per la prima volta una *Bramaea* [sic] in Europa. Bollettino dell'Associazione Romana di Entomologia, 18 (1): 5–7.
- Hartig F. 1966. Auf *Brahmaea*–Fang in der Basilicata (Lep. Bombycidae). Nachrichtenblatt der Bayerischen Entomologen, 15 (11/12): 102–105.
- Hartig F. (†) 1997. Promemoria per la creazione di una zona di riserva assoluta delle cosiddette Grotticelle in Comune di Rionero del Vulture. Bollettino dell'Associazione Romana di Entomologia, 51 (1/4) (1996): 69–73.
- Holsinger K.E., Weir B.S. 2009. Genetics in geographically structured populations: Defining, estimating and interpreting FST. Nature Reviews Genetics, 10 (9): 639–650. DOI: 10.1038/nrg2611.
- ICZN. 1992. Opinion 1698. *Brahmaea* Walker, 1855 (Insecta, Lepidoptera): *Bombyx certhia* Fabricius, 1763 confirmed as the type species. Bulletin of zoological Nomenclature, 49 (4): 294.
- IUCN (Standards and Petitions Subcommittee). 2014. Guidelines for Using the IUCN Red List Categories and Criteria. Version 11. Prepared by the Standards and Petitions Subcommittee. IUCN, Gland, Switzerland and Cambridge, UK. Downloadable from: http://www.iucnredlist.org/documents/ RedListGuidelines.pdf.

- Karsholt O., Van Nieukerken E.J. 2004. Fauna Europaea: Brahmaeidae. In: Karsholt O., Nieukerken E.J. van (eds), Lepidoptera, Moths. Van Nieukerken E.J. (eds). version 1.5, http://www.faunaeur.org.
- Konno K., Okada S., Hirayama C. 2001. Selective secretion of free glycine, a neutralizer against a plant defense chemical, in the digestive juice of the privet moth larvae. Journal of Insect Physiology, 47 (12): 1451–1457.
- Korb M. 1899. Brahmaea christophi Stgr. Deutsche entomologische Zeitschrift Iris, 12: 168–170.
- Lamendola F. 2008. Le flotte dell'antico Mediterraneo distrussero le foreste causando alluvioni e malaria. http://www.ariannaeditrice.it/articolo.php?id_articolo=18501
- Laplanche G. 1973. Chasse en Lucanie, Mont Vulture (Lep. Brahmaeidae, Rhopalocera). Alexanor, 8 (3): 105–107.
- Lehmann L., Zahiri R. 2011. Results of a lepidopterological expedition to North and Northwest Iran in summer 2007 with new records for Iran (Lepidoptera). Esperiana, 16: 135–165.
- Lemaire C., Minet J. 1999. The Bombycoidea and their relatives. In: Kristensen N.P. (ed.), Handbuch der Zoologie, 4. Lepidoptera, Moths and Butterflies, part 1, pp. 321–353. W. de Gruyter, Berlin.
- Longcore T., Rich C. 2004. Ecological light pollution. Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment, 2 (4): 191–198.
- Marini M., Trentini M. 1985. Osservazioni cromosomiche su Acanthobrahmaea europaea Hartig (Lepidoptera, Brahmaeidae). Atti XIV Congresso nazionale Italiano di Entomologia, Palermo, Erice, Bagheria: 299–303.
- McNeill J.R. 1992. The mountains of the Mediterranean world: an environmental history. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 448 pp.
- Morano M. 1981. Tecniche colturali e organizzazione produttiva nelle campagne della Basilicata nel XIX secolo. In: Massafra A. (ed.), Problemi di storia delle campagne meridionali nell'età moderna e contemporanea, pp. 507–538. Dedalo Edizioni, Bari.
- Minet J. 1994. The Bombycoidea: phylogeny and higher classification (Lepidoptera, Glossata). Entomologica Scandinavica, 25: 63–88.
- Narang R.C., Gupta M.L. 1982. The chromosomes of a wild silkmoth, *Archaeoattacus edwardsii*, with a record high chromosome number for Saturniidae. Journal of the Lepidopterists' Society, 36 (2): 112–118.
- Nässig W.A. 1980. Ein Beitrag zur Kenntnis der Saturniidae und Brahmaeidae des Iran und der Türkei, Teil 1: Brahmaeidae. Nachrichten des entomologischen Vereins Apollo, (N.F.) 1 (3/4): 77–91.
- Nässig W.A., Naumann S. 2010. Saturniidae and Brahmaeidae of the Palaearctic Region: how many species are there? Entomologica romanica, 15: 17–20.
- Nässig W.A., Nye I.W.B. 1991. Brahmaea Walker, 1855 (Insecta, Lepidoptera): proposed confirmation of Bombyx certhia Fabricius, 1793 as the type species.[Case 2737]. Bulletin of zoological Nomenclature, 48 (2): 137–139.
- Nässig W.A., Paukstadt U. 1990. The Brahmaeidae of Sumatra. Heterocera Sumatrana, 6: 117–136.
- Nässig W.A., Treadaway C.G. 1998. The Brahmaeidae (Lepidoptera) of the Philippines. Nachrichten des entomologischen Vereins Apollo, Suppl. 17: 425–440.
- Naumann S., Brosch U. 2005. Zur Verbreitung der *hearseyi*-Gruppe (Lepidoptera: Brahmaeidae: *Brahmaea* Walker, 1855) in Indonesien und auf den Philippinen. Galathea, 21 (2): 97–104.
- Parenzan P. 1978. Contributi alla conoscenza della Lepidotterofauna dell'Italia meridionale - IV. Heterocera (Bombyces et Sphinges) di Puglia e Lucania. Entomologica, 13 (1977): 183–245.

- Parenzan P., Porcelli F. 2006. I macrolepidotteri italiani. Fauna Lepidopterorum Italiae (Macrolepidoptera). Allegato in .pdf. Phytophaga, 15: 1–1051.
- Paukstadt U., Paukstadt L.H., Brosch U. 2000. Anmerkungen zum taxonomischen Status von Brahmaea (Brahmophthalma) ardjoeno (Kalis, 1934) (stat. nov.) sowie zur geographischen Verbreitung der Taxa der hearseyi-Gruppe in Asien (Lepidoptera: Brahmaeidae). Entomologische Zeitschrift, 110 (1): 5–9.
- Regier J.C., Cook C.P., Mitter C., Hussey A. 2008. A phylogenetic study of the 'bombycoid complex' (Lepidoptera) using five protein-coding nuclear genes, with comments on the problem of macrolepidopteran phylogeny. Systematic Entomology, 33: 175–189.
- Rougeot P.C. 1971. Bombycoïdes (Lepidoptera-Bombycoïdea) de L'Europe et du Bassin Méditerranéen. Tome 1. Lemoniidae, Bombycidae, Brahmaeidae, Attacidae, Endromididae. Faune de L'Europe et du Bassin Méditerranéen 5. Masson et Cie, Paris, 159 pp.
- Rougeot P.C. 1975. Acanthobrahmaea europaea (Lep. Brahmaeidae), le papillon du volcan Vulture (Italie du sud). L'Entomologiste, 31 (4-5): 145–149.
- Sauter W. 1967. Zur systematischen Stellung von *Brahmaea europaea* Hartig (Lep. Brahmaeidae). Mitteilungen der Schweizerischen entomologischen Gesellschaft, 40 (1/2): 124–129.
- Sauter W. 1986. Zur Morphologie von Acanthobrahmaea europaea (Hartig, 1963) und zur systematischen Gliederung der Brahmaeidae (Lepidoptera): Dactyloceratinae, subfam. n. Nota lepidopterologica, 9 (3/4): 262–271.
- Sbordoni V., Forestiero S. 1984. Il mondo delle farfalle. Arnoldo Mondadori Editore, 312 pp.
- Schepdael J., van 1967. Un événement capital en Entomologie: découverte d'une *Brahmaea* en Italie, *Brahmaea europaea* Hartig. Endémisme ou relique miocènique? Linneana Belgica, 3 (5): 91–103.
- Schley L., Roper T.J. 2003. Diet of wild boar Sus scrofa in Western Europe, with particular reference to consumption of agricultural crops. Mammal review, 33 (1): 43–56.
- Spicciarelli R. 1997. Primi reperti di larve di Acanthobrahmaea europaea (Hrtg.) (Lepidoptera: Brahmaeidae) su Phyllirea latifolia L. Entomologica, 31: 191–195.
- Spicciarelli R. 2000. La falena del Vulture, una collina la sua culla un Vulcano la sua fortezza. Input, Roma, 50: 47.
- Spicciarelli R. 2002. La Bramea europea e il Vulture. In: Cerfolli F., Petrassi F., Petretti F. (eds), Libro Rosso degli Animali d'Italia, Invertebrati, pp. 56–58. WWF Italia, Roma.

- Spicciarelli R. 2004. La Psiche del Frassino. Consiglio Regionale della Basilicata, Finiguerra Arti Grafiche, Lavello, 176 pp.
- Spicciarelli R. 2006. La Bramea europea. Pp. 641-645 in: "Salvati dall'Arca – WWF Italia". A. Perdisa Editore, Bologna.
- Spicciarelli R. 2013. La Riserva Naturale Orientata di Grotticelle 1963-2013. 50 anni dalla scoperta della Bramea. Corpo Forestale dello Stato, Unità Territoriale per la Biodiversità, Potenza, 157 pp.
- Spicciarelli R. 2014. Affidamento servizio per la fornitura dati sulla biologia dell'unico brameide europeo (*Acanthobrahmaea europaea* (Hartig)) presente nella Riserva Naturale Orientata "Grotticelle". Final Technical Report, 57 pp.
- Spicciarelli R., Fimiani P. 2004. Chasse en Lucanie, Mont Vulture (Italie méridionale). Alexanor, 22 (7) (2002): 411–415.
- Stella E. 1987. La Bramea, falena made in Italy. Airone, VII (74): 116–123.
- Trizzino M., Audisio P., Bisi F., Bottacci A., Campanaro A., Carpaneto G.M., Chiari S., Handersen S., Mason F., Nardi G., Preatoni D.G., Vigna Taglianti A., Zauli A., Zilli A., Cerretti P. 2013. Gli artropodi italiani in Direttiva Habitat: biologia, ecologia, riconoscimento e monitoraggio. Quaderni Conservazione Habitat, Verona: 7. CFS-CNBFVR, Centro Nazionale Biodiversità Forestale. Cierre Grafica, Sommacampagna, 256 pp.
- Vigna Taglianti A., Zilli A. 2008. Il Conte e le farfalle Omaggio a Federico Hartig. Series Le Scienze (7), Edizioni Belvedere, Latina, 76 pp.
- Zhang X., Yang J. 1993. A new genus and species of Brahmaeidae (Lepidoptera). Entomotaxonomia, 15 (1): 48–52.
- Zhang X., Yang J. 1994. Two new species of *Brahmaea* (Lepidoptera: Brahmaeidae) from China. Entomotaxonomia, 16 (2): 111–114.
- Zilli A. 1991. Progetto per lo studio e la salvaguardia di Acanthobrahmaea europaea (Hartig). Dispar, 2: 10–12.
- Zilli A. 1996. Emissione di quattro francobolli ordinari appartenenti alla serie tematica "Gli animali" dedicata alle farfalle. Poste Italiane, 21/96, Ente Poste, Bologna, 4 pp.
- Zilli A. 1998. Endemismi italiani, 38: Acanthobrahmaea europaea (Hartig, 1963) (Lepidoptera, Brahmaeidae). Bollettino dell'Associazione Romana di Entomologia, 51: i–ii.
- Zwick A. 2008. Molecular phylogeny of Anthelidae and other bombycoid taxa (Lepidoptera: Bombycoidea). Systematic Entomology, 33: 190–209.
- Zwick A., Regier J.C., Mitter C., Cummings M.P. 2011. Increased gene sampling yields robust support for higher-level clades within Bombycoidea (Lepidoptera). Systematic Entomology, 36: 31–43.